

EXHIBIT D

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Harrisonburg Division

ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

11.18 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, IN AUGUSTA
COUNTY, VIRGINIA, LOCATED ON PARCEL
IDENTIFICATION NO. 40-11, IDENTIFIED
AS TRACE ONE (TAX MAP NO. 40-11) IN
INSTRUMENT #180002522; LOCATED ON
PARCEL IDENTIFICATION NO. 40-13,
IDENTIFIED AS TRACT TWO (TAX MAP NO.
40-13), IN INSTRUMENT #180002522;

and

Civil Action No:

BONNIE V. RALSTON, TRUSTEE OF THE
BONNIE V. RALSTON TRUST AGREEMENT
DATED JUNE 13, 2009,

5:18cv60

and

CARSON R. RALSTON, TRUSTEE OF THE
BONNIE V. RALSTON TRUST AGREEMENT
DATED JUNE 13, 2009,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION of WILLIAM C. HARVEY, II,
CCIM, MAI, in the above-entitled matter held
at the law offices of McGuireWoods LLP,
1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1800, Tysons,
Virginia on January 29, 2020, before
Jacqueline Hagen, Court Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the Commonwealth of
Virginia.

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

2 to 5

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

6 to 9

<p>1 to testify as an expert witness in this case?</p> <p>2 A Well, I would expect to be qualified as a 3 real estate appraiser in this particular matter.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. Any other capacities that you would 5 expect to be qualified as an expert in?</p> <p>6 A Appraisal, the field of appraisal review.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Is that a specialty within the field 8 of real estate appraisal?</p> <p>9 A It's a field, you know, within all of the 10 specialty of real estate appraisal.</p> <p>11 Q All right.</p> <p>12 A Subset.</p> <p>13 Q So you have real -- real estate appraiser and 14 then appraisal review, real estate appraisal review.</p> <p>15 Are there any other capacities in which you expect to be 16 qualified as an expert in this case?</p> <p>17 A No.</p> <p>18 Q How are you employed?</p> <p>19 A I'm employed by William C. Harvey 20 Associates, Inc.</p> <p>21 Q All right. And is that -- is that a firm 22 that you own?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Are you the sole owner of that firm?</p> <p>25 A My wife and I are equal owners.</p>	<p>6</p> <p>1 Q All right. And for how long have you been 2 with that firm?</p> <p>3 A May 1986.</p> <p>4 Q How many -- does that firm employ anyone 5 else?</p> <p>6 A It has affiliations but no other employees 7 other than myself.</p> <p>8 Q All right. And for how long have you been a 9 real estate professionally?</p> <p>10 A Since 1976, 43 years.</p> <p>11 Q If you will, could you walk me through your 12 educational background, beginning with high school?</p> <p>13 A Calvert Hall in Baltimore, Calvert Hall 14 College Preparatory School. It's a high school in 15 Baltimore, Maryland. Wesley Junior College, 1976. 16 University of Maryland, '77 -- I'm sorry. '72 for 17 Wesley and then '73 to '75 for Maryland.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And then shortly after college is when 19 you began your field -- your practice as a real estate 20 appraiser?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q All right. And has that been chiefly your 23 profession since that point?</p> <p>24 A Well, I had some sidelines along the way. I 25 worked at a savings and loan right here in Tysons for</p>
<p>1 three years. I was also a stockbroker at Blake Mason 2 (phonetic) for three years, again, and I opened their 3 Tysons office. But the my specialty in both of those 4 locations was still performing appraisal work.</p> <p>5 Q All right. And since you founded William -- 6 is it called William C. Harvey and Associates?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Have you been continuously employed by that 9 firm?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q And in your employment with William C. Harvey 12 and Associates, has that been in the field of real 13 estate appraising, real estate appraisal, or appraisal 14 review? Or have you been done other things with that 15 firm?</p> <p>16 A Well, under that -- in that location for -- 17 the headquarters is at 1166 H Walker Road in Great 18 Falls, Virginia. There's a satellite office in -- at 19 605 South Talbot Street, Unit 5, St. Michael's, 20 Maryland. But at one point, I also owned an 21 auctioneering firm and a separate real estate brokerage 22 firm.</p> <p>23 Chesapeake Auctions, LLC, was the auction firm. 24 Harvey Real Estate Group, LLC, was the brokerage. A few 25 years ago, I just -- I let the auction company expire,</p>	<p>8</p> <p>1 and then I folded the brokerage into William C. Harvey 2 and Associates. So right now, William C. Harvey 3 Associates is licensed, duly licensed, as both a real 4 estate appraisal firm as well as a real estate 5 brokerage.</p> <p>6 Q Okay.</p> <p>7 A Does that answer your question?</p> <p>8 Q Yeah, it does. Thank you. I appreciate 9 that. Do you, yourself, practice as a real estate 10 broker?</p> <p>11 A Not a broker. My wife is a broker of record 12 but I am -- I assist her on her sales team, and we do 13 leasing and property management stuff.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. So do you have some sort of real 15 estate salesman's license or something?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q But not a brokerage's license?</p> <p>18 A No.</p> <p>19 Q And of your appraisal practice, I guess 20 thinking in maybe the past five years, how much of that 21 involves litigation or the potential of litigation?</p> <p>22 A Good question. More so in the last three 23 years, ever since I started this mega project, the AS -- 24 ACP --</p> <p>25 Q Okay.</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

10 to 13

<p>1 A -- as titled to your deposition, but it's 2 variable. We do -- the appraisal firm does a fair 3 amount of non-litigation work. So as a dollar sum, 4 litigation is not the majority of revenue stream from my 5 person activity. As I said, the last these years, it's 6 been more litigation.</p> <p>7 Q You mentioned the ACP project. That's the 8 Atlantic Coast Pipeline project; is that right?</p> <p>9 A That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q And of your work in litigation, you're 11 thinking of you personally, your appraisal work, in 12 litigation, how much of that, again, in the past five 13 years or so, has been in eminent domain cases?</p> <p>14 A I can't give you an exact dollar amount or a 15 percentage. Litigation takes many forms in real estate. 16 Breach of contract cases, I would -- maybe half would be 17 my guess.</p> <p>18 Q All right. And then thinking about that, 19 maybe half of the -- of your litigation practice, in 20 eminent domain cases, how much of that in eminent domain 21 cases has been on behalf of condemning authorities or on 22 behalf of landowners, property owners?</p> <p>23 A Up until the last two years, it was almost 24 equally divided. Uncannily so. Went and looked at it 25 one time and broke it all down, and it was about 50/50.</p>	<p>10</p> <p>1 But for the last -- since April 2017, which is when I 2 was engaged on the ACP project, it's been more 3 condemning authority.</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 A But not exclusively so.</p> <p>6 Q And who was it that you hired to work on this 7 particular case?</p> <p>8 A This case, VanFossen?</p> <p>9 Q Yes.</p> <p>10 A Ralston?</p> <p>11 Q Ralston.</p> <p>12 A Well, it was part of my engagement with the 13 ACP project, and that would have been John Wilburn.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. So John Wilburn with McGuireWoods 15 actually hired you to work on the overall ACP project; 16 is that correct?</p> <p>17 A Correct.</p> <p>18 Q And when was -- I think you said April 2017, 19 is that when you were first hired to be involved with 20 regard to the ACP project?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. How many appraisals have you prepared 23 with regard to the ACP project?</p> <p>24 A I would say it's between 90 and 95, probably 25 closer to 95. I don't have the exact number.</p>
<p>1 Q And has that been exclusively in Virginia? 2 Or has that been in other jurisdictions, as well?</p> <p>3 A Other jurisdictions.</p> <p>4 Q Which others?</p> <p>5 A West Virginia, North Carolina, and Virginia.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. And that's 95 or so since April of 7 2017; is that correct?</p> <p>8 A Correct.</p> <p>9 Q And has anyone else from your firm prepared 10 an appraisal for Atlantic Coast Pipeline which you, 11 yourself, did not personally prepare or sign?</p> <p>12 A No.</p> <p>13 Q So with regard to the Atlantic Coast Pipeline 14 matter, how did you or how did your firm come to hire to 15 handle that much appraisal work?</p> <p>16 A John Wilburn called me.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. Had you worked with him previously?</p> <p>18 A Yes, I've worked with him, and then he's 19 been representing opposing clients in the past.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And are you being paid for your work 21 on this matter?</p> <p>22 A This matter, being Ralston VanFossen?</p> <p>23 Q Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 A So for the rest of the day, when you say 25 "this," should I just assume it's Ralston?</p>	<p>12</p> <p>1 Q Sure. If you need to clarify, please ask me. 2 Because I don't want any confusion.</p> <p>3 A I think that clarified it, yes.</p> <p>4 Q All right.</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q All right. And what is that compensation?</p> <p>7 A 525 an hour for my time.</p> <p>8 Q Just straight hourly?</p> <p>9 A Straight hourly.</p> <p>10 Q Is there a cap on that?</p> <p>11 A No cap.</p> <p>12 Q How many hours so far have you spent on work 13 relating to this matter, the Ralston VanFossen case?</p> <p>14 A I'd say the overall billings are just under 15 30,000.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. And is that -- are there -- do you 17 have unbilled time, as well, in it?</p> <p>18 A Well, I bill monthly. So January would be 19 unbilled.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. Have you been paid for your time 21 through your -- the last bill you sent?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q And was that paid by McGuireWoods?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Now, I know you said you've given a</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

14 to 17

<p>1 deposition before. Do you know approximately how many 2 times?</p> <p>3 A Of all depositions?</p> <p>4 Q Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 A Couple hundred.</p> <p>6 Q And have you testified in court as an expert 7 witness in the field of real estate appraisal before?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q How many times?</p> <p>10 A I think I've qualified as an expert and 11 provided court testimony about 140 or 150 cases.</p> <p>12 Q All right. And have that been -- well, in 13 what courts, if you can recall? I'm not asking you to 14 have a comprehensive one, but if there's a --</p> <p>15 A Yeah, well, 21 different venues. I think 16 you have a copy of one of my reports. My CV, the last 17 page of CV, lists all the venues that I've qualified and 18 testified, and that would include serving as 19 court-appointed expert as well as testimony before the 20 U.S. Congress.</p> <p>21 Q Have you testified in a deposition with 22 regard to Atlantic Coast Pipeline matter before today?</p> <p>23 A Yes, two.</p> <p>24 Q And do you recall which properties or 25 property owners they were?</p>	<p>14</p> <p>1 A SMJB was the first. Fenton Family Holdings, 2 LLC, is the second.</p> <p>3 Q All right. And were those both in Virginia?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Did you do anything to prepare for today's 6 deposition?</p> <p>7 A I reviewed my reports, yes.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. Did you meet with anyone?</p> <p>9 A I had a telephone conversation, but I didn't 10 -- it was not a personal meeting but over the phone.</p> <p>11 Q Gotcha. I'm not asking about the substance 12 of that conversation, but who was that conversation 13 with?</p> <p>14 A James Holt.</p> <p>15 Q Did you review any other documentation other 16 than your reports?</p> <p>17 A No.</p> <p>18 Q Have you ever had your expert opinion 19 excluded or found by a court to be unreliable or not 20 credible?</p> <p>21 A Well, let me take the compound question. 22 Yes to the first part. No to the second part.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. Tell me about when you've had your 24 expert opinion excluded.</p> <p>25 A The only time I recall is a matter of Lord &</p>
<p>16</p> <p>1 Taylor versus White Flint Mall. It was a case in 2 Maryland and --</p> <p>3 MR. MINSON: Just for the record, I'd 4 like to object to the form of the question.</p> <p>5 A Federal case in Maryland, and in that 6 particular matter, the judge ruled, as a matter of law, 7 that the damages had to flow from the going concern and 8 not the real estate held by the going concern. So he 9 said it wasn't helpful, my work would not have been 10 helpful, for the jury in that case.</p> <p>11 Q Okay.</p> <p>12 A He granted a motion to strike.</p> <p>13 Q Is that the only time that you're aware of?</p> <p>14 A It's the only one that comes to mind.</p> <p>15 Q Right.</p> <p>16 A I'm not aware of any others.</p> <p>17 Q Do you have any licenses? I know we talked 18 about you have a salesman's license, but do you have any 19 other professional licenses?</p> <p>20 A Yes, I have -- hold a certified general 21 property appraiser license in DC, Maryland, Virginia, 22 North Carolina, and West Virginia, Pennsylvania. 23 Wholesalesman license in Virginia.</p> <p>24 Q Anything -- anything beyond that?</p> <p>25 A Not that pertains to why I'm here today.</p>	<p>17</p> <p>1 Q Okay. Do you have any designations or 2 certifications in your profession?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q What are those?</p> <p>5 A For appraisal, I have an MAI designation. 6 For brokerage as well as consulting and evaluation, I 7 also hold a CCIM designation, and I am an AQB certified 8 USPAP instructor with the Appraisal Foundation.</p> <p>9 Q All right. For how long have you been a 10 licensed certified general real estate appraiser in 11 Virginia?</p> <p>12 A Since licensing went into effect. It first 13 went into effect in 2000. Then Governor Wilder 14 suspended it for two years, and then ever since it was 15 reenacted, I've been licensed.</p> <p>16 Q All right. Do you have to do anything to 17 maintain that license?</p> <p>18 A You have to have continuing education, yes.</p> <p>19 Q And have you continued to keep up that 20 requirement since you had your license? Or had it 21 reinstated, I guess?</p> <p>22 A Yes, all of my licenses are not coterminous. 23 So it's a continual renewal process. I'm currently 24 renewed in Virginia through the end of '22.</p> <p>25 Q All right.</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

18 to 21

<p>1 A Same would hold true for the designations. 2 They require a little more robust continuing education. 3 I -- I'm currently certified to teach the current 4 version of the USPAP 2021 edition, and I've also renewed 5 my CCIM.</p> <p>6 Q Now, have you ever had a complaint filed 7 against you with any licensing agency in any 8 jurisdiction in which you're professionally licensed?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And tell me about those, if you will.</p> <p>11 A The only -- the only one I really recall was 12 an attorney in a matter that I wasn't actually involved 13 with. One of my associates was. After the fact, one of 14 my -- two of my associates bought a property. The 15 attorney complained.</p> <p>16 The attorney was not aware that the client who had 17 hired my associates gave them permission. So it was 18 screened, and went no -- I mean, it was -- the case was 19 closed.</p> <p>20 Q I gotcha. And was that -- what jurisdiction 21 was that in?</p> <p>22 A Virginia.</p> <p>23 Q Was that with the Real Estate Appraiser Board 24 in Virginia?</p> <p>25 A Yes, I think it was DPOR, Department of</p>	<p>18</p> <p>1 Professional --</p> <p>2 Q Okay.</p> <p>3 A As the custodian of the files and as the 4 president of the firm, I received the complaint.</p> <p>5 Q I gotcha.</p> <p>6 A Like I said, it was dismissed shortly 7 thereafter. There was one matter referred for the 8 Appraisal Institute of issuance to MAI. There was an 9 anonymous complaint. I submitted my work. It was 10 screened and the file was closed. No further action.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. Was that with regard to an appraisal 12 you had prepared?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q In what jurisdiction?</p> <p>15 A Maryland.</p> <p>16 Q And what was the substance of the complaint 17 made with the appraisal? Was it the Appraisal 18 Institute, you said?</p> <p>19 A Yeah. You don't know because it's all 20 anonymous. You just get a letter saying a complaint has 21 been lodged, please submit your --</p> <p>22 Q Okay.</p> <p>23 A -- your appraisal for screening. I had just 24 completed my term as the regional ethics member in 25 charge of region 6. So it was -- familiar with all the</p>	<p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>1 parties. I submitted my work.</p> <p>2 It was reviewed by the director of screening with 3 the Appraisal Institute, and then I received a letter 4 saying the matter had been closed with no further 5 action.</p> <p>6 Q Okay.</p> <p>7 A And that -- you just don't know who did it, 8 why they did it. It's just -- that's the way it works.</p> <p>9 Q Have you ever, yourself, filed a complaint 10 against another appraiser with a licensing agency?</p> <p>11 A In my capacity with the Appraisal Institute, 12 in one of my national roles, I did -- I was required to 13 file it one time. And then that was -- as the 14 experience coordinator for the DC Metro area, when a 15 member -- when a candidate or, now called associate, 16 seeks membership through designation, they have to meet 17 certain criteria, one of which is their work that they 18 contribute on.</p> <p>19 And when that work comes in, if there is -- if the 20 candidate or associate is denied the experience credits, 21 and there are -- the basis for a denial rises to an 22 ethical rule level, then, as the head of that committee, 23 I'm required to submit it. So in 20 some years in that 24 capacity, I submitted one report.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And what was that -- was that with</p>
--	--	---

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

22 to 25

	22		23
<p>1 referring to the project or just the Ralston VanFossen 2 matter?</p> <p>3 Q Well, let's start with just the Ralston 4 VanFossen matter.</p> <p>5 A Yes, I've appraised many properties in 6 Augusta County. As I said, I represent the board of 7 supervisors.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. And the appraisals that you had 9 prepared for properties in Augusta County prior to your 10 involvement in this case, were those involving the 11 Atlantic Coast Pipeline? Or were there other appraisals 12 that you had prepared separate and apart from the ACP 13 project?</p> <p>14 A A combination of ACP-related work and non 15 ACP-related work.</p> <p>16 Q When was the first time that you appraised a 17 property in Augusta County, Virginia?</p> <p>18 A In my representation of the board of 19 supervisors I think was the first time, and I think it 20 goes back to either 2012 or 2013.</p> <p>21 Q When you say you represent the board of 22 supervisors, in what capacity is that?</p> <p>23 A They're my client.</p> <p>24 Q But what work are you doing for them?</p> <p>25 A I handle their ad valorem property tax</p>		<p>1 appeals once it goes to -- beyond an administrative 2 review.</p> <p>3 Q So if an owner decides to challenge his or 4 her tax assessment and it goes beyond the administrative 5 review, then the -- the board of supervisors would get 6 you involved to defend its assessment?</p> <p>7 A They could. It's not -- it's not an 8 automatic hire. It depends upon the board's discretion 9 as to, you know, whether they bring it to me or somebody 10 else, but -- what's been represented to me is I 11 represent the board in their largest tax base, 12 properties.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Now, in your capacity representing the 14 Augusta County Board of Supervisors, have you been 15 involved in litigation or testified in litigation?</p> <p>16 A I have.</p> <p>17 Q I guess that was a compound question. Have 18 you actually testified in litigation cases in your 19 capacity representing the board of supervisors?</p> <p>20 A I have.</p> <p>21 Q All right. What -- multiple -- multiple 22 times?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q And what were those cases?</p> <p>25 A One was McKey Foods, and the other one was</p>	
	24		25
<p>1 Hershey Chocolate.</p> <p>2 MR. MINSON: Objection for this line of 3 questioning. I don't see how it's relevant, 4 but continue.</p> <p>5 A And the other one was Hershey Chocolate of 6 Virginia, Inc.</p> <p>7 Q All right.</p> <p>8 MR. CLARKE: If I could get this marked 9 as Harvey 1?</p> <p>10 (Whereupon Harvey Exhibit No. 1 marked 11 for identification.)</p> <p>12 Q All right. Mr. Harvey, I've given you a 13 document that's been marked for identification purposes 14 as Harvey Exhibit 1, and I'll just ask -- if you need to 15 take a little review of it, that's fine, but I'm going 16 to ask you some questions about it.</p> <p>17 A Go ahead.</p> <p>18 Q All right. So actually if you're looking at 19 the first page here -- I know you're kind of looking 20 further back. Is -- it's your report; is that correct?</p> <p>21 Q Or they -- one of your reports; is that right?</p> <p>22 A Yes, it's -- it's one of my reports. Why 23 I'm staying on page 34 is I signed these reports 24 electronically, and my stamp does not appear on page 34. 25 If you read the paragraph in italics below that, it's</p>		<p>1 possible to unlock it, if one were to save it in some 2 other capacity, but I submit them in electronic format.</p> <p>3 Q Okay.</p> <p>4 A So just to be clear, what I submitted had a 5 digital stamp indicating, you know, my digital ID, and 6 the file was locked.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Well, I'm going to -- I'm going to 8 represent to you that this is what I received from 9 McGuire Woods. So I don't know anything about that. 10 I'm not trying to suggest that something was altered 11 here, but I appreciate your bringing that to my 12 attention.</p> <p>13 A Yeah, let me just -- so that's -- there's 14 not an elevated level of concern. This is fairly 15 common. I'm required to submit it to client and other 16 intended users with the file lock.</p> <p>17 Q I gotcha.</p> <p>18 A Lots of times, IT departments in 19 transmitting documents and legal will save it in another 20 capacity or whatever, or the other possibility is the 21 copy did not elevate the stamp but --</p> <p>22 Q I gotcha. Okay. Well, I appreciate that.</p> <p>23 A It appears to be my work.</p> <p>24 Q Sure.</p> <p>25 A And I will note anything to the degree it</p>	

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

26 to 29

<p>26</p> <p>1 differs from what I recall.</p> <p>2 Q I appreciate that.</p> <p>3 A Sure.</p> <p>4 Q If you will, look at the very beginning of 5 it. Before you get to your -- the substance of your 6 report itself, and, actually, there's a -- the second 7 page of the document, Harvey Exhibit 1 --</p> <p>8 A Could I read this, please?</p> <p>9 Q Sure.</p> <p>10 A Okay. Thanks.</p> <p>11 Q All right. So, Mr. Harvey, looking at the 12 second page of this -- of this exhibit, there's sort of 13 a block about a third of the way down the page that has 14 your name and your business contact information. Do you 15 see that?</p> <p>16 A I do.</p> <p>17 Q All right. And then underneath that to the 18 end of the document at the top of page 3, there's three 19 paragraphs of text. Do you see those?</p> <p>20 A I do.</p> <p>21 Q Did you write that text?</p> <p>22 A No.</p> <p>23 Q All right. Do you know who wrote it?</p> <p>24 A The signature is James Holt. So I can only 25 assume that he authored it.</p>	<p>27</p> <p>1 Q Okay. Have you seen this text that we're 2 just looking at here, these three paragraphs, before 3 today?</p> <p>4 A I haven't seen this -- this is typical of a 5 transmittal of an expert report under the rules. I've 6 seen very similar transmittal letters in many 7 capacities. I didn't see this particular one. That's 8 why I asked to read it.</p> <p>9 Q I appreciate that. If you look just briefly 10 at the second paragraph underneath your name and contact 11 information there, sort of the bottom of page 2, the -- 12 the last sentence of that says, "Mr. Harvey is expected 13 to testify consistent with the appraisal report to a 14 reasonable degree of his professional certainty." Do 15 you see that?</p> <p>16 A I do.</p> <p>17 Q What does that phrase mean, to a reasonable 18 degree of his professional certainty?</p> <p>19 A Well, it's legal language that I've heard in 20 many capacities, and I'm often asked at live testimony 21 if I hold my opinions to a degree of professional 22 certainty.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. That's not -- that's not a phrase that 24 you asked to be included in this disclosure, I take it; 25 is that correct?</p>
<p>28</p> <p>1 A No. My document starts after the separated 2 page of Exhibit A. This is, I believe, the attorney's 3 transmittal to the filing of my exhibit.</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 A And his language.</p> <p>6 Q I appreciate that. Now, continuing on to the 7 next paragraph there at the bottom of page 2, Mr. 8 Harvey, it says, "In reaching his opinions, Mr. Harvey 9 reviewed the documents, facts, and data described and 10 supplemented in the attached expert report and appraisal 11 report attached to the expert report." Do you see that?</p> <p>12 A I do.</p> <p>13 Q So just so I understand, the attached expert 14 report is what you were saying starts after the 15 separation page that says Exhibit A? And then there's a 16 document that's midway down the page that says expert 17 report? Is that -- that's what's referenced there on 18 page 2?</p> <p>19 A Correct.</p> <p>20 Q All right. And what is the -- the reference 21 on page 2 to the appraisal report attached to the expert 22 report. What is that?</p> <p>23 A If you turn to the table of contents, second 24 page.</p> <p>25 Q Yes, sir.</p>	<p>29</p> <p>1 A And I believe in this particular case, it's 2 referring to Exhibits 4 and 8, which are exhibits to 3 Harvey Depo Exhibit 1, and in those two exhibits are 4 actual appraisal reports. So that's why it refers to an 5 expert report and appraisal reports.</p> <p>6 Q Okay.</p> <p>7 A The expert report is in accordance with 8 Rule 2682, and the two appraisal reports meet the 9 criteria of USPAP standard 2A.</p> <p>10 Q Okay.</p> <p>11 A 1 -- 2-2A. I'm sorry.</p> <p>12 Q Is the expert report also an appraisal report 13 under USPAP?</p> <p>14 A Yeah, I wouldn't distinguish between the 15 two, but I could see one doing so. The Rule 26 report 16 doesn't hold the same criteria as does the requirements 17 for USPAP for a written appraisal report.</p> <p>18 In my particular case, they are -- those criteria 19 could be synonymously employed, meaning my expert report 20 does meet all the criteria of a stand alone appraisal 21 report under 2-2A, but it also has two additional 22 appraisal reports as exhibits there too.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. So just so I -- so I'm clear.</p> <p>24 A Sure.</p> <p>25 Q I don't want to be misunderstanding. Your</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

30 to 33

<p>30</p> <p>1 expert report would constitute an appraisal report under 2 the USPAP standards, but it, itself, also has two other 3 USPAP-compliant appraisal reports attached to it?</p> <p>4 A That's correct.</p> <p>5 Q All right. Now, in reaching your opinions 6 with regard to this case, did you review any documents, 7 facts, or data that's not described or supplemented in 8 this expert report that's attached?</p> <p>9 A I don't understand your question. I mean, I 10 reviewed lots of data. I didn't rely, you know, on any 11 additional data that's not described and referenced in 12 Exhibit 1.</p> <p>13 Q All right. It's really a reference -- again, 14 if you're looking back at page 2 of this initial cover 15 document at the bottom, it mentioned that you reviewed 16 the documents, facts, and data described and 17 supplemented in the attached expert report. I'm 18 wondering if you reviewed any documents, facts or data 19 that is not described or supplemented in the attached 20 expert report?</p> <p>21 A Where's that language?</p> <p>22 Q It's at the very bottom of page 2.</p> <p>23 A So in accordance with the language of the 24 bottom 2, yes, all of the documents, facts, and data are 25 referenced in Exhibit 1, and I -- I probably did review</p>	<p>31</p> <p>1 some other data, but I found it to be extraneous and not 2 valid for purposes of developing the appraisal and, 3 therefore, didn't consider it further.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. So turn with me, if you will, to your 5 expert report, which is attached as Exhibit A to Harvey 6 Deposition 1.</p> <p>7 A Okay.</p> <p>8 Q And it -- just want to confirm this is your 9 report with regard to this case; is that correct?</p> <p>10 A It's one of the reports.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And just so I understand, who are the 12 owners of the property that you were appraising in this 13 report?</p> <p>14 A Bonnie V. Ralston and Carson R. Ralston, the 15 trustees of the Bonnie V. Ralston trust agreement, dated 16 June 13th, 2009.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. When did you prepare this report?</p> <p>18 A This Exhibit 1 was prepared, if you go back 19 to the title page, on November 27th, 2019.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And it's indicated on that page that 21 this is what's called a third supplement; is that 22 correct?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q And there are three other reports referenced 25 of different dates prior to that; is that right?</p>
<p>32</p> <p>1 A Correct.</p> <p>2 Q When did you accept this appraisal assignment 3 to prepare this expert report, the third supplement?</p> <p>4 A When the underlying plats were revised, they 5 were brought to my attention, and it was at that time 6 that I was asked to supplement my work. I don't 7 remember the exact date, but I could --</p> <p>8 Q All right.</p> <p>9 A -- reference the plat dates. Normally, 10 they're transmitted to me shortly thereafter. So for 11 PIN -- for property identification nos. 40-11, that plat 12 was amended October 21, 2019. I would say it was that 13 week that I was communicating with and asked to 14 supplement based upon the revised plat.</p> <p>15 Q Who was it that asked you to do that?</p> <p>16 A Most likely, James Holt. But I don't recall 17 the specific telephone call or e-mail or transmittal by 18 which I received it. As you know, there's multiple 19 attorneys on this project working under the McGuireWoods 20 firm.</p> <p>21 Q And were you asked to prepare a new appraisal 22 or to provide something or just to set -- told, "Hey, 23 these plats have been updated. Will you update your 24 opinions?"</p> <p>25 A It was more the latter of what you</p>	<p>33</p> <p>1 referenced. There have been other amended plats in the 2 past in other cases. So this -- I couldn't call it 3 routine, but it's not the first time this type of 4 occurrence has occurred. And given my familiarity with 5 the rules, you know, I always find it beneficial to just 6 submit a new, entirely self-contained expert report.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Now, does this expert report, the 8 third supplement that's dated November 27th, 2019, does 9 that contain a complete statement of all of the opinions 10 you will express and the basis and reasons for them?</p> <p>11 A If -- when this matter goes to trial, is 12 that what you're asking? If it goes to trial? Because 13 there's another report. That's why I'm confused by your 14 question.</p> <p>15 Q Sure.</p> <p>16 A The answer is no, as you've asked. The 17 answer is no.</p> <p>18 Q Because there's another report that's a 19 review appraisal? Is that the --</p> <p>20 A Rebuttal, yes.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. So other than that rebuttal report, is 22 there any -- any other statements or opinions you will 23 express or the basis and reasons for them that you 24 intend to testify if we go to trial on this matter?</p> <p>25 A None that I would intend to, although I</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

34 to 37

<p>1 don't control what questions are asked. So to the 2 degree somebody asks a question that's outside of the 3 four corners of this report or the rebuttal report, and 4 the judge would not object to that, or the judge would 5 not overrule such an objection, then I would answer 6 those questions.</p> <p>7 Q So other than what's contained in this third 8 supplement expert report and the rebuttal report which 9 we'll look at later, are there any other opinions you 10 will express in this case that are not contained in 11 either of those?</p> <p>12 A Not that I intend to.</p> <p>13 Q And does this report, the third supplement 14 and the rebuttal report we talked about, do those 15 contain all of the facts or data which you considered in 16 forming your opinions?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q Is there any facts or data which you 19 considered in forming your opinions which is not 20 contained in either this third supplement report or the 21 rebuttal report?</p> <p>22 A No.</p> <p>23 Q And does your expert report, the third 24 supplement, and the rebuttal report we talked about, do 25 those contain all of exhibits which will be used to</p>	<p>34</p> <p>1 summarize or support your opinions?</p> <p>2 A I don't intend to have any other exhibits, 3 but it's been my experience that a demonstrative exhibit 4 may be included, not for purposes of submitting it to 5 the jury, but for helping the jury understand any 6 testimony. It's possible there would be other 7 demonstrative exhibits.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. Did any of the attorneys with the law 9 firm of McGuireWoods provide you with facts or data 10 which you considered in forming your opinions?</p> <p>11 A Other than the plats and the complaint, no.</p> <p>12 Q And did any of the attorneys with the law 13 firm of McGuireWoods provide you with any assumptions 14 upon which you relied in forming your opinions?</p> <p>15 A No.</p> <p>16 MR. MINSON: Objection to the form of 17 that.</p> <p>18 A No.</p> <p>19 Q What -- what was your assignment? What were 20 you appraising in this report?</p> <p>21 A I was appraising the two property 22 identification numbers listed in the complaint under the 23 federal rule before and after for purposes of a fifth 24 amendment taking.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. Were you considering the rights that</p>
<p>36</p> <p>1 were being acquired by Atlantic Coast Pipeline across 2 those properties?</p> <p>3 A In part, yes.</p> <p>4 Q How did you know what rights were being 5 acquired?</p> <p>6 A Well, the rights were set forth in the 7 complaint that was transmitted to me by the McGuireWoods 8 attorneys.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. And how many times have you appraised 10 this property or these properties on behalf of Atlantic 11 Coast Pipeline?</p> <p>12 A Well, this is the fourth supplement or 13 fourth appraisal.</p> <p>14 Q So each -- each of the prior appraisals or 15 reports that are listed on your cover page of the expert 16 report, those are themselves appraisal reports; is that 17 correct?</p> <p>18 A They're all expert reports that contain as 19 exhibits additional appraisal reports. And going back 20 to my prior answer, I believe the expert report is also 21 an appraisal report, and each one would be a different 22 assignment because assignment conditions changed 23 vis-à-vis a change in the plats, a change in the taking, 24 and so forth, change in the date. So four appraisals, 25 four different assignment results.</p>	<p>37</p> <p>1 Q Okay. Now, you talked a little bit before 2 and we talked somewhat about USPAP, and you're familiar 3 with USPAP, the Uniform Standards of Professional 4 Appraisal Practice, correct?</p> <p>5 A I am.</p> <p>6 Q Is there a provision in USPAP that relates to 7 this idea of supplement reports?</p> <p>8 A Well, there are many provisions in USPAP. I 9 don't believe they use the word "supplement." I think 10 the more common nomenclature would be "update" or 11 "recertification," and, in that capacity, a supplement 12 report, you know, would be a form of an updated report, 13 sure.</p> <p>14 Q Okay.</p> <p>15 A New assignment and new assignment results as 16 a result.</p> <p>17 Q Is there anything -- thinking about your 18 expert report, the third supplement that we're looking 19 at now, is there anything in this report that you would 20 need to look at any of your prior reports to understand 21 that's not self contained in this document?</p> <p>22 A No.</p> <p>23 Q If you'll turn with me to page 7 of your 24 expert report, Mr. Harvey.</p> <p>25 A Okay.</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

38 to 41

<p>1 Q And there's a section near the top of page 2 that is indicated "general assumptions and limiting 3 conditions." Do you see that? 4 A I do. 5 Q What is the difference between a general 6 assumption and limiting condition and an extraordinary 7 assumption? 8 A An extraordinary assumption is an assumption 9 made for specific assignment. A general assumption and 10 limiting condition would be applicable to virtually all 11 assignments. 12 Q Now, looking at those general assumptions and 13 limiting conditions on page 7 of your report, the first 14 item states, "No. 1, no responsibility is assumed for 15 the legal description provided or for matters including 16 legal or title considerations." Do you see that? 17 A I do. 18 Q What was the legal description provided? 19 A It was set forth in the complaint, and it's 20 referenced on the report on page 11. 21 Q All right. So page 11 and then on to the top 22 of page 12 has a legal description of the properties 23 that you were appraising; is that correct? 24 A Well, so my answer is clear to your prior 25 question, the first paragraph under identification of</p>	<p>38</p> <p>1 real estate appraised is that which is referenced in the 2 complaint. Because there were two subject PINs, but I, 3 in my determination of the solution to the appraisal 4 problem, I determined there was a larger parcel at 5 issue. 6 These are the legal descriptions that I obtained 7 through my own resources. They're not part of the 8 complaint, and that's what continues on the bottom of 9 page 11 and top of page 12. 10 Q All right. And that -- that legal 11 description of -- I think it was four additional tracts 12 that you determined comprised with the two subject -- 13 comprise what you call the "subject larger parcel." Is 14 that correct? 15 A Correct. 16 Q And the legal description that you have of 17 those four additional tracts that's on the bottom of 18 page 11 and the top of page 12, there's a footnote, 19 no. 15 on page 12, that's indicated that that is from 20 the deed of gift recorded March 4th, 2010; is that 21 correct? 22 A Yes. 23 Q And that's what you were describing? You 24 obtained -- you found that deed or you got a copy of 25 that deed, and you pulled the legal description of those</p>
<p>1 four additional parcels from that deed? 2 A Correct. 3 Q All right. Did -- did you include this same 4 general assumption and limiting condition back on 5 page 7, item no. 1 in each of your three previous 6 reports that you prepared regarding these properties? 7 A Yeah, I mean, it's a matter of course that 8 all appraisals, all written appraisals, would generally 9 include general assumptions and limiting conditions. 10 Maybe some word changes, given the years at issue but -- 11 yeah. 12 Q Did the legal description provided to you 13 change between any of those reports? 14 A In the complaints, in the amended 15 complaints, I don't believe so. 16 Q Okay. Now, if you'll turn with me to page 9 17 of your expert report, Mr. Harvey. 18 A Yes. 19 Q You indicate there sort of towards the top 20 that April 4th, 2018, is the effective date of the 21 appraisal; is that correct? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How did you determine that date? 24 A That's the date of the original complaint. 25 Q And we talked about it, but again, page 9</p>	<p>40</p> <p>1 indicates the date of this report, the third supplement, 2 is November 27th, 2019; is that right? 3 A Correct. 4 Q Now, looking at the top of page 9, you have a 5 statement that says "A retrospective appraisal is 6 complicated by the fact that the appraiser already knows 7 what occurred in the market after the effective date of 8 the appraisal." Do you see that? 9 A I do. 10 Q What is it that occurred in the market after 11 the effective date of this appraisal? 12 A Passage of time and subsequent activity. 13 Q Okay. Is there any specific market activity 14 that you're considering or thinking about when you made 15 that statement? 16 A No, this is model language out of USPAP with 17 regard to -- if you look at the footnote advisory 18 opinion 34, it's recommended that intended users of the 19 appraisal report be made aware of the appraiser's 20 perspective. 21 It's normally three perspectives of a valuation: 22 current, retrospective, or prospective. It's just model 23 language to orient any intended user as to what the 24 context of the appraisal is. 25 Q Okay. So -- and I appreciate that, but</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

42 to 45

<p>42</p> <p>1 thinking specifically about this report or -- in your -- 2 this appraisal, is there something other than passage of 3 time that occurred in the -- in the market or on these 4 properties after your effective date of the appraisal 5 that has -- has complicated your preparation of this 6 appraisal?</p> <p>7 A No, the classic example would be 9-11. On 8 the day after 9-11 -- if my appraisal was dated 9-9 and 9 then an event like 9-11 occurred, that's the type of 10 notice you're giving to people that, hey, it doesn't 11 consider that subsequent act or actions.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. Now, that paragraph at the top of 13 page 9 goes on to say, "Data subsequent to the effective 14 date may be considered in developing a retrospective 15 value as a confirmation of trends that would reasonably 16 be considered by a buyer or seller as of that date." Do 17 you see that?</p> <p>18 A I do.</p> <p>19 Q And then it goes on, "The appraiser should 20 determine a logical cutoff because at some point distant 21 from the effective date, the subsequent data will not 22 reflect the relevant market." Is that right?</p> <p>23 A Correct.</p> <p>24 Q And you determined that the cutoff date would 25 be the date of valuation, April 4th, 2018; is that</p>	<p>43</p> <p>1 right?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q How did you determine to use that date as 4 your cutoff date?</p> <p>5 A That's a default date. It has to go beyond 6 the effective date. Case law that I'm aware of -- 7 Denison is the case in Virginia where I think the 8 Virginia Supreme Court said just like appraisers use 9 data before an effective date, they can use data after 10 the effective date, but it has to be adjusted back to 11 the effective date.</p> <p>12 So the effective date is what controls the 13 valuation, whether it's data that occurred pre- or 14 post-valuation.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. So once you determined that April 4th, 16 2018, was your cutoff date, did you disregard data that 17 might have been available in the market after that date?</p> <p>18 A I didn't disregard it, but I didn't consider 19 it, either. In other words, in the course of doing my 20 work, I'll look for land transactions and so forth. If 21 I was aware of one, I would investigate it.</p> <p>22 If I was aware of one that, let's say, closed 23 post-valuation, I would look at that data and say, 24 "Well, was it listed or was it under contract prior to 25 the effective date?" And that's really what the</p>
<p>44</p> <p>1 confirmation speaks to. You could use a listing, for 2 instance, that hadn't settled yet. And you could 3 confirm the data by saying "And it subsequently 4 settled."</p> <p>5 If the meeting of minds occurred before the 6 effective date, then it would be maybe a good arbiter of 7 value. If the meeting of the minds occurred after the 8 effective date and there was a change to the list price 9 versus the settle price, that would have to be 10 considered, but that's -- that's really the extent of 11 what this language is speaking to. It doesn't mean you 12 can go 10 years after the fact and say, "Well, it 13 represents the same market." It's possible. Highly 14 unlikely.</p> <p>15 Q All right. Looking further down on page 9 of 16 your report, there's a section that's titled "scope of 17 work," and the first item under there indicates that you 18 made a personal inspection of the subject larger parcel 19 that involved an inspection of the land and exterior and 20 interior of the existing building improvements on a 21 "walk-through basis." Do you see that?</p> <p>22 A That's correct.</p> <p>23 Q When did you make that personal inspection?</p> <p>24 A July 23rd, 2018.</p> <p>25 Q And what was your purpose in doing that?</p>	<p>45</p> <p>1 A To familiar myself -- familiarize myself 2 with the physical characteristics of the property.</p> <p>3 Q All right. So just so I'm clear, you made 4 that inspection with regard to your first appraisal 5 assignment, your first appraisal report that you 6 prepared for this property for this case; is that 7 correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q Since you were asked to prepare this 10 supplemental report, I think you said maybe in October 11 or November of 2019, you didn't make a subsequent visit 12 to the property; is that correct?</p> <p>13 A Not on the property.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. Did you make -- you made a subsequent 15 visit by the property?</p> <p>16 A I've driven by this property multiple times.</p> <p>17 Q But my question is --</p> <p>18 A Not for the purpose of inspecting but in my 19 travels in Augusta County.</p> <p>20 Q I understand. My question, though, is since 21 you were asked -- I think you said it was by James Holt, 22 but one of the attorneys at McGuireWoods -- and given 23 the updated plats and asked to supplement or update your 24 opinion, did you -- did you go to or by this property?</p> <p>25 A No, I didn't see the need --</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

46 to 49

<p>1 MR. MINSON: Objection.</p> <p>2 A I didn't see the need to since the effective 3 date remains the same for all four reports.</p> <p>4 Q I appreciate that. Now, you mentioned the 5 that personal inspection you made. Was someone with you 6 at that point?</p> <p>7 A Yes, both Mr. and Mrs. Ralston accompanied 8 me on the inspection.</p> <p>9 Q Okay.</p> <p>10 A More so Mr. Ralston, given that we did -- we 11 did walk the entirety of the property.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. You walked all the way up the hill?</p> <p>13 A I -- I did. They didn't.</p> <p>14 Q Okay.</p> <p>15 A But I walked beyond the cottage where the 16 niece lived, and we walked in all the buildings, and it 17 was a robust inspection.</p> <p>18 Q How long were you there on the property when 19 you made that inspection?</p> <p>20 A A couple hours.</p> <p>21 Q And you indicated Mr. and Mrs. Ralston were 22 with you. Did you talk with them?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q What was the substance of your conversation?</p> <p>25 A Cordial, cordial interaction. Appreciated</p>	<p>46</p> <p>1 their time, that type of thing. They answered certain 2 questions about when I went to the cottage. There was 3 no cooking -- there's no kitchen and so forth, which is 4 typical because this -- you know, it's not zoned for 5 multi-family development, and they indicated that, well, 6 it was not really intended for use.</p> <p>7 It's more of a cottage, and their niece was 8 attending college, and that's why she lived there, but 9 she ate with them. So they just gave real world 10 examples to some of the questions about, you know, 11 observations that I made. They may have answered some 12 other questions about when the last renovation occurred 13 of a particular appliance or element and, you know, what 14 particular buildings -- what uses they served, and so 15 forth. There were a lot of little outhouse buildings 16 and sheds.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. You indicated you went in all of the 18 buildings on the property; is that correct?</p> <p>19 A Went in all -- there may have been one or 20 two sheds that were very small that were self-evident, 21 but, yeah, I went to both sides of the street, walked 22 the flood plain areas, which are to the south. And then 23 to the north is ultimately where the ACP line is 24 proposed above the tree line where the cottage is.</p> <p>25 Q Was the proposed ACP route marked or staked</p>
<p>48</p> <p>1 or somehow indicated on the property during that visit?</p> <p>2 A No, I saw no markings on the property.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Would -- did that make it difficult 4 for you to understand the -- the route of the pipeline 5 as it crossed the property? Were you still able to do 6 that?</p> <p>7 A No, I was still able to do that. I carry --</p> <p>8 MR. MINSON: Objection to the form for 9 that question.</p> <p>10 A I carry with me electronic devices, one of 11 which is a phone and iPad, and they're both equipped 12 with GIS. So I have the real time and I have the 13 shapefiles for the center line. So I know exactly where 14 the pipe is.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And was anyone else with you? You 16 indicated Mr. and Mrs. Ralston. Anyone else with you 17 during the inspection?</p> <p>18 A My associate, William O'Donnell, was with 19 me, and Mr. and Mrs. Ralston were the fourth parties in 20 attendance during the inspection.</p> <p>21 Q And now you indicated, in response to a 22 previous question, that you had been by that property, 23 not necessarily on that property, but by it. How many 24 other times have you been by that property?</p> <p>25 A I haven't recorded the times, but, you know,</p>	<p>49</p> <p>1 it's a route that there were other properties, 2 obviously, along the pipeline route that I appraised and 3 that's the frequency by which I drove by the property.</p> <p>4 Q On any of those other times when you drove by 5 the property, did you ever stop and get out of your car 6 or take notice, take particular notice, of a property?</p> <p>7 A I certainly stop and got out of my car from 8 time to time, but it wasn't for the purpose of doing 9 anything with this property.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. Looking back at page 9, item no. 2, 11 Mr. Harvey, under your scope of work, it indicates that 12 you relied upon public records for information regarding 13 the subject larger parcels' legal and physical 14 characteristics. Do you see that?</p> <p>15 A Yes, I do.</p> <p>16 Q What are the public records that you're 17 referring to?</p> <p>18 A They would consist of land records recorded 19 with Augusta County as well as GIS and assessment 20 records available through the county website.</p> <p>21 Q And how did you obtain those records?</p> <p>22 A Electronically.</p> <p>23 Q What -- what is it that you learned from 24 those public records that you relied upon?</p> <p>25 A Well, assessment records carry with them</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

50 to 53

<p>1 specific data with regard to property descriptions as 2 well as references to transactional data. I have a 3 remote access license with the Augusta County Circuit 4 Court for land records. So plats, deeds, and so forth, 5 I obtained as well as deeds of trust and other important 6 information relative to transactional data.</p> <p>7 Q And are the public records that you relied 8 upon, are they identified or included in your report?</p> <p>9 Or are they just in your work file?</p> <p>10 A They are identified. For instance, the 11 instrument number and the footnote you read previously, 12 the deed of gift, that would be the form of 13 identification, and then the work file would contain the 14 actual document that was referenced in the report.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. Are there any public records that you 16 relied upon that are not identified in your report?</p> <p>17 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>18 Q Now, you mentioned GIS information. If I 19 could ask you to turn to -- I think it's Exhibit 1 to 20 your report.</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q And there's a number of maps with parcels 23 outlined?</p> <p>24 A Correct.</p> <p>25 Q Would you explain to me what those are?</p>	<p>50</p> <p>1 A Yeah, these are the county provided GIS 2 shapefiles for the four PINs that are referenced at the 3 top.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. And so these are the -- there's 5 actually six?</p> <p>6 A I'm --</p> <p>7 Q PIN numbers; is that right?</p> <p>8 A Six, yes.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. So these are the six tax parcels that 10 you determined were part of what you would call the 11 subject larger parcel; is that right?</p> <p>12 A The -- Augusta refers to them as property 13 identification parcels. They are used by the assessor, 14 but they're not really referenced as tax parcels.</p> <p>15 Q Okay.</p> <p>16 A It can get confusing. If you say tax 17 parcels, and I'm saying PIN or property identification, 18 but they're, many times, synonymous.</p> <p>19 Q I appreciate that clarification, and where 20 did you obtain these documents that you've included as 21 Exhibit 1 to your report?</p> <p>22 A From the Augusta County website.</p> <p>23 Q How is that you relied upon these documents 24 as Exhibit 1?</p> <p>25 A Well, they just provide the intended users</p>
<p>52</p> <p>1 as to a visible orientation as well as a numeric 2 reference to the six parcels at issue, but I don't rely 3 upon them further than just to identify property.</p> <p>4 Q Do these documents that you've included as 5 Exhibit 1, do they show the boundaries of the properties 6 that you wind up appraising?</p> <p>7 A They show the boundaries as identified 8 within the GIS database, but that's not the boundaries 9 that I rely upon. I rely upon the actual deeds, the -- 10 you know, that are referenced with the land records for 11 that purpose.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And which deeds in particular are you 13 talking about?</p> <p>14 A The ones that we've previously referenced, 15 the deed of gift that references all of the property 16 that was gifted to Bonnie Ralston.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. So the deed of gift that's indicated 18 in footnote 15 on the bottom of page 12; is that 19 correct?</p> <p>20 A Yes, right.</p> <p>21 Q Any other deeds that you're relying upon as 22 far as the boundaries of the parcels you're appraising?</p> <p>23 A Well, that deeds reference the prior 24 conveyance and so forth. So there's a chain of title, 25 and then to a degree, I normally will look for the prior</p>	<p>53</p> <p>1 deed to see if it's consistent with its legal 2 description.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. And are those prior conveyances 4 referenced themselves in your report?</p> <p>5 A No, they're referenced in the deed 6 themselves that's referenced in my report.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Can I get another cup 8 before you ask your next question?</p> <p>9 MR. CLARKE: Sure.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Is this a good time for a 11 quick break just down the hall?</p> <p>12 MR. CLARKE: That's fine. Sure. 13 (Whereupon there was a brief recess in 14 the proceedings.)</p> <p>15 BY MR. CLARKE:</p> <p>16 Q All right, Mr. Harvey. If you will, turn 17 back with me to the GIS documents we were looking at as 18 part of Exhibit 1 to your report.</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And I'll ask you to turn to the third of 21 those documents that indicates at the top property 22 identification no. 040-11.</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Do you see that? Sometimes we call that the 25 4011 property; is that right?</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

54 to 57

<p>1 A Okay. Yeah.</p> <p>2 Q If you would, hold your finger there, and 3 then turn with me to what you've indicated as Exhibit 3 4 to your report.</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And so there's actually two documents that 7 are -- that comprise Exhibit 3; is that right?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And these are -- would you call these the 10 plats, the ACP plats?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Now, looking at the first of those documents, 13 this appears to indicate -- or excuse me. This appears 14 to depict the property, the 40-11 property; is that 15 correct?</p> <p>16 A Correct, yes.</p> <p>17 Q The -- the boundary lines of the 40-11 18 property as shown on this plat in Exhibit 3, are they 19 the same as what's shown on the GIS document that's part 20 of Exhibit 1?</p> <p>21 A No, the GIS document shows shapefiles. The 22 plat shows actual boundary lines. Those are not 23 synonymous terms, and, in fact, the grids are not 24 aligned.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. So explain that to me in -- in</p>	<p>54</p> <p>1 layman's terms.</p> <p>2 A GIS uses two forms of architecture, and 3 unless you align your architecture that was used to 4 calibrate the plats with the GIS architecture, they 5 don't -- they don't match up.</p> <p>6 The purpose of Exhibit 1 and the six property 7 identification plats is just to orient the reader to the 8 property identification number, approximate location, 9 approximate size, approximate shape. I didn't rely upon 10 those six beyond that point. I do rely upon the deed of 11 gift and the plats when specific boundary issues are at 12 issue.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Now looking back at plats that are 14 part of the Exhibit 3, are those plats, to your 15 knowledge, surveys?</p> <p>16 A I don't understand your question because I'm 17 not a land surveyor licensed by the Department of 18 Professional Occupation. I mean, they constitute plats. 19 Whether they reach the level of surveys or not under the 20 Virginia requirements, I don't know.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. Well, I'll direct your attention to 22 the -- note no. 2 on both of those plats --</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q -- it says it's not a boundary survey, 25 correct?</p>
<p>56</p> <p>1 A Right. I just call them plats. I don't 2 call them boundary survey.</p> <p>3 Q I understand, but do you distinguish between 4 a plat and a boundary survey? Is that something you 5 distinguish?</p> <p>6 A Yeah, the boundary survey would normally 7 have the surveyor's stamp and license and be dated by 8 the party certifying that survey. These are terms of 9 art that people in the real estate industry will use, 10 plats, surveys, GIS. They all have a specific purpose.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And so you agree with me that these 12 are, on their face, not boundary surveys, these plats, 13 correct?</p> <p>14 A They're not surveys in the standpoint from 15 what -- if you were to ask me to provide you with a 16 survey, I would provide you back a document with a 17 surveyor's certificate on it.</p> <p>18 Q Okay.</p> <p>19 A If -- if the question were posed in 20 Virginia.</p> <p>21 Q Do you have in your work file -- have you 22 reviewed any boundary of surveys of -- let's talk about 23 the 40-11 tract?</p> <p>24 A Well, the deed of gift would represent -- 25 would reference the prior transaction, and in the course</p>	<p>57</p> <p>1 of my due diligence, I would normally go on the land 2 records to find -- a lot of times, they're very dated 3 surveys that go way back. But normally, I will chain 4 back until I find something, but I just don't recall.</p> <p>5 Q So you're not -- sitting here today, you're 6 not sure whether you have something like that in your 7 work file?</p> <p>8 A I probably do. I mean, electronically, when 9 I go on -- on my SRA license to the land records, I'm 10 looking for everything to the point where I'm satisfied 11 that I, one, have the right property and, two, that I'm 12 referencing it as accurately as possible.</p> <p>13 So I would have looked at the deed of gift, the 14 prior transaction, anything referenced in there which 15 would normally say a plat, and then go to find that 16 plat. But that plat would most likely have had changes 17 to it subsequent to its original recording, but that's 18 the due diligence that I typically do.</p> <p>19 Q So if you had come across a survey in your 20 review of the title with regard to the 40-11 tract, say, 21 is that something you would ordinarily typically include 22 in your report?</p> <p>23 A No, it's for my own personal satisfaction.</p> <p>24 Q And just so I understand it, are you relying 25 upon either of the documents, the GIS documents in</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

58 to 61

<p>58</p> <p>1 Exhibit 1 or the plats in Exhibit 3, with regard to the 2 boundaries of either parcel 40-11 or 4013?</p> <p>3 A Neither.</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 A I'm relying upon the Exhibit 1 to Exhibit 1 6 -- sorry for the multiple exhibit reference. Just for 7 identification purposes. Orient the intended user. So 8 I'm relying upon the two plats in Exhibit 3 primarily 9 for the area of the take only and its approximate 10 location, where it sits on the property.</p> <p>11 Q Now, are you relying upon any document with 12 regard to the boundaries of parcel 40-11 or 40-13?</p> <p>13 A Those that I've previously referenced, the 14 deed of gift. The land record to me would be the best 15 source of the property, its legal characteristics and so 16 forth.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. And did the deed of gift contain 18 what's called a metes and bounds description; is that 19 correct?</p> <p>20 A Sometimes it does. Sometimes it only 21 references lot and block or prior conveyance, less and 22 except.</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 A It doesn't always have a metes and bounds.</p> <p>25 Q Does this deed of gift contain metes and</p>	<p>59</p> <p>1 bounds description?</p> <p>2 A Well, I mean, we read the language. So I 3 think it's self evident because I took this right off 4 the deed of gift, but I'll orient you to it.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>6 A So if you go back to page 11, the first 7 paragraph, the identification is from the complaint 8 under identification. The second paragraph in that, 9 which appears on the top, as I said, are not -- they 10 reference prior plats and tracts, not a -- it's a 11 combination of referencing prior -- prior plats, 12 surveys, less and except pieces, that have been sold or 13 otherwise taken away.</p> <p>14 And in some respects, those are not your metes and 15 bounds, but they are some type of quantitative measure 16 such as rods and poles and so forth and acreage.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. So -- and where they're specific in 18 that language that you've quoted from the 2010 -- hold 19 on --</p> <p>20 A Top of page 12.</p> <p>21 Q Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 A Where it says "map of a portion of Sylvia B. 23 Hamilton's property," that's an illustration where then 24 you go look for that property.</p> <p>25 Q Right.</p>
<p>60</p> <p>1 A But the -- they get reconfigured over time.</p> <p>2 Q And you do that? Is that what you're saying?</p> <p>3 A I did.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. Now, in the description, the first 5 paragraph under -- on page 11 of identification of real 6 estate appraised, there's a reference to -- a couple of 7 times to a deed of gift dated April 2nd, 2018. Do you 8 see that?</p> <p>9 A I do.</p> <p>10 Q Do you have a copy of that deed of gift?</p> <p>11 A I would have -- yes, I believe I have all of 12 the deeds of gift.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. So I'm wondering why, then, the 14 reference that you hold in the next two paragraphs that 15 is from a deed of gift recorded March 4th, 2010?</p> <p>16 A Because I was distinguishing between what 17 was given to Mrs. Ralston versus what went into the 18 trust. I think they occurred under different 19 recordations.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. So your testimony is that March 4th, 21 2010, deed was the conveyance to Ms. Ralston, 22 personally?</p> <p>23 A I'm just referencing the activity that I 24 undertook as to -- there were multiple deeds that I had 25 reviewed that went into my file.</p>	<p>61</p> <p>1 Q Okay.</p> <p>2 A I can't tell you specifically what was -- 3 what was conveyed without looking at those particular 4 deeds --</p> <p>5 Q All right. You don't have those --</p> <p>6 A -- satisfied my curiosity when I was doing 7 this research.</p> <p>8 Q I appreciate that. You don't have those 9 deeds with you here today, I take it?</p> <p>10 A I do not.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And, again, is there a reason that the 12 language you reference on pages 11 and 12 is from the 13 March 4th, 2010, deed of gift?</p> <p>14 A Right.</p> <p>15 Q As opposed to the April 2nd, 2018, deed of 16 gift?</p> <p>17 A What was the question? I'm sorry.</p> <p>18 Q Is there a reason why you referenced and 19 pulled language specifically from that March 4th, 2010, 20 deed instead of the April 2nd, 2018, deed?</p> <p>21 A My only recollection is that when the trust 22 was formed on June 13th, that there may have been 23 something in the trust, and then the prior gift included 24 other properties in the trust. I just don't recall, but 25 there were multiple transactions, some which appeared to</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

62 to 65

<p>1 be an estate planning.</p> <p>2 Others appeared to be the inheritance that was 3 triggered by the death of Ms. Ralston's aunt or mother 4 or whoever it was. I just don't recall the family 5 relations.</p> <p>6 Q All right. Now, looking back at page 9 of 7 your report under the scope of work, item no. 3 8 indicates that you referred to the generally accepted 9 appraisal practices, procedures, rules and standards set 10 forth in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal 11 Practice, 2018 to 2019 edition. Do you see that?</p> <p>12 A I do.</p> <p>13 Q And why is that you're referring there to 14 USPAP?</p> <p>15 A Well, because at the time of development of 16 your work, that's the USPAP edition that controls one's 17 activity.</p> <p>18 Q Does this expert report, third supplemental 19 report that we're looking at, does this comply with 20 USPAP?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Does a report have to comply with USPAP?</p> <p>23 A Depends on the nature of the report. You 24 need to qualify what it was.</p> <p>25 Q Does this report have to comply with USPAP?</p>	<p>62</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. Does this report have to comply with 3 any other reporting or appraising standards?</p> <p>4 A The Code of Professional Ethics and the 5 Supplemental Standards of Practice to the Appraisal 6 Institute.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Does the report have to comply with 8 the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land 9 Acquisitions, sometimes called the Yellow Book?</p> <p>10 A Does this one? No.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. Does this report comply with the 12 Yellow Book?</p> <p>13 A I would say not because, for instance, the 14 Yellow Book -- one of the distinguishing characteristics 15 is the history of property has to go back ten years 16 versus USPAP, it's three years. So there are nuances 17 between the two that would apply to the Yellow Book 18 certification that I have not done for purposes of this.</p> <p>19 Q All right. Now, looking at item no. 4 on the 20 bottom of page 9 and on to the top of page 10, there's a 21 reference to several textbooks and treatises. Do you 22 see those?</p> <p>23 A I do.</p> <p>24 Q Do you consider those texts and treatises 25 that you've referenced there to be authoritative?</p>
<p>64</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And in your development of this report, did 3 you refer to specific pages of those texts or treatises?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q And are those pages specified within the 6 report itself?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Now, looking at the top of page 10, item 9 no. 5 indicates that you referred to peer reviewed 10 appraisals, treatises published by national professional 11 appraisal organizations on specialized topics relevant 12 to this assignment. Do you see that?</p> <p>13 A I do.</p> <p>14 Q What treatises are those?</p> <p>15 A Easement Valuation is one, and then the 16 other one -- I'll have to go find it and reference it 17 for you. The INGAA Foundation Report, Pipeline Impact 18 to Property Value and Property Insurability -- the INGAA 19 Foundation Report by David Dominy, D-O-M-I-N-Y.</p> <p>20 Q And just for the record, are you looking at a 21 specific page of your report?</p> <p>22 A For that reference, it was page 29. I 23 haven't finished the answer. So I was going to add 24 more.</p> <p>25 Q Great.</p>	<p>65</p> <p>1 A And then on -- The Analysis of Environmental 2 Case Studies by Thomas Jackson as published in the 3 Appraisal Journal.</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 A And the prior one that I mentioned was 6 Easement Valuation in the Right of Way by Donald 7 Sherwood. Those are the three treatises in response to 8 your answer.</p> <p>9 Q And your testimony is that those are peer 10 reviewed treatises?</p> <p>11 A Well the first two are, the INGAA, report is 12 an industry report.</p> <p>13 Q Okay.</p> <p>14 A It has been republished, though, in a number 15 of peer-reviewed publications.</p> <p>16 Q And just so I understand it, when you use 17 that term, peer-reviewed, what do you mean by that?</p> <p>18 A Peer-reviewed is a publication that is not 19 published until your colleagues, your peers in the 20 industry, have reviewed it and believe it to be 21 representative of valid techniques and opinions.</p> <p>22 I served as -- on the editorial review board for 23 the Appraisal Journal for a number of years, and in that 24 capacity, I was a peer reviewer.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And so that article by Sherwood</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

66 to 69

<p>1 entitled Easement Valuation, that was in the Right of 2 Way periodical, right? 3 A Yes, correct. 4 Q That -- that is a peer-reviewed article? 5 A Yes, and it's also been republished in a 6 number of peer reviews such as the Appraisal Journal and 7 other things. 8 Q Okay. Now, in your opinion, is it acceptable 9 appraisal methodology to rely upon treatises prepared by 10 other appraisers? 11 A Depends upon what type of reliance is placed 12 upon those treatises. 13 Q How so? 14 A There's no substitute for market data, and 15 that's the primary data that an appraiser will rely 16 upon. In -- in looking for a broader context of what 17 your peers do in a similar appraisal assignment, then 18 supporting literature such as that can be reasonably 19 relied upon. 20 Q Okay. 21 A Doesn't take the substitute of field work. 22 Q Okay. And is that in the context in which 23 you relied upon these three appraisal treatises that 24 you've testified about? 25 A Yes.</p>	<p>66</p> <p>1 Q Now, looking back at page no. 10 of your 2 report, Mr. Harvey, item no. 6 under your scope of work 3 indicates that you gather specific data on the subject 4 larger -- excuse me, subject larger parcel and market 5 area, and then it lists a number of sources. Do you see 6 that? 7 A I do. 8 Q I just want to kind of go quickly through 9 them so I understand what information, what data you 10 gathered, from each of the sources you've indicated. 11 A Okay. 12 Q But before we -- before I ask that question, 13 is the information from those sources specifically 14 identified and referenced or included in your report? 15 A Yes. 16 Q All right. So the first item indicated is 17 electronically transmitted and printed data services. 18 What -- what is that? And what information did you get 19 from those sources? 20 A Well, that is a broad category referring to 21 what follows. 22 Q Okay. So what follows, then -- the next item 23 is Augusta County's website, correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And what information or data did you obtain</p>
<p>1 from that source? 2 A Well, the website would provide, among other 3 things, GIS data, community data, population, budgetary 4 data for the county, assessment data, zoning data. I 5 think that would be the -- the broader extent of what I 6 obtained from the website. 7 Q Then the next item listed is Augusta County 8 Circuit Court's remote access system. What is the data 9 or information you received from that source? 10 A Those would be the recorded instruments in 11 the land records that I reference. 12 Q And we talked about the deed of gift or deeds 13 of gift, and then I think you mentioned that some of the 14 instruments that are referenced in those deeds you also 15 obtained from the land records; is that correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q How far back did you go? 18 A As far back as necessary, as far back as the 19 records go. They -- they go back pretty far. They've 20 digitized almost everything, and if you have to go back 21 to the early 1900s, it will reference a different source 22 for you to have to go back to. 23 Q Okay. 24 A So that website will take you to another 25 website, but all under your subscriber license.</p>	<p>68</p> <p>1 Q All right. And did you wind up following 2 that all the way back? 3 A Yeah, from time to time, I will go back 4 looking for some esoteric document that was handwritten 5 back in the 1800s or 1900s. 6 Q I'm asking, though, specifically with regard 7 to this report? 8 A Well, I just don't recall, you know, whether 9 I did or didn't do that, but it's par for the course. 10 Q Okay. Looking again at paragraph 6, the next 11 item listed is the Charlottesville Area Association of 12 Realtors Multiple Listing Service? 13 A Yes. 14 Q What data or information did you obtain from 15 that source? 16 A That's transactional data, listings and 17 sales that realtors input records in the multiple 18 listing system which is used as a marketing tool. The 19 Charlottesville Area Multiple Listing Service is the 20 predominant MLS -- it's not the only one, but it's the 21 predominant one used in Augusta County. 22 Q And is there specific information that you 23 obtained from that service with regard to this report? 24 A Yes, the listing records that are referenced 25 by MLS number are those, and then within each MLS</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

70 to 73

<p>70 number, if -- if a realtor is offering a property for 1 sale, there's -- many times, there's documents for that 2 particular property that are part of the listing. 3 So you can look at the underlying plat disclaimers 4 that disclose whether the property, the transactional 5 property, had any type of deficiencies that were 6 being -- things of that sort, plats, surveys. 7 Q Looking again at paragraph 6, the next item 8 listed is vamanet.com? 9 A Right. 10 Q What information did you receive from that 11 source? 12 A Vamanet.com is a listing of assessment 13 public records for other -- for instance, one of the 14 comps I had was from Rockbridge County, not Augusta 15 County. So I had to go back to vamanet to get data for 16 that particular one because it wasn't in Augusta, but 17 that's what vamanet -- it's very similar to an MLS or a 18 county website, but it has a broad number of 19 jurisdictions under its umbrella. 20 Q Okay. So other than one comp that you 21 referenced, did you receive or rely upon any other 22 information you got from vamanet? 23 A No. 24 Q And then the end of that sentence in</p>	<p>71 1 paragraph 6 references other sources that are referenced 2 in this report. What are those? 3 A Well, those that are referenced in the 4 report. If we go through the report, I will bring any 5 to your attention. 6 Q Okay. Then the next sentence begins, 7 "Geographic information system, GIS data, for the 8 subject larger parcel was obtained from ACP, ArcGIS, 9 Augusta County, and Google Earth." Is that correct? 10 A That's correct. 11 Q All right. So let's go through those 12 sources. What GIS data did you obtain from ACP with 13 regard to the subject larger parcel? 14 A The shape and data files an the center line 15 for the pipeline. 16 Q All right. So that was specific to the 17 pipeline route? Or did it include the parcels 18 themselves? 19 A Both. 20 Q Parcel 40-11 and 40-13? 21 A Both. 22 Q And I take it you didn't receive GIS data 23 from ACP with regard to the four other parcels that you 24 included as part of your subject larger parcel but which 25 were not directly part of the taking; is that correct?</p>
<p>72 1 A That's correct. The only -- the only shape 2 and data files that -- from the ACP GIS database were 3 properties that were actually on the route. 4 Q Okay. And the next item in that sentence, 5 ArcGIS. What GIS data did you did you get from source? 6 A I own an ArcGIS license. So multiple 7 layers, topography, street, world maps, climate, 8 hydrology, soils, all of that's under the ArcGIS license 9 that I hold. 10 Q Okay. And you obtained all -- you pulled all 11 of that information with regard to this subject larger 12 parcel in this report? 13 A Yeah, I mean, I -- I mapped the entire 14 Augusta County route, and that mapping exercise includes 15 all of those layers. So you can take the layers on or 16 off, and as you look at the property, it's helpful to 17 orient yourself to that type of data. 18 You can learn an awful lot about a property from 19 your desk without ever going on it. So you can go on it 20 to confirm what you learned from all these sources. 21 Q Right. The next item in that sentence is 22 Augusta County. What GIS information for the subject 23 larger parcel did you obtain -- 24 A Well, for instance, the six property 25 identification depictions that appear in Exhibit 1 to</p>	<p>73 1 Exhibit 1. 2 Q Okay. And then Google Earth. What -- 3 A Aerial mapping, primarily, measurements, 4 distances, and so forth. It's a very effective tool. 5 Q All right. Now, item no. 7 on page 10 6 indicates that you reviewed ACP's right-of-way exhibits 7 for the subject larger parcel -- 8 A Yes. 9 Q -- dated May 2nd, 2019, and October 21st, 10 2019, correct? 11 A Correct. 12 Q And we looked at those earlier. Those were 13 Exhibit -- 14 A 3. 15 Q -- 3 to your report, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you're aware, I take it, that those 18 right-of-way exhibits have changed over the past year or 19 two; is that correct? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Have you reviewed previous versions of those 22 right-of-way exhibits? 23 A Yes. 24 Q And do you know what the changes were? 25 A A combination of size and deletion of the</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

74 to 77

<p>74</p> <p>1 access route.</p> <p>2 Q So talking about the size change, do you --</p> <p>3 do you know -- are you aware of what prompted that size</p> <p>4 change on one of those right-of-way exhibits?</p> <p>5 A No. I mean, I -- typically, it's either an</p> <p>6 engineering function or a request by a landowner for</p> <p>7 some type of sensitivity issue, but that -- I didn't</p> <p>8 investigate specifically. I take it as a given. This</p> <p>9 is the -- the new parcel taking.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. Did you do anything to confirm the</p> <p>11 accuracy of those right-of-way exhibits?</p> <p>12 A No, I'm not a surveyor. I mean, I'm taking</p> <p>13 them for -- as they are presented.</p> <p>14 Q And now, item 7 there references the</p> <p>15 right-of-way exhibits for that -- it's a defined term</p> <p>16 that you have in your report, "subject larger parcel."</p> <p>17 Do you see that?</p> <p>18 A I do.</p> <p>19 Q Is it correct to say that the right-of-way</p> <p>20 exhibits pertain only to two of those parcels, two of</p> <p>21 the six parcels that make up the subject larger parcel?</p> <p>22 A You know, that could be interpreted many</p> <p>23 ways. The subject larger parcel is an appraisal</p> <p>24 determination I made, and those plats affect the subject</p> <p>25 larger parcel. Now, of the components of the subject</p>	<p>75</p> <p>1 larger parcel, only two have the ACP on them.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. My question really is did you --</p> <p>3 A I don't understand your question.</p> <p>4 Q Sure. My question is did you review or did</p> <p>5 you receive right-of-way exhibits from ACP that relate</p> <p>6 to -- specifically to any of those four of the non-take</p> <p>7 parcels that you determined were part of the subject</p> <p>8 larger parcel?</p> <p>9 MR. MINSON: Objection to the question.</p> <p>10 A No, I only received the two right-of-way</p> <p>11 exhibits referenced on paragraph 7.</p> <p>12 Q All right. Item no. 8 on page 10 of your</p> <p>13 report indicated -- excuse me -- indicates that you</p> <p>14 completed a survey of the subject market noting supply</p> <p>15 and demand factors and development trends in the subject</p> <p>16 matter area.</p> <p>17 A That's correct.</p> <p>18 Q Do you see that?</p> <p>19 A Uhm-hmm.</p> <p>20 Q Is that survey included in the report?</p> <p>21 A Yeah, summarized on the two form reports</p> <p>22 that I reference as appraisal reports 4 and 8.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. What did that survey consist of?</p> <p>24 A It's a qualitative analysis of population,</p> <p>25 market trends, whether values are increasing, stable, or</p>
<p>76</p> <p>1 decreasing, and the marketability of the property in the</p> <p>2 area, market area, as defined.</p> <p>3 Q All right. So just so I'm clear, when you</p> <p>4 use that term "survey," we're not talking about a --</p> <p>5 something where you talk to a bunch of people and ask a</p> <p>6 bunch of people the same type of questions?</p> <p>7 A No.</p> <p>8 Q You're talking about a survey in sort of a</p> <p>9 review of available information?</p> <p>10 A It's more of an analysis in that case.</p> <p>11 Q Okay.</p> <p>12 A The survey is analytical, not quantitative,</p> <p>13 with regard to property depiction.</p> <p>14 Q All right. And item no. 9 on page 10</p> <p>15 indicates that you analyzed the subject larger parcel's</p> <p>16 highest and best use; is that right?</p> <p>17 A Correct.</p> <p>18 Q What does that phrase mean, "highest and best</p> <p>19 use"?</p> <p>20 A It's the maximally productive use that is</p> <p>21 legally permissible, physically possible, financially</p> <p>22 feasible, and that which creates the latest, greatest,</p> <p>23 long term value for the property. And it's done both on</p> <p>24 a before and after basis for this type of appraisal.</p> <p>25 Q Then Item 10 indicates you collect verified</p>	<p>77</p> <p>1 and analyzed comparable land sales, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q What were your sources for collecting those</p> <p>4 comparable land sales?</p> <p>5 A Everything referenced in paragraph 6 on</p> <p>6 page 10.</p> <p>7 Q Including the GIS information? Or are you</p> <p>8 talking about the -- the sentence before that in</p> <p>9 paragraph 6?</p> <p>10 A No, I mean, once I collect data, I have to</p> <p>11 verify it. So verification in some respects takes the</p> <p>12 form of a GIS -- GIS analysis.</p> <p>13 Q I understand. My question, though, is,</p> <p>14 really, what were your sources for collecting? How did</p> <p>15 you come about and collect the sales?</p> <p>16 A It was primarily MLS and deeds.</p> <p>17 Q All right. And did you collect any</p> <p>18 comparable improved sales?</p> <p>19 A If I did, I didn't rely upon them given the</p> <p>20 nature of the subject property, which was -- which was</p> <p>21 much greater land-to-building ratio than some of those</p> <p>22 other sales would have warranted.</p> <p>23 Q And how did you verify the comparable land</p> <p>24 sales that you're referencing there?</p> <p>25 A First and foremost, from the source, the MLS</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

78 to 81

<p>78</p> <p>1 or whatever, and then I would confirm that there was a 2 deed backing up the transactional data comparing the two 3 together. Oftentimes, there is an interview with the 4 agent to get any specific information that wasn't self 5 evident.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. Now, Item 11 indicates you collected 7 and analyzed cost and depreciation data for the subject 8 larger parcel's existing improvements and capitalization 9 and investment rates for short term investments; is that 10 correct?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q What -- what were the sources for the data 13 that you collected and analyzed as referenced in that --</p> <p>14 A If you turn to page 24, middle of the page, 15 it references CoreLogic Swift Residential Estimator, 16 formally known as the Marshall Valuation Service or 17 Marshall and Swift -- was the basis for the direct cost 18 as well as depreciation.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. Was there any other data or any other 20 source for the data with regard to the cost analysis?</p> <p>21 A That was the primary source, yeah. To a 22 degree there's a gravel driveway or a fence or a 23 culvert, I may go on prior experience as to what those 24 costs factors were, but I don't recall anything like 25 that in this case.</p>	<p>79</p> <p>1 Q Okay. Now looking back at page 10, if you 2 will, Mr. Harvey.</p> <p>3 A Okay.</p> <p>4 Q Item no. 12 indicates that you base this 5 appraisal, in part, upon an extraordinary assumption 6 that assumes the duration of the temporary easements for 7 the subject larger parcel is five years. Do you see 8 that?</p> <p>9 A Yes, yes.</p> <p>10 Q Now, we spoke a little bit earlier about 11 extraordinary assumption, but how did you determine to 12 make this extraordinary assumption?</p> <p>13 A To the complaint.</p> <p>14 Q The complaint indicates that this is an 15 extraordinary assumption?</p> <p>16 A No, the complaint indicates the duration of 17 the temporary construction easement is five years from 18 the date of ACP's acquisition of same.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. So when you -- you say you assume the 20 duration of the temporary easement is five years. Is 21 that five years beginning on the effective date of the 22 appraisal?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q And at this point, do you have any evidence 25 which would indicate whether that extraordinary</p>
<p>80</p> <p>1 assumption may be false?</p> <p>2 A No, I mean, it's five years from the 3 effective date. The litigation will determine when and 4 if that five year begins. But for purposes of 5 reasonable analysis for a jury to relate to what the 6 appraiser intends, there has to be an effective date. 7 So it's all appropriate.</p> <p>8 Q I understand that, but you're aware -- well, 9 let me back up. Are you aware of the status of the 10 construction of the ACP project on the Ralston property 11 as of today?</p> <p>12 A Well, it's on hold, I believe.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Has any construction occurred on the 14 property?</p> <p>15 A I don't know. I know there was a prior 16 inverse suit brought by the Ralstons against ACP, which 17 I believe was for trespass. I don't know if the 18 trespass resulted in actual construction activity or if 19 it was just surveying, but I know there's been some 20 activity.</p> <p>21 Q Okay.</p> <p>22 A So I can't speak to whether there was loss 23 of trees or any construction. I just know the history.</p> <p>24 Q Right. And I appreciate that, and I'm not 25 asking you to testify to any sort of specific detail</p>	<p>81</p> <p>1 about the construction process, but my question really 2 relates to the fact that there is this extraordinary 3 assumption that you've testified about a five-year 4 construction period. And I'm wondering if you've 5 encountered any evidence as of today whether that -- 6 whether construction will actually be completed within 7 that five-year period, or not?</p> <p>8 A Well, the terminology --</p> <p>9 MR. MINSON: Objection. You may answer.</p> <p>10 A The terminology in the complaint I think is 11 relevant because it says five years from ACP's 12 acquisition of the easement. To my knowledge, that -- 13 there's been no summary judgment award of the actual 14 easement, and, therefore, it's helpful for a jury to 15 understand from the point in time when this matter is 16 heard. That would be a reasonable basis for them to 17 consider any award for that element of the taking.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. So you're saying -- and maybe I 19 misunderstood your prior testimony. Forgive me if I 20 did, but you're saying the five years starts from some 21 future date when the easements are -- when the 22 acquisition of the easement occurs?</p> <p>23 A My interpretation of the language in the 24 complaint is the five years starts when ACP is vested 25 with the easement.</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

82 to 85

<p>1 Q Okay. When you say "vested," what do you 2 mean by that term?</p> <p>3 A The court awards the easement rights to ACP.</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 MR. CLARKE: I can have this marked as 6 Harvey 2.</p> <p>7 (Whereupon Harvey Exhibit No. 2 was 8 marked for identification.)</p> <p>9 BY MR. CLARKE:</p> <p>10 Q Mr. Harvey, I'm giving you a document that's 11 been marked for identification purposes as Harvey 12 Exhibit 2, and I will reference to you this states on 13 its cover, the first page, this is the third amended 14 complaint and condemnation. Do you see that?</p> <p>15 A I do.</p> <p>16 Q Have you seen this document before?</p> <p>17 A I believe so.</p> <p>18 Q And it -- just for the purposes of the 19 record, this does not include any of the exhibits?</p> <p>20 A I was going to say this is a lot shorter 21 document than the one I looked at.</p> <p>22 Q It's very light. Right. Right. And I want 23 to reference -- I want to ask you to turn, if you will, 24 with me to page 5 of this document, Harvey No. 2, and 25 paragraph no. 19. Do you see that?</p>	<p>82</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And is that the indication that you're 3 relying upon from the complaint?</p> <p>4 A I'm going to have to read this document.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. That's fine.</p> <p>6 A Yeah, yes.</p> <p>7 Q All right. So paragraph 19 includes the 8 language that you're relying upon, which talks about the 9 temporary easements being effective and condemned for a 10 period not to exceed five years following Atlantic's 11 possession of the easements; is that correct?</p> <p>12 A Correct.</p> <p>13 Q And so to your knowledge, has Atlantic taken 14 possession of the easements on the Ralston property?</p> <p>15 A Not to my knowledge.</p> <p>16 Q And just so I'm understanding your -- your 17 appraisal methodology, you -- you determined or you made 18 this extraordinary assumption about the duration of the 19 temporary easement being five years, correct?</p> <p>20 A Correct.</p> <p>21 Q And based on that summation, then, you -- and 22 we'll talk about this later -- you valued that temporary 23 easement for that five-year duration; is that correct?</p> <p>24 A That's correct.</p> <p>25 Q But you valued that temporary easement as of</p>
<p>1 April 4th, 2018; is that right?</p> <p>2 A Correct.</p> <p>3 Q However, the five-year time period when that 4 temporary -- temporary easement will be actually used 5 and effective did not begin on April 4th, 2018; is that 6 your testimony?</p> <p>7 A Can you restate that, please?</p> <p>8 Q Sure. The five-year time period when that 9 temporary easement is effective did not begin on 10 April 4th, 2018; Is that your testimony?</p> <p>11 A That's my understanding, yes.</p> <p>12 Q Did you do any sort of calculation or 13 manipulation of your valuation to account for the fact 14 that you were valuing the temporary easement as of 15 April 4th, 2018, but the time in which it would be 16 effective and in use was some uncertain time in the 17 future?</p> <p>18 A No.</p> <p>19 Q All right. If you will, look back with me at 20 Harvey Exhibit 1.</p> <p>21 A Okay.</p> <p>22 Q And if -- turn with me to page 11 of your 23 report.</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q And item no. 15 at the top of the page</p>	<p>84</p> <p>1 indicates that you developed the cost and sales 2 comparison approach using the before and after method to 3 value the permanent easements to be taken on the subject 4 larger parcel. Do you see that?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Can you explain to me how you valued the 7 permanent easement using the cost approach?</p> <p>8 A Yes, the cost approach is a summation of 9 land and improvements to determine property valuation. 10 In this case, two cost approaches were performed, one 11 before the easement and one after the start of the 12 easement. The differential, therefore, is the value of 13 the permanent easement.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. All right. I just want to make sure 15 because you didn't value improvements acquired within 16 that permanent easement area using the cost approach; is 17 that -- is that correct?</p> <p>18 A Can you restate that, please?</p> <p>19 Q Sure. You didn't use the cost approach to 20 value certain improvements that, themselves, were being 21 acquired in the permanent easement?</p> <p>22 A I'm not aware of any improvement in the 23 easement areas that are being acquired.</p> <p>24 Q That's what I wanted to clarify. Thank you.</p> <p>25 Now, item no. 17 at the top of -- little further down on</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

86 to 89

<p style="text-align: right;">86</p> <p>1 the top of page 11 indicates that you relied upon Joseph 2 C. Harvey and William D. O'Donnell, associates with 3 William C. Harvey, Inc., who assisted you in collecting, 4 verifying, and analyzing the data and valuing the 5 subject larger parcel. Is that correct?</p> <p>6 A That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q Who are they?</p> <p>8 A Joseph C. Harvey is my son. He's a licensed 9 Virginia appraiser trainee. He collects data. When I 10 say "collects," I mean he'll pull the assessment cards 11 and so forth.</p> <p>12 Mr. O'Donnell is a licensed certified residential 13 appraiser in Virginia. He accompanied me, as I 14 previously testified, on the inspection, assist me with 15 measurements and collecting information, and then we 16 just collaborate on evaluation.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. But, ultimately, you prepared this 18 report; is that correct?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And they did not sign the report; is that 21 right?</p> <p>22 A That's correct.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. And is there a reason that you 24 reference them specifically in your reliance upon them?</p> <p>25 A It's a requirement.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">87</p> <p>1 Q Under what?</p> <p>2 A Scope of work rule in the certification rule 3 and standard rule 2-3.</p> <p>4 Q It's a requirement that you do what?</p> <p>5 A That I acknowledge their professional 6 assistance.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. And is it specific -- does it have to 8 rise to a certain level of assistance for you to 9 acknowledge that?</p> <p>10 A Any assistance I'm going to acknowledge and 11 certify because I think it's appropriate. As far as a 12 trigger, no. I mean, it has to reference some type of 13 collection verification or analysis.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. Does USPAP itself require that you 15 acknowledge anyone who's assisted you in any capacity in 16 your preparation of the appraisal report?</p> <p>17 A USPAP uses the word significant as an 18 element to indicate when it should be disclosed. USPAP 19 also talks about administrative assistants, secretarial 20 or administrative work, it doesn't rise to that level.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. And looking at item no. 18, still on 22 page 11, Mr. Harvey, it indicates that you reconcile the 23 value indications into final estimates of value for the 24 subject larger parcel. Do you see that?</p> <p>25 A I do.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">88</p> <p>1 Q Were there multiple estimates of value that 2 you concluded for that defined term, subject larger 3 parcel?</p> <p>4 A Well, there's land component and total 5 property components. So the answer is yes, but in this 6 case, reconciliation was straight forward, what the 7 improved value determination was through one approach 8 versus the other.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. Now, the -- the next section we've 10 looked at before on page 11, but -- under identification 11 of the real estate appraised, the first sentence there 12 starts with a reference to the property with a capital 13 "P." Do you see that?</p> <p>14 A I do.</p> <p>15 Q Is that a defined term in your report?</p> <p>16 A No, it's a term of art to recognize that the 17 property consists of the two PINs, 40-11, 40-13, and I 18 want to just differentiate that between my determination 19 of the subject larger parcel, which is all six PINs 20 referenced in the report. So I use it just for intended 21 users so they understand the difference.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. So -- so when you use that term, 23 capital "P" Property, that's a specific reference to 24 40-11 and 40-13; is that right?</p> <p>25 A That's correct. That's correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">89</p> <p>1 Q And then the defined term, again, with 2 capital Subject Larger Parcel, that includes those two 3 plus four additional PINs; is that right?</p> <p>4 A All six, yes.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Looking at page 12 of your report, Mr. 6 Harvey, in the middle of the page, there's a chart 7 that's under the section "property description," and you 8 set forth the six parcels that you determined were part 9 of the subject larger parcel; is that correct?</p> <p>10 A That's correct.</p> <p>11 Q And your source for that is the Augusta 12 County Commissioner of the Revenue and GIS; is that 13 right?</p> <p>14 A That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q Are you aware that in a prior report for this 16 matter, you credited that to the City of Suffolk?</p> <p>17 A If I did, that was a typo.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. I just wanted to make sure there 19 wasn't anything involving the City of Suffolk.</p> <p>20 A No.</p> <p>21 Q All right. Now, looking at the bottom of 22 page 12 and continuing on to the top of page 13, you 23 indicate that there have been no other transfers of the 24 subject larger parcel during the past three years from 25 the effective date of appraisal, and then you have</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

90 to 93

<p style="text-align: right;">90</p> <p>1 parentheses, ie, and then on the next page April 26th, 2 2015, through April 26th, 2018. Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q What's the purpose of your reference to 5 April 26th, 2018? 6 A April 26th? 7 Q Yes, sir. 8 A Oh, if you go back to -- it should be 9 April 4th. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Typo. 12 Q That's a typo? 13 A Yes. 14 Q So it should be April 4th, 2015? 15 A That's correct. 16 Q Instead of April -- 17 A In both references, April 26th. April 26th. 18 See, I don't think this is the actual report I signed. 19 That would have been a correction. 20 Q Okay. Well, I mean, I can only tell you that 21 this is the report I received from your client. 22 A I understand. I understand. I don't think 23 it's substantively different. 24 Q Right. 25 A Little knits like that.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">91</p> <p>1 Q Right. 2 A We take a little time to get rid of them. 3 Q Okay. 4 A Which is the significance of the stamp. 5 Q Sure. And I can understand your frustration. 6 A It's quite possible that the stamped version 7 has the same typo. I will acknowledge that it's a typo. 8 It should be April 4th. 9 Q I just want to make sure. 10 A It should be April 4th. 11 Q Okay. 12 A And then to a degree that there is a stamped 13 version that has that correction in it, you should be 14 made aware of that version. 15 Q Okay. I appreciate that. 16 A It's page 13. First sentence. If you want 17 to take a minute, I can probably reference this stamped 18 version on my phone. 19 Q No. 20 A Okay. 21 Q That's fine. 22 A Okay. 23 Q That's a not a big deal. I appreciate that. 24 A Yeah, I just want to make sure you have a 25 full understanding --</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">92</p> <p>1 Q Right. 2 A -- of the actual documents. 3 Q Okay. Thank you. All right. Now, looking 4 at the bottom of page 13 and continuing on, there's a 5 chart on the top of page 14 which has an indication 6 about the assessed values and the taxes for the -- the 7 parcels that you've considered as part of the subject 8 larger parcel for 2018; is that right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Did you rely upon the assessed values in 11 forming your opinions in this case? 12 A No. 13 Q All right. There's a statement underneath 14 that chart on page 14 that says, "Based on my analysis, 15 the total 2018 assessed value provides a meaningful 16 occasion of the subject larger parcel's fair market 17 value?" 18 A Yes. 19 Q So your opinion is that they are a meaningful 20 indication of fair market value but you did not rely 21 upon them? 22 A Let me put it in context. Meaningful if you 23 use the land to assess -- assessment to sales price 24 ratio. In other words, if a property sells for 2 25 million and it's assessed for 1 million, the ratio would</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">93</p> <p>1 be 50 percent. 2 So it's then if you were looking at other 3 properties, they would be assessed for 1 million but 4 potentially sell for 2 million, those ratios would be 5 meaningful. 6 Q Okay. 7 A And the overall data would be meaningful, 8 but only in that context. 9 Q All right. And is there -- what's the ratio 10 for Augusta County? 11 A It's variable. 12 Q Okay. Does the county indicate that they are 13 assessing property at something less than fair market 14 value, though? 15 A Well, by state law, all counties have to use 16 100 percent of fair market value, but the coefficient of 17 variation and coefficient of deviation that is 18 calculated by the Department of Taxation never rises to 19 100 percent. I've never seen any county do that. 20 Q All right. So you're -- you're saying that 21 that coefficient or that variable, the ratio I guess, is 22 a function of actual sales of property versus 23 assessments? 24 A That's correct. That's how quality of 25 assessment are determined.</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

94 to 97

<p>94</p> <p>1 Q Okay.</p> <p>2 A How -- how closely they parallel the COV and</p> <p>3 COD.</p> <p>4 Q Now, at the bottom of page 14, there's a</p> <p>5 paragraph that references the partial taking of a</p> <p>6 subject larger parcel, and it says it consists of a</p> <p>7 permanent easement and a temporary easement in</p> <p>8 connection with the ACP's Atlantic Coast Pipeline</p> <p>9 project, correct?</p> <p>10 A Correct.</p> <p>11 Q And I think we talked about this before, but</p> <p>12 was your determination of the rights being taken, was</p> <p>13 that a function of what's in the complaint? Or, in this</p> <p>14 case, the third amended complaint that you have?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q And that paragraph goes on to describe the</p> <p>17 ACP project as involving the construction and laying of</p> <p>18 an approximately 600-mile underground pipeline and</p> <p>19 related facilities; is that right?</p> <p>20 A That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q Now, I understand the pipeline itself, but</p> <p>22 what are the other related facilities that you're</p> <p>23 referencing there?</p> <p>24 A Appurtenances, valve stems, any PIG</p> <p>25 launcher, or anything of that sort -- PIG launches, two</p>	<p>95</p> <p>1 words, pipe and ground -- any appurtenances that would</p> <p>2 be affixed to the pipe.</p> <p>3 Q And are any of those appurtenances or related</p> <p>4 facilities, are any of those proposed to be or going to</p> <p>5 be constructed on the Ralston tract?</p> <p>6 A I didn't see any indication of such. This</p> <p>7 is a generic statement for the entirety of the pipeline,</p> <p>8 which would include compressor stations, which are</p> <p>9 clearly above grade, and any other valves.</p> <p>10 Q Is it your understanding that ACP is</p> <p>11 acquiring the right to construct not only the pipeline</p> <p>12 underground but also certain above ground appurtenances</p> <p>13 on the Ralston property?</p> <p>14 A As defined on pages 15 and 16 are the rights</p> <p>15 that I understand, yes.</p> <p>16 Q All right. So looking at page 15, then,</p> <p>17 there's an indication under the tax assessment chart</p> <p>18 that -- about a description of the rights to be granted</p> <p>19 to ACP in connection with the permanent easement to be</p> <p>20 taken on the subject larger parcel; is that right?</p> <p>21 A That's correct.</p> <p>22 Q And there are three numbered paragraphs</p> <p>23 there, correct?</p> <p>24 A Correct.</p> <p>25 Q All right. And are those -- the information</p>
<p>96</p> <p>1 in those paragraphs taken from the third amended</p> <p>2 complaint that we were looking at earlier that's Harvey</p> <p>3 Exhibit No. 2?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q All right. In particular, looking at item</p> <p>6 no. 3, there on page 15 of your report --</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q -- and that says, "Of ingress and egress to</p> <p>9 and from and through the easements, the right to</p> <p>10 transport pipe, vehicles, machinery, persons, equipment,</p> <p>11 or other materials to and from and through the</p> <p>12 easements." Is that correct?</p> <p>13 A Correct.</p> <p>14 Q If you'll look with me at Harvey Exhibit 2,</p> <p>15 the third amended complaint.</p> <p>16 A Page 5, paragraph 19.</p> <p>17 Q Right. Paragraph 19.</p> <p>18 A And 20.</p> <p>19 Q In particular, paragraph 20, right, and I</p> <p>20 want to compare paragraph 20 to your item no. 3 on</p> <p>21 page 15 of your report. In particular, item 15 --</p> <p>22 excuse me. Item 3 on page 15 of your report indicates</p> <p>23 that ACP is acquiring the right of ingress and egress to</p> <p>24 and from and through the easements, correct?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p>97</p> <p>1 Q And that language is actually not in</p> <p>2 paragraph 20 of the third amended complaint. Do you</p> <p>3 agree with me?</p> <p>4 A No. Paragraph 20 reads "right of ingress</p> <p>5 and egress through the easement." Paragraph 18 provides</p> <p>6 rights to the property and through the easement. So</p> <p>7 it's, you know -- it's -- I'm paraphrasing but not</p> <p>8 quoting verbatim, but I'm paraphrasing the culmination</p> <p>9 of rights that I determine from these various numbered</p> <p>10 paragraphs in the complaint.</p> <p>11 Q Then my question is your -- your opinion and</p> <p>12 one -- one of the things you appraised was ACP's</p> <p>13 acquisition of the right of ingress and egress to and</p> <p>14 from the easements?</p> <p>15 A Through the easement.</p> <p>16 Q But -- but your -- I'm asking if you</p> <p>17 appraised ACP's acquisition of the rights of ingress and</p> <p>18 egress to and from the easements?</p> <p>19 A Just as set forth in this language.</p> <p>20 Q So in item no. 3 of page 15 of your report,</p> <p>21 is that incorrect in the description?</p> <p>22 A No. I'm going to -- I will reference to you</p> <p>23 where such access is provided. So if you go to page</p> <p>24 19 --</p> <p>25 Q Page 19 of -- or paragraph 19?</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

98 to 101

<p>1 A Exhibit 2. I'm sorry. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q Okay.</p> <p>3 A Paragraph 19, page 5.</p> <p>4 Q Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 A So it's referenced in 19, "authorized entry onto the property including restoration." We already talked about 20, and on 21 it talks about, "ACP project to maintain safe and efficient access to and from the ACP project." So it's my interpretation that I've properly reflected the rights.</p> <p>11 Q So your testimony is that ACP -- and you appraised ACP's acquisition of the right of ingress and egress to and from the easements?</p> <p>14 A Only for the purposes of restoration. It's through the easement that they would actually maintain the pipeline.</p> <p>17 Q All right. So your -- I just want to clarify because I'm a little bit confused here. Your -- your -- what you appraised -- one of the items you appraised, the right to appraise that ACP is acquiring -- your testimony is it includes the right of ingress and egress to and from the easements only for the purposes of restoration?</p> <p>24 A Correct, and then it would be through the easement of permanent purposes of maintenance.</p>	<p>98</p> <p>1 Q Okay. And what is it in the third amended complaint that you're relying upon with regard to that limited to and from for purpose of restoration? Is there a specific paragraph?</p> <p>5 A The paragraph on -- the paragraph on the temporary easement is what provides the access to and from for purposes of restoration, and then it's through the easement for everything else.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. And that's -- which paragraph is that, in particular?</p> <p>11 A 19, 20, 21.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. You agree with me in that third amended complaint those paragraphs do not include that specific language relating to ingress and egress to and from, correct?</p> <p>16 A Can you repeat that, please?</p> <p>17 Q Sure. You agree with me that the three paragraphs you just referenced, paragraphs 19, 20, and 21 from the third amended complaint, Harvey Deposition Exhibit 2, do not include specific reference to ingress and egress to and from, correct?</p> <p>22 A I'll have to -- I'd look back and see if it says "to and from." I thought it does say "to and from." So if you look at page 20 -- I'm sorry -- paragraph 20 on page 5, and you look at the end of the</p>
<p>100</p> <p>1 sentence, "to and from and through the easements" appears. It's -- I've referenced it.</p> <p>3 Q Right. My question is about that specific right of ingress and egress to and from the easements. The language in paragraph 20 talks about the right of ingress and egress through the easements, correct?</p> <p>7 A Not exclusively. It goes on to say "The right to transport pipe, vehicles, machinery, persons, equipment, or other materials to and from and through the easements."</p> <p>11 Q Okay. So is it your testimony now that your -- your appraisal, what you valued, included the right of ingress and egress to and from the easements for any -- for any purposes related to the ACP project?</p> <p>15 A For the express purposes set forth in paragraph 20.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. And is that different than what you said earlier about the -- for restoration purposes?</p> <p>19 A No, I would say restoration would include those transports.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. So your testimony is that restoration includes the transportation of pipe, vehicles, machinery, persons, equipment, or other materials?</p> <p>24 A That would be a form of restoration, yes, but it's not -- it's not exclusive to restoration. That</p>	<p>99</p> <p>1 -- that paragraph 20 doesn't limit it to to and from and through the easements. It doesn't -- it's not limited to restoration activity only, just so the record is clear.</p> <p>5 Q I understand that.</p> <p>6 A Okay. Good.</p> <p>7 Q But we're talking about -- I mean, you agree with me there's a difference between ingress and egress and transporting pipe, vehicles, machinery, persons, equipment, or other materials, do you?</p> <p>11 A Not if you look at the full context of -- if you try to take them out of context, you could possibly come up with a different understanding. But in the context that I've related it to in these various paragraphs on pages 15, 16, I don't think so.</p> <p>16 Q And we've been talking about here -- about Harvey Exhibit 2 which, as we said, is the third amended complaint, indicating there are earlier amended complaints. I take it you've seen the earlier complaints in the first and second amended complaints; is that correct?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q In your recollection, did the description of what's indicated there in paragraph 20 of the third amended complaint, did that change between the second</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

102 to 105

<p>1 amended complaint and the third amended complaint?</p> <p>2 A I would have to look at those complaints.</p> <p>3 Q You don't know sitting here?</p> <p>4 A I don't recall sitting here, but given that</p> <p>5 the access road was part of an earlier complaint and has</p> <p>6 now been taken out of the third amended complaint, it's</p> <p>7 likely that the language is different.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. And just so we're clear on things, did</p> <p>9 that right of ingress and egress to and from the</p> <p>10 easement -- sometimes that's called a secondary</p> <p>11 easement; is that right?</p> <p>12 A Not that I've described it or --</p> <p>13 Q You're not familiar with that term?</p> <p>14 A No, I'm not.</p> <p>15 Q Okay.</p> <p>16 A Easement is an easement. Whether it's</p> <p>17 primary or secondary, it's an easement. That's what I'm</p> <p>18 really looking at.</p> <p>19 Q I appreciate that. Now, thinking about the</p> <p>20 easement rights that you've described that are set forth</p> <p>21 on pages 15 and 16 of your report, do the -- the</p> <p>22 temporary easement rights acquired by ACP or being</p> <p>23 acquired by ACP, do those include the right to travel</p> <p>24 through the temporary easement area to get across the</p> <p>25 Ralston property?</p>	<p>102</p> <p>1 A Can you repeat that, please?</p> <p>2 Q Sure. Thinking about the easement rights as</p> <p>3 you've described them on pages 15 and 16 -- and I</p> <p>4 understand your reference to the third amended complaint</p> <p>5 -- do those rights that you've appraised in this case</p> <p>6 include the right for ACP to use the temporary easements</p> <p>7 to travel through or across the Ralston property?</p> <p>8 A Yeah, I would believe so.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. And I know we talked about this</p> <p>10 earlier, but the top of page 16, item no. 3, references</p> <p>11 that the temporary easement would be effective and</p> <p>12 condemned for a period not to exceed five years</p> <p>13 following ACP's possession of the easements, correct?</p> <p>14 A Correct.</p> <p>15 Q And, again, I think we agreed this -- ACP has</p> <p>16 not yet taken possession of the easements, to your</p> <p>17 knowledge; is that right?</p> <p>18 A Correct.</p> <p>19 Q And at this time, you don't know -- or do you</p> <p>20 know when ACP intends to take possession of the</p> <p>21 easements?</p> <p>22 A When the judge signs --</p> <p>23 MR. MINSON: Objection. Go ahead.</p> <p>24 A I think when the judge awards possession,</p> <p>25 which is most likely occurring in May of 2020 when the</p>
<p>1 trial occurs.</p> <p>2 Q All right. And now looking at the top of</p> <p>3 page 16, you have a couple of numbered items that are in</p> <p>4 your section, where you call the rights to the subject</p> <p>5 larger parcel to be retained by the owner. Do you see</p> <p>6 that?</p> <p>7 A Yes, uhm-hmm.</p> <p>8 Q Now, no. 2 under that indicates or says,</p> <p>9 specifically, "The owner shall not, without the prior</p> <p>10 written consent of ACP" -- and then it has four</p> <p>11 subparts, A, B, C, and D. Do you see that?</p> <p>12 A Uhm-hmm.</p> <p>13 Q So the way I understand this -- and you can</p> <p>14 correct me if I'm just misunderstanding it -- but your</p> <p>15 reports indicates that among the rights to the subject</p> <p>16 larger parcel that are retained by the owner are the</p> <p>17 right to not, without the prior written consent of ACP,</p> <p>18 change the depth of cover in the permanent easement; is</p> <p>19 that right?</p> <p>20 A Do you have a double negative in that</p> <p>21 sentence?</p> <p>22 Q Well, that's what I'm --</p> <p>23 A I'm confused.</p> <p>24 Q It's unique -- well, I didn't write this</p> <p>25 report, Mr. Harvey, but that's what I'm trying to</p>	<p>104</p> <p>1 understand --</p> <p>2 A I'm confused by your question. So --</p> <p>3 Q Well, the paragraph or the section on the</p> <p>4 page indicates that these are the rights that are</p> <p>5 retained by the owner, but then item no. 2 and the four</p> <p>6 subparts talk about what the owner shall not do, which</p> <p>7 seemed to me to be not actually rights -- description of</p> <p>8 rights being retained but rights that ACP has obtained</p> <p>9 to prohibit the owner from doing certain things.</p> <p>10 A I don't distinguish between the two. In</p> <p>11 other words, if it's an understanding of what the owner</p> <p>12 may or may not do, those are the rights.</p> <p>13 Q Okay.</p> <p>14 A You have a right to do something. You have</p> <p>15 a right not to do something. To me, those are</p> <p>16 cumulative rights.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. So again, for example, item no. 2B,</p> <p>18 "The owner retains the right to not place any temporary</p> <p>19 or permanent structure within the permanent easement."</p> <p>20 A Well, that's not the way it's written. So I</p> <p>21 think you're taking it out of context, what it says is</p> <p>22 the owner has the right to place or permit or to be</p> <p>23 placed any temporary permanent structure or obstruction</p> <p>24 of any kind including blah, blah, blah, blah. And that</p> <p>25 right is conditioned upon the fact that they will have</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

106 to 109

<p>1 to do so with the prior written consent of Atlantic.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. That seems like a double negative to</p> <p>3 me.</p> <p>4 A Okay.</p> <p>5 Q But I appreciate your attempt to clarify that</p> <p>6 for me.</p> <p>7 A Okie doke.</p> <p>8 Q I do appreciate that. Now, page 16 and on to</p> <p>9 17 of your report has a section called highest and best</p> <p>10 use analysis, correct?</p> <p>11 A Well, that's the topic heading. The actual</p> <p>12 sections follow, and they would be larger parcel</p> <p>13 analysis and highest and best use analysis.</p> <p>14 Q All right. So that section on 16 and 17 is</p> <p>15 more sort of a description of the concept of highest and</p> <p>16 best use?</p> <p>17 A It's the heading for the sections that</p> <p>18 follow.</p> <p>19 Q I appreciate that. Now, did you prepare a</p> <p>20 highest and best use analysis of the subject larger</p> <p>21 parcel as if vacant?</p> <p>22 A Yes. If you go to page 19, and if you read</p> <p>23 the maximally reductive use conclusion, the maximally</p> <p>24 reductive use of the subject larger parcel as though</p> <p>25 vacant and improved. It's cumulative in that it looks</p>	<p>106</p> <p>1 at both scenarios, both before and after.</p> <p>2 Q All right.</p> <p>3 A The paragraph repeats.</p> <p>4 Q Right. And -- but, ultimately, is it correct</p> <p>5 to say that the highest and best use that you used to</p> <p>6 value the subject larger parcel before the taking was as</p> <p>7 improved; is that correct?</p> <p>8 A Correct.</p> <p>9 Q If you'll turn with me to page 21 of your</p> <p>10 report, Mr. Harvey.</p> <p>11 A Okie doke.</p> <p>12 Q Probably two-thirds of the way down the page,</p> <p>13 there's a statement, a paragraph, that begins "Due to</p> <p>14 the nominal value." Do you see that?</p> <p>15 A I do.</p> <p>16 Q And it says, "Due to the nominal value of the</p> <p>17 subject larger parcel's existing improvements, I</p> <p>18 developed the cost approach to value the property as</p> <p>19 improved." Do you see that?</p> <p>20 A I do.</p> <p>21 Q What do you mean by the nominal value of the</p> <p>22 subject larger parcel's existing improvements?</p> <p>23 A That its contributory value is not the</p> <p>24 majority valuation. It's a lesser component. In this</p> <p>25 case, nominal. If you turn to Exhibit 5 of Exhibit 1,</p>
<p>108</p> <p>1 you'll see the cost approach summary, and that would</p> <p>2 maybe put in a context for you, that the land component</p> <p>3 is 1,430,000 versus the improved component is, you know,</p> <p>4 less than a quarter of that.</p> <p>5 Q Okay.</p> <p>6 A To me, that's nominal.</p> <p>7 Q All right. So you're using nominal in the</p> <p>8 sense that that's -- it's not the dominant component of</p> <p>9 value?</p> <p>10 A Correct.</p> <p>11 Q All right. The bottom -- turning back to</p> <p>12 page 21 -- well, really the top of page 22, Mr. Harvey,</p> <p>13 it indicates that you applied the before and after</p> <p>14 method; is that right?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q What is the before and after method?</p> <p>17 A It's that which is recognized in the</p> <p>18 appraisal literature as the federal rule.</p> <p>19 Q And can you explain that for me, please?</p> <p>20 A Well, it values the property before an</p> <p>21 occurrence and after an occurrence, the differential</p> <p>22 being attributed to the impact of the occurrence.</p> <p>23 Q And is there a reason that you applied that</p> <p>24 method and not any other?</p> <p>25 A Well, the appraisal literature,</p>	<p>109</p> <p>1 specifically, the real estate valuation litigation</p> <p>2 second edition, distinguishes between what's known as</p> <p>3 the state rule and the federal rule. The state rule</p> <p>4 being the value of the take plus damages; federal rule</p> <p>5 being before and after as being the applicable rule for</p> <p>6 a federal eminent domain under the fifth amendment.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. So just so I can summarize,</p> <p>8 essentially, the -- the before and after rule</p> <p>9 incorporates both what we might consider as the value of</p> <p>10 the take and any sort of severance damages into one</p> <p>11 number; is that right?</p> <p>12 A It's not explicit. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Okay.</p> <p>14 A It's implicit.</p> <p>15 Q Right. It's all -- it's not broken out</p> <p>16 between take value and damages. It's just one number,</p> <p>17 just compensation or the difference in value?</p> <p>18 A Correct.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. And so in your appraisal, then, you</p> <p>20 valued the entire property, the subject larger parcel --</p> <p>21 let's use that term -- before the taking, and you</p> <p>22 disregarded the influence of the ACP project itself; is</p> <p>23 that right?</p> <p>24 A Mostly. I valued the rights to the</p> <p>25 property.</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

110 to 113

<p>1 Q Okay. What's the difference between that and 2 what I asked you?</p> <p>3 A One is real estate. One is real property.</p> <p>4 The way you phrased your question sounds most like real 5 estate. I appraise real property.</p> <p>6 Q What's the difference, in your mind, between 7 real estate and real property?</p> <p>8 A Real estate is the tangible component but 9 fixed and immovable, but real property is how you hold 10 title to that fixed and immovable -- what is exchanged 11 in the marketplace is title, not the dirt.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. All right. And, anyway, you came up 13 with a market value of that subject larger parcel before 14 the taking using your definition of market value; is 15 that right?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q All right. And then you -- again, as part of 18 your before and after method, you valued the entire 19 residue of the subject larger parcel after the taking, 20 again, as of April 4th, 2018, to get your after value; 21 is that right?</p> <p>22 A Correct.</p> <p>23 Q And then -- and at that time, it was assuming 24 that the pipeline was in the ground and operational as 25 of that day; is that right?</p>	<p>110</p> <p>1 A Yes, project has been completed.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. Did you consider in your after take 3 value in coming up with your after take value the 4 impact, if any, of the temporary easements being 5 acquired on the value of the subject larger parcel's 6 residue after the taking?</p> <p>7 A No, the temporary easement would have 8 already been concluded under the hypothetical condition 9 that the project is completed.</p> <p>10 So, no, it would be outside of that, but it's an 11 -- it's an additional form of compensation that's owed 12 to the landowner, but it doesn't -- it's not part of the 13 calculus of the before and after.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. All right. Let's talk about a little 15 bit about your evaluation of the subject larger parcel 16 before the taking, and you indicate on page 23 of your 17 report there's three comparable land sales; is that 18 right?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And then you -- we've talked about this 21 before, but you actually have another appraisal report 22 that you attached to your expert report that's Exhibit 4 23 that is an appraisal of those -- of the land component 24 of the subject larger parcel before the taking; is that 25 right?</p>
<p>1 A That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q If you'll turn with me to those -- or excuse 3 me -- to Exhibit 4, your before take --</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q -- appraisal of the land. You have a chart 6 on, I guess, the fourth page of that report that shows 7 the three comparable sales and compares them to the 8 subject; is that right?</p> <p>9 A That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q And we talked a little bit about them -- this 11 before, but did you confirm the information with regard 12 to these three comparable land sales?</p> <p>13 A Verified.</p> <p>14 Q Verified with whom?</p> <p>15 A Documents and sources that I've already 16 testified to.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. So looking at your first comparable 18 sale, which is indicated in your report as 132 Still 19 House Lane in Deerfield, Virginia, who did you verify 20 that with?</p> <p>21 A Again, you're using a word that I didn't 22 use. I used -- I'm sorry.</p> <p>23 Q You just --</p> <p>24 A I thought you said confirmation. I used 25 verification. That was verified with the -- through the</p>	<p>112</p> <p>1 A That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q If you'll turn with me to those -- or excuse 3 me -- to Exhibit 4, your before take --</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q -- appraisal of the land. You have a chart 6 on, I guess, the fourth page of that report that shows 7 the three comparable sales and compares them to the 8 subject; is that right?</p> <p>9 A That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q And we talked a little bit about them -- this 11 before, but did you confirm the information with regard 12 to these three comparable land sales?</p> <p>13 A Verified.</p> <p>14 Q Verified with whom?</p> <p>15 A Documents and sources that I've already 16 testified to.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. So looking at your first comparable 18 sale, which is indicated in your report as 132 Still 19 House Lane in Deerfield, Virginia, who did you verify 20 that with?</p> <p>21 A Again, you're using a word that I didn't 22 use. I used -- I'm sorry.</p> <p>23 Q You just --</p> <p>24 A I thought you said confirmation. I used 25 verification. That was verified with the -- through the</p>
	<p>113</p> <p>1 MLS data and through the deed of conveyance of real 2 property.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Was it --</p> <p>4 A And through the other sources, the GI -- 5 everything I've talked about under the scope of work 6 that I used for purposes of collection, verification, 7 analysis.</p> <p>8 Q All right. Was it verified with a party to 9 the transaction?</p> <p>10 A I doubt it. From 2015, normally when you 11 contact realtors, they just don't recall.</p> <p>12 Q Okay.</p> <p>13 A A lot of times, they're not even at the same 14 brokerage anymore.</p> <p>15 Q Do you know who was the broker of record for 16 that sale?</p> <p>17 A Only by referencing the data in the file.</p> <p>18 Q All right.</p> <p>19 A I don't recall off the top of my head.</p> <p>20 Q That information is not contained in your 21 report?</p> <p>22 A No.</p> <p>23 Q Is that correct? Okay. The sale no. 2, 24 which is at 1786 Marble Valley Road in Deerfield, with 25 whom or with what did you verify that sale?</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

114 to 117

1 A Same answer, documents available under the 2 scope of work section that I've -- typically would 3 collect and then verify that the traction was actual. 4 Q Okay. 5 A Including potential discussion with the 6 realtor or broker. 7 Q Did you actually have a discussion with the 8 realtor or broker? 9 A I just don't recall. I mean, I -- from 2015 10 -- I know in the Rockbridge, the last one, yes, because 11 it was a more recent sale and I introduced that one 12 between the second and third supplement. When I found 13 it, I became aware of it. I thought it would benefit 14 the landowner. So to be fair and objective, I added it 15 and I substituted an older sale. So in other words, I 16 wanted to bring the data current -- 17 Q All right. 18 A -- which raised the value by \$100 an acre. 19 Q Right. But still talking about sale no. 2, 20 you're not sure whether you verified -- 21 A I don't recall -- I don't recall talking to 22 a realtor. 23 Q Okay. And would that have been something 24 that you would do? Or would it have been somebody else 25 at your firm who would have done that?	114 1 A It's a collaborative effort that -- it would 2 have been primarily either Mr. O'Donnell or myself or 3 both of us a lot of times. 4 Q All right. Now talking about the third sale 5 which you just talked about, that's in Rockbridge 6 County; is that right? 7 A Yes. 8 Q East side of Cold Springs, Raphine, Virginia? 9 A Right. 10 Q Is that right? 11 A Right. 12 Q And that was one that you verified; is that 13 right? 14 A To the best of my recollection, because it 15 was in Rockbridge and it was vamanet, it wasn't part of 16 the Car MLS (phonetic). I just recall that finding that 17 sale was difficult, but once it was found, to verify it, 18 I had to take extra steps. I just don't recall the 19 specifics. 20 Q Okay. So you're not aware of any specific 21 person or persons that you may have talked to to verify 22 that? 23 A I am not. 24 Q All right. Is that somewhere in your work 25 file?	115 116 1 A It may be. It's -- I don't recall. 2 Q When you talk with a broker and are verifying 3 a sale, do you make notes of that? 4 A Sometimes, but not all -- I mean, if the 5 information on the document that I'm seeking to verify 6 is accurate, no. If there was some change to that, I 7 would probably amend it. 8 Q And, in your opinion, these three sales were 9 those the best sales available? 10 A I think so. 11 Q Now, if you you'll turn with me to -- there's 12 a series of pages which have photographs on them -- 13 A Yes. 14 Q -- as part of this land appraisal report? 15 A Uhm-hmm. 16 Q And are these paragraphs that you took? 17 A Yes. 18 Q And I take it you took them when you made 19 that inspection of the property back in July of 2018? 20 A Yes. 21 Q All right. Did you take any of the photos 22 inside any of the improvements? 23 A Yes. 24 Q And is there a reason those aren't included 25 in this report?	117 1 A I generally don't include interior photos 2 because I think it's reflective of personal taste and so 3 forth, and what I'm really appraising is the real 4 estate, the improvements, not people's lifestyle and 5 furnishings. So, no I -- and a lot of times, if there's 6 a person in one of those photos, I will not include it. 7 Q Now, the -- the report, Exhibit 4, is titled 8 a land -- 9 A I will specify that under my understanding 10 of the rules in, for instance, North Carolina, under the 11 26F3 agreement, I do provide all photographs and notes 12 and so forth. I wasn't under -- aware that that was a 13 requirement. My judgment. 14 Q Now, the report that we're looking at, 15 Exhibit 4 to your expert report, is called "appraisal 16 report land," correct? 17 A Correct. 18 Q And it is a land valuation, a land appraisal; 19 is that right? 20 A That's correct. 21 Q Is there a reason that the photographs 22 include a lot of photographs of improvements? 23 A Just because of the convenience, that's the 24 best place to put them, is my belief. I could have 25 added another exhibit, but I think in this particular
---	--	---	--

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

118 to 121

<p>1 case it's helpful to the reader to orient to what the 2 photographs are of, the land in the area of the 3 improvements, and so forth.</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 A So -- helpful.</p> <p>6 Q And is there a reason that this is a separate 7 land appraisal report as Exhibit 4 as opposed to you 8 simply sort of including that analysis in the main body 9 of your expert report?</p> <p>10 A Stylistic.</p> <p>11 Q If you'll turn with me to -- I think it's the 12 fifth page of this report. It's the page before your 13 signature page -- of this land appraisal report?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q And the -- the bottom section says "value 16 definition," and then there's a checkmark next to 17 alternate value definition, and in parentheses, it says 18 attached. Do you see that?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q What is the alternate value definition that 21 you have used?</p> <p>22 A The definition that appears in the body of 23 the report.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. So it's not attached to this land 25 appraisal report that's contained in the body of the</p>	<p>118</p> <p>1 expert report itself?</p> <p>2 A I consider that an attachment.</p> <p>3 Q I just want to make sure I know where it is</p> <p>4 because --</p> <p>5 A I'm going to direct you to where it is.</p> <p>6 Q All right. Thank you.</p> <p>7 A So if you turn back to page 5, the last italicized, bolded definition is that which is attached, which is controlling on my work.</p> <p>10 Q All right. So that definition of fair market 11 value --</p> <p>12 A Correct.</p> <p>13 Q -- that's your -- that's what you applied in 14 -- in your land appraisal report as your alternate value 15 definition?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. And that's just reading from page 5, 18 so the record is clear. Fair market value is defined 19 as, "what a willing buyer would pay in cash to the 20 willing seller at the time of the taking." Is that 21 right?</p> <p>22 A That's correct.</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 A It goes alone -- at the top of page 6, it's 25 further qualified in accordance with USPAP.</p>
<p>120</p> <p>1 Q All right. So page 6 includes a sentence 2 that says, "The value of opinions expressed herein 3 reflects the subject larger parcel's sale price in terms 4 of cash or financial terms equivalent to cash."</p> <p>5 A Correct.</p> <p>6 Q So those two sentences together comprise your 7 definition of fair market value?</p> <p>8 A And the terms associated with such.</p> <p>9 Q Which terms are those?</p> <p>10 A Cash equivalency.</p> <p>11 Q We talked a little bit about this before, but 12 you -- in valuing the entire subject larger parcel 13 before the taking as improved, you used the cost 14 approach; is that correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Why did you choose to use the cost approach?</p> <p>17 A For the reasons stated. That it's rural 18 property, the land component constitutes the majority of 19 the value, and the supporting appraisal literature and 20 accepted texts, it's recognized that a rural property -- 21 that the conservatory value of the improvements is best 22 reflected through the cost approach."</p> <p>23 Q Okay. Did you consider the property to be a 24 special use property or a special purpose property?</p> <p>25 A No.</p>	<p>121</p> <p>1 Q And, again, we talked about this earlier, but 2 you obtained a land value through your comparable land 3 sales for the subject -- excuse me -- the subject larger 4 parcel's land component before the taking, correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And then you used the -- I believe you called 7 it Marshall Value -- CoreLogic Swift Residential 8 Estimator, formally known as the Marshall Valuation 9 Service --</p> <p>10 A Correct.</p> <p>11 Q -- to develop your replacement cost estimate 12 for the improvement; is that correct?</p> <p>13 A That's correct.</p> <p>14 Q And did you use -- were you estimating 15 replacement cost? Or reproduction cost?</p> <p>16 A Replacement.</p> <p>17 Q What -- what is the difference in your mind 18 between those two costs?</p> <p>19 A Reproduction is an actual replica using the 20 same components and materials as the structure under 21 review. Replacement is using modern specification and 22 construction for a similar utility building.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. Now, if you'll turn with me to page 24 24 of your report, in the middle of the page is that 25 paragraph where we -- you referenced earlier referencing</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

122 to 125

<p>1 CoreLogic Swift Residential Estimator.</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And then there's a paragraph underneath that</p> <p>4 that says "Accrued depreciation is the difference</p> <p>5 between an improvement's reproduction cost and its</p> <p>6 market value as of the effective date of appraisal."</p> <p>7 A Understood.</p> <p>8 Q So there's a reference there to reproduction</p> <p>9 cost, but you're testifying today that you used</p> <p>10 replacement cost?</p> <p>11 A Yeah. If you look at the paragraph above --</p> <p>12 and I understand your confusion. So just to clarify, it</p> <p>13 would have been more artful have I said "replacement"</p> <p>14 consistently, but I used the Marshall Valuation Swift to</p> <p>15 develop the replacement costs. The accrued depreciation</p> <p>16 was applied to replacement costs.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. All right. I appreciate that</p> <p>18 clarification. Thank you.</p> <p>19 A Sure.</p> <p>20 Q Now, if you you'll look with me at Exhibit 5</p> <p>21 to your report, Mr. Harvey.</p> <p>22 A Okay.</p> <p>23 Q This is a summary of your cost approach</p> <p>24 valuation of the subject larger parcel before the</p> <p>25 taking, correct?</p>	<p>122</p> <p>1 A That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q And using CoreLogic Swift Residential</p> <p>3 Estimator, you came up with the replacement costs of</p> <p>4 various improvements that are listed at the top of that</p> <p>5 chart in Exhibit 5; is that correct?</p> <p>6 A That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q Now, the bottom of that page references a</p> <p>8 source as Marshall and Swift Commercial and Residential</p> <p>9 Estimator. Is that the same thing as the Marshall</p> <p>10 Valuation Service?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And it's now called, apparently,</p> <p>13 CoreLogic Swift Residential Estimator?</p> <p>14 A They use all three terminologies in the</p> <p>15 website and in the materials, yes.</p> <p>16 Q All right.</p> <p>17 A They're all one and the same.</p> <p>18 Q All right. Thank you.</p> <p>19 A And just for clarification, the reason it</p> <p>20 says "commercial" on Exhibit 5 is because some of the</p> <p>21 site improvements aren't in the residential component.</p> <p>22 You have to go to the commercial application to get</p> <p>23 those site improvements.</p> <p>24 Q I appreciate that. Now, looking back at</p> <p>25 Exhibit 5, I understand the components of the</p>
<p>124</p> <p>1 replacement cost that you estimated, and then you added</p> <p>2 certain estimated indirect costs and entrepreneurial</p> <p>3 profit; is that correct?</p> <p>4 A That's correct.</p> <p>5 Q And what was your source for those -- for</p> <p>6 that information?</p> <p>7 A That's primarily experience and judgment.</p> <p>8 It's an opinion as to what's applicable, other than the</p> <p>9 taxes. That's just the carry of the land under the</p> <p>10 published taxes.</p> <p>11 Q I understand that. So then adding those</p> <p>12 together, your total replacement costs, new, for the</p> <p>13 improvement was \$411,575; is that right?</p> <p>14 A That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q And then you determined estimated</p> <p>16 depreciation, correct?</p> <p>17 A That's correct.</p> <p>18 Q How did you determine the amount of</p> <p>19 depreciation to apply?</p> <p>20 A So the residential estimator has tables of</p> <p>21 overall depreciation. I looked at those and that as</p> <p>22 guidance. I believe them to be accurate.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. And is there a specific indication in</p> <p>24 your report of what you determined the -- the age or the</p> <p>25 effective age or the effect -- or the life of the</p>	<p>125</p> <p>1 improvements were?</p> <p>2 A No, not specifically.</p> <p>3 Q All right. What was your determination of</p> <p>4 the age or the effective age of the improvement?</p> <p>5 A That they were less than the actual age. I</p> <p>6 would say it was 20 over 50 or thereabouts as applied to</p> <p>7 -- some of the components differed as to some of the</p> <p>8 conditions of the sheds and barns versus the -- the</p> <p>9 buildings, the habitable buildings.</p> <p>10 Q So -- so the indication on -- in your chart</p> <p>11 in Exhibit 5 of the sort of total accrued depreciation</p> <p>12 is a summation of all of the depreciation to all of the</p> <p>13 different improvements?</p> <p>14 A That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q And I guess your testimony is that some of</p> <p>16 those improvements you applied different levels of</p> <p>17 depreciation to?</p> <p>18 A Based upon what I saw, yes.</p> <p>19 Q All right. There's a line item there on</p> <p>20 Exhibit 5 for the depreciated cost of site improvements</p> <p>21 of \$100,000?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q Do you see that?</p> <p>24 A Uhm-hmm.</p> <p>25 Q How did you come up with that estimate?</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

126 to 129

<p>1 A That's why I referenced the commercial 2 estimator because fencing and so forth is only in that 3 component. So I looked at those components and then 4 rounded it. Thought \$100,000 was a fair estimate.</p> <p>5 Q And which site improvements were you valuing?</p> <p>6 A The gravel driveways, the fencing, the 7 paddock fencing, and so forth that exists on the 8 property.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. Do you know the -- the linear feed of 10 the fencing, for example?</p> <p>11 A Only by virtue of tracing it on Google 12 Earth. I just don't recall what it was.</p> <p>13 Q All right. Do you know the linear feed of 14 their -- their gravel driveway that you valued?</p> <p>15 A Same answer. I used Google Earth to 16 quantify the site improvements.</p> <p>17 Q And is that information contained anywhere in 18 your report?</p> <p>19 A The reference to Google Earth is, yes. The 20 information by which I quantified it, no. It's a 21 summary of all of that.</p> <p>22 Q Any other site improvements besides the 23 driveway and the fencing?</p> <p>24 A Well and septic.</p> <p>25 Q And then you ultimately added those numbers</p>	<p>126</p> <p>1 together, your replacement cost, depreciation of the 2 improvements, your depreciated cost of site 3 improvements, and your land value, to come up with your 4 total value of the subject larger parcel before the 5 taking; is that right?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q And you rounded that to 1,813,000; is that 8 right?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q Now, looking at page 25 of your report, Mr. 11 Harvey?</p> <p>12 A Okay.</p> <p>13 Q About -- about a third of the way down 14 page 25, you have a section that says "valuation of the 15 part taken." Do you see that?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q And the -- the second paragraph of text is 18 information that is referenced to a treatise or a 19 textbook called Real Estate Valuation and Litigation 20 Second Edition; is that right?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Is that actually just quoted from that text?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q And that's the reference to footnote there -- 25 page 25, footnote 44, is to the -- again, that same text</p>
<p>1 at page 356; is that correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 MR. CLARKE: If I could have this 4 marked.</p> <p>5 (Whereupon Harvey Exhibit No. 3 was 6 marked for identification.)</p> <p>7 BY MR. CLARKE:</p> <p>8 Q Mr. Harvey, I'm going to show you a document 9 that's been marked for identification purposes as Harvey 10 Exhibit 3. I'll represent to you that this includes the 11 disclosure and then an expert report of yours relating 12 to the Ralston property that was dated September 17th, 13 2018?</p> <p>14 A Uhm-hmm.</p> <p>15 Q Have you seen this expert report before?</p> <p>16 A Yes, I have.</p> <p>17 Q And is this something you prepared?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q And this is actually the first report of 20 appraisal report that you prepared with regard to this 21 case; is that right?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q All right. If you'll turn with me to --</p> <p>24 A Page 25, 24.</p> <p>25 Q Yeah, page 24, it has the same quote there</p>	<p>128</p> <p>1 that we were looking at, page 25 of Harvey Exhibit 1.</p> <p>2 A Correct.</p> <p>3 Q And the reference on page 24 of Exhibit 3 4 says "Ibid 356." Do you see that?</p> <p>5 A I do, and that's incorrect.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. Right.</p> <p>7 A The page number is right --</p> <p>8 Q When you trace it back --</p> <p>9 A The page number is right. The source is 10 wrong.</p> <p>11 Q All right. That -- I appreciate that because 12 if you trace it back on page 22, the Ibid is the 13 Appraisal of Real Estate, Fourth Edition.</p> <p>14 A There was a deletion along the way.</p> <p>15 Q I appreciate that. Okay.</p> <p>16 A Like I said, the page is correct. Ibid is 17 wrong.</p> <p>18 Q All right. Thank you. All right. So 19 looking again at Harvey Exhibit 1, your -- your third 20 supplemental report, there's a -- a reference at the 21 bottom of page 25 to sources that you considered in 22 analyzing the percentage of fee value attributable to 23 the property rights taken by ACP. Do you see that?</p> <p>24 A I do.</p> <p>25 Q And two of those are -- I think you referred</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

130 to 133

130	131
<p>1 to those previously in talking about your treatises or 2 peer-reviewed treatises?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q Item A is the Donald Sherwood article called 5 Easement Valuation from Right of Way publication, and 6 the David Dominy study, that was published by the INGAA 7 Foundation entitled Pipeline Impact to Property Value 8 and Property Insurability; is that right?</p> <p>9 A Correct.</p> <p>10 Q And now the -- that paragraph or that 11 subparagraph A references "appraisal literature 12 including but not limited to," and then it references 13 those two treatises. Is there -- is there other 14 appraisal literature that you're not referencing there 15 that you considered?</p> <p>16 A They would be duplicative, but I didn't -- 17 those were the primary sources.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And item B indicated that you also 19 considered reported easement acquisitions by various 20 utility companies such as Colonial Pipeline and 21 Baltimore Gas and Electric Co., correct?</p> <p>22 A Correct.</p> <p>23 Q Did you consider easement acquisitions by 24 other utility companies, not Colonial Pipeline or 25 Baltimore Gas and Electric?</p>	<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q Which ones were those?</p> <p>3 A Any one that is public record. The problem 4 as an appraiser, excuse me -- my phone rings through my 5 hearing aids. So -- the problem as an appraiser is most 6 easements are not -- to not indicate the consideration, 7 and they're under confidentiality.</p> <p>8 So, normally, the input you get is through oral 9 communications with parties, and I've done a number of 10 pipelines, for Transco, NiSource, transit -- 11 TransCanada, Colonial, BG & E, and others. So it's a 12 combination of sources.</p> <p>13 Q And how did those reported easement 14 acquisitions that you're referencing here in 15 subparagraph B -- how did those inform your opinion 16 about the percentage of fee value attributable to the 17 property rights taken by ACP?</p> <p>18 A With respect to paragraph -- subparagraph B, 19 normally, the inquiring entities will quote lower 20 percentages than those that I opined are applicable 21 here.</p> <p>22 Q Were -- were these reported easement 23 acquisitions that you're referencing in subparagraph B, 24 were they the product of the exercise or the potential 25 exercise of the power of eminent domain?</p>
132	133
<p>1 A No, that's the dissemination 2 characteristics. These were consensual easements where 3 they had to go acquire them not through eminent domain 4 action but through meeting of the minds, and that's why 5 I thought it was more relevant.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. Did those entities, though, Colonial 7 Pipeline, that you referenced, BG & E, do they have 8 power of eminent domain?</p> <p>9 A From time to time, they did. And the 10 particular instances that I was inquiring about, they 11 were specifically non-eminent domain acquisitions where 12 they did not have the right but sought the easement.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. All right. If you turn with me to 14 page 26, Mr. Harvey, about two thirds of the way down, 15 there's a paragraph that says, "In order to determine 16 the percentage of the fee value applicable to the 17 partial interest in the subject larger parcel to be 18 taken by ACP, I searched the broader market for property 19 sales encumbered by similar easements and reviewed 20 peer-reviewed appraisal literature on this specialized 21 topic as well as peer actions in similar assignments."</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q Then it says, "Thus, I relied on 24 peer-reviewed appraisal literature" -- excuse me. It 25 says, "I found a paucity of property sales encumbered by</p>	<p>1 similar easements. Thus, I relied on peer-reviewed 2 appraisal literature and peer actions in similar 3 assignments." Is that right?</p> <p>4 A Yeah.</p> <p>5 Q Were you unable to find property sales 6 encumbered by similar easements in the area -- areas in 7 which you looked?</p> <p>8 A No. ACP. If you read the context of the 9 paragraph, I was looking for properties encumbered by 10 this particular project.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And were you -- were you able to find 12 sales of properties that had been encumbered by the ACP 13 project?</p> <p>14 A Only one or two.</p> <p>15 Q All right. And what peer-reviewed appraisal 16 literature did you rely upon?</p> <p>17 A Which we've discussed previously, primarily 18 Easement Valuation, which provides a matrix.</p> <p>19 Q Okay.</p> <p>20 A I went to the upper end of the matrix.</p> <p>21 Q So the Easement Valuation is the Sherwood 22 article; is that right?</p> <p>23 A That's correct.</p> <p>24 Q And there's a chart and a matrix, as you 25 said, I think it's an exhibit to one of your reports; is</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

134 to 137

<p>1 that right?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And then there's the Dominy article or study</p> <p>4 that he published, correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And did you also rely upon that Thomas</p> <p>7 Jackson article?</p> <p>8 A No, the Thomas Jackson, Dominy articles were</p> <p>9 relied upon differently. The primary reliance for</p> <p>10 purposes of the percentage of fee applied to the</p> <p>11 permanent easement was the Sherwood article and what</p> <p>12 other peers had done.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. And when you say "what other peers had</p> <p>14 done," are you talking about other appraisers that had</p> <p>15 valued --</p> <p>16 A Sure, yes.</p> <p>17 Q What -- what appraisers --</p> <p>18 A Well, Mr. Grewell, your expert, we differ by</p> <p>19 5 percent.</p> <p>20 Q He's not my expert but -- I mean, I didn't</p> <p>21 hire him.</p> <p>22 A You didn't?</p> <p>23 Q No.</p> <p>24 A Okay.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. But -- so you rely upon Mr. Grewell's</p>	<p>134</p> <p>1 determination in valuing --</p> <p>2 A No, I said I considered --</p> <p>3 Q Okay. That's all I'm trying to understand --</p> <p>4 A I considered Mr. Grewell's action and</p> <p>5 others, you know. There's a similar pipeline, Mountain</p> <p>6 Valley. I'm sure you're aware. You're active in it.</p> <p>7 And those are also peers doing underground pipeline of a</p> <p>8 similar nature.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. That's all. I'm just trying to</p> <p>10 understand it.</p> <p>11 A Yeah, understood.</p> <p>12 Q And given Mr. Grewell, are there other</p> <p>13 specific appraisers that you're relying upon as far</p> <p>14 as --</p> <p>15 A Mr. Long, Mr. Woods. I don't know what</p> <p>16 happened to Mr. Noble. He was in the Mountain Valley</p> <p>17 pipeline, but I guess he got excluded, but I -- you</p> <p>18 know, those would be peers doing similar assignments,</p> <p>19 and I believe my percentage is -- is above the three I</p> <p>20 just noted and slightly below Mr. Grewell.</p> <p>21 Q Okay.</p> <p>22 A All peers.</p> <p>23 Q And is that -- in your -- in your opinion,</p> <p>24 that's acceptable appraisal methodology, to sort of look</p> <p>25 to what other appraisers who are appraising similar type</p>
<p>1 projects have -- have considered or have determined?</p> <p>2 A Right. On page 26, when you're referencing,</p> <p>3 if you look in the middle of the page, it talks</p> <p>4 specifically about the scope of work rule and what is an</p> <p>5 acceptable credible assignment result, and -- and you</p> <p>6 obtain them, in part, when you do what your peers do.</p> <p>7 Q Now, if you look at the top of page 27, end</p> <p>8 of the first paragraph at top of that page, you state,</p> <p>9 "In this case, the larger parcel consists of the fee</p> <p>10 ownership plus the appurtenant easement attached to it,</p> <p>11 which are viewed as a single entity." Do you see that?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Can you explain your reference to the</p> <p>14 appurtenant easement attached to the larger parcel?</p> <p>15 A Doing the after valuation. So it's the</p> <p>16 property as encumbered.</p> <p>17 Q Now, in the fourth paragraph on page 27, you</p> <p>18 make reference to "considering the value of the partial</p> <p>19 taking, as well as any resulting damages to the subject</p> <p>20 larger parcel's residue by reason of the taking and the</p> <p>21 value of the special (particular) benefits to the</p> <p>22 subject larger parcel's residue that may arise from</p> <p>23 ACP's future use of the part taking," correct?</p> <p>24 A Correct.</p> <p>25 Q Did you conclude that the residue of the</p>	<p>136</p> <p>1 subject larger parcel receives special benefits from</p> <p>2 ACP's future uses?</p> <p>3 A I considered them, but I didn't determine</p> <p>4 that there were any.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Now, the next paragraph down, there's</p> <p>6 a second sentence. It indicates, "While proximity to a</p> <p>7 UGTL," which is an underground transmission line; is</p> <p>8 that correct?</p> <p>9 A That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q So that goes on. "While proximity to a UGTL</p> <p>11 may be objectionable to some segments of the market,</p> <p>12 there may be other markets in which the locations are</p> <p>13 considered benign or even desirable because of the</p> <p>14 additional open space or other perceived amenities." Is</p> <p>15 that right?</p> <p>16 A That's correct. That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q Did you determine that proximity to an</p> <p>18 underground transmission line would be objectionable to</p> <p>19 the market in and around the subject larger parcel?</p> <p>20 A I didn't find it objectionable to the market</p> <p>21 in general. I found it objectionable to some segments</p> <p>22 of the market, meaning first generation owners who are</p> <p>23 having a condition imposed on them.</p> <p>24 Q Who is it -- when we talk about the market,</p> <p>25 in your opinion, who makes up the market for real</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

138 to 141

<p>1 estate?</p> <p>2 A The market is the area that would exert</p> <p>3 potential influence on valuation.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. Did you determine whether the market</p> <p>5 around the subject larger parcel in this case was a</p> <p>6 market in which proximity to an underground transmission</p> <p>7 line would be considered desirable?</p> <p>8 A I didn't find it a -- a desire to be</p> <p>9 proximate to such locations in that market.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. Did you determine that this is a</p> <p>11 market in which proximity to a UGTL would be considered</p> <p>12 benign?</p> <p>13 A I consider it benign, yes.</p> <p>14 Q And what -- what evidence did you consider in</p> <p>15 sort of making that conclusion, that it was neither</p> <p>16 detrimental, objectionable nor desirable, but it was</p> <p>17 just benign?</p> <p>18 A The pair of sales I looked at which are</p> <p>19 arm's length transactions of property owners who were</p> <p>20 acquiring property with pipelines --</p> <p>21 Q Okay.</p> <p>22 A -- as determined and contrasted to the value</p> <p>23 of like kind properties without pipelines.</p> <p>24 Q And those -- those paired sales, were they in</p> <p>25 and around the market for the subject larger parcel?</p>	<p>138</p> <p>1 A No, they were from other markets, as I</p> <p>2 indicated, because ACP hasn't been constructed. It's --</p> <p>3 you can't find any such properties because the ACP line</p> <p>4 doesn't exist today. It didn't exist at the time I</p> <p>5 developed this appraisal. So you have to go to other</p> <p>6 competing markets.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Now, the next paragraph references</p> <p>8 that you were aware of some of the local opposition to</p> <p>9 the ACP project, and you've studied many of the articles</p> <p>10 and press releases from those groups; is that right?</p> <p>11 A That's correct.</p> <p>12 Q All right. And are you aware of whether ACP</p> <p>13 possesses all of the permits that it needs to actually</p> <p>14 construct the ACP project --</p> <p>15 MR. MINSON: Objection.</p> <p>16 Q -- in Virginia?</p> <p>17 A I don't believe -- based upon my</p> <p>18 understanding of published articles, I don't believe it</p> <p>19 does.</p> <p>20 Q What permits do you know of which ACP does</p> <p>21 not have but still needs?</p> <p>22 A I think cumulatively that --</p> <p>23 MR. MINSON: Objection.</p> <p>24 A -- there are six permits that -- that were</p> <p>25 issued and then rescinded or stayed by various courts.</p>
<p>140</p> <p>1 Q And from your investigation, do you know the</p> <p>2 timeline for expected completion of construction on the</p> <p>3 ACP project?</p> <p>4 MR. MINSON: Objection.</p> <p>5 A I believe it's been a moving target, but the</p> <p>6 most recent publication I'm aware of is that it would be</p> <p>7 operational by the end of 2021.</p> <p>8 Q The next paragraph on page 27, you indicate</p> <p>9 that "relying on published articles as a basis for a</p> <p>10 valued opinion is not a recognized appraisal technique</p> <p>11 in the absence of independent investigation and</p> <p>12 verification of the accuracy of the market data and</p> <p>13 conclusions." Is that correct?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q And that is a quote from the Appraisal of</p> <p>16 Real Estate, Fourteenth Edition; is that right?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q And it's -- you reference -- it's footnote</p> <p>19 49. It's from page 215 of that book, correct?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q That quote is actually from a specific</p> <p>22 portion of the book that's titled "Specialized Methods</p> <p>23 and Techniques for Determining the Effects of</p> <p>24 Environmental Contamination on Prices and Values." Is</p> <p>25 that correct?</p>	<p>141</p> <p>1 A Correct.</p> <p>2 Q So it -- is it your position that your</p> <p>3 appraisal in this case is one which is determining the</p> <p>4 effects of environmental contamination?</p> <p>5 A No, but it parallels the investigation that</p> <p>6 an environmental condition such as an underground</p> <p>7 pipeline could be perceived by the market.</p> <p>8 Q All right. So you're sort of saying the same</p> <p>9 principle would apply in appraising underground</p> <p>10 transmission of gas pipelines?</p> <p>11 A That's correct. The universe of what would</p> <p>12 be described as potential detrimental conditions is</p> <p>13 evidenced by that section of text.</p> <p>14 Q And just so I understand, did you undertake</p> <p>15 an independent investigation in order to verify the</p> <p>16 accuracy of the market data and conclusions that are</p> <p>17 contained in the published articles that you've</p> <p>18 referenced in your report?</p> <p>19 A Well, the only article I referenced is</p> <p>20 Easement Valuation for the this line of questioning.</p> <p>21 There is no data presented. There's only the matrix.</p> <p>22 So there's nothing to verify or confirm. Contrast that</p> <p>23 to an article that may reference a case study from,</p> <p>24 let's say, Texas where data is put forth in the article,</p> <p>25 and that's the reference to that -- if you're going to</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

142 to 145

<p>1 rely upon that article, you have to rely upon that data. 2 In order to rely upon that data, you have to verify it 3 yourself.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. So we talked earlier about the Dominy 5 -- the David Dominy study in the INGAA publication, 6 correct?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Did you do any independent investigation to 9 verify the accuracy of the market data and conclusions 10 that are presented in that study?</p> <p>11 A No, because I have my own primary data and 12 what -- that study just recognized that my results were 13 similar to what Dominy published as his results.</p> <p>14 Q All right. Now, the next paragraph says on 15 page 27, "My -- my research revealed a wide divergence 16 of opinions, both pro and con, regarding the perceived 17 benefits and detriments of the ACP and its impact on 18 property values." Is that correct?</p> <p>19 A That's correct.</p> <p>20 Q What -- what opinions did you encounter in 21 your research which indicated a perceived benefit of the 22 ACP on property values?</p> <p>23 A If you go to the ACP website, they quote 24 landowners who desire that the project be there 25 primarily for economic benefit in the form of job</p>	<p>142</p> <p>1 creation and so forth and benefit to the economic base 2 in the form of increased tax revenue.</p> <p>3 Q That's one form of an opinion that's pro. If you 4 go to the websites such as Friends of Nelson County, 5 you'll find the opposite, the contra opinions of people 6 who don't desire that. I'm just saying that the -- the 7 market is made up of pro and cons, like most issues.</p> <p>8 Q Did you choose to disregard some or all of 9 those opinions?</p> <p>10 A No, I just recognized that the diversity of 11 a market is such that you have opponents and you have 12 supporters. I don't really care about those two bodies. 13 I recognize they exist, and to be objective, you have to 14 look at -- at both sides, you know, of the transaction, 15 and, you know, all things being considered, this -- 16 eminent domain actions against property owners who are 17 having an imposed condition placed on their property, 18 and I understand their objections to that.</p> <p>19 Q But that's not what I'm charged with -- is 20 justifying their objection. I'm charged with looking at 21 an objective portrayal of properties where market 22 participants are not under any duress or need to acquire 23 property and, when they do and it's equipped with a 24 pipeline, what is the reaction? That's really what I'm 25 looking for.</p>
<p>144</p> <p>1 You won't get those reactions if you talk to 2 either of those two groups of opponents or supporters. 3 You will just get their opinions.</p> <p>4 Q All right. So the next paragraph down, the 5 bottom of page 27, you say, "The impact of UGTL on 6 property value is ultimately an empirical question that 7 requires the application of one or more of the three 8 traditional approaches to value." Is that right?</p> <p>9 A That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q And, ultimately, you applied the -- what you 11 call the sales comparison approach utilizing the paired 12 sales analysis method; is that right?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q Now, continuing on to the top of page 28 in 15 your text about the paired sales analysis, you have a 16 sentence that says, "This approach is not always easy to 17 apply because of the difficulty in finding relevant 18 market data, but it is a very strong approach for 19 quantifying value issues in a condemnation assignment." 20 Is that right?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q So your testimony earlier was that you were 23 -- you searched the broader market for sales of 24 properties with similar easements but found a paucity of 25 such sales, correct?</p>	<p>145</p> <p>1 A Of ACP.</p> <p>2 Q But then you were able to put together this 3 paired sales analysis study using other pipeline sales?</p> <p>4 A Correct.</p> <p>5 Q All right. Now, when you do a paired sales 6 analysis, is there a number of pairs that you're looking 7 for?</p> <p>8 A Depends on how you utilize them. If you're 9 -- if you're utilizing them in a statistical manner, 10 such as a regression analysis, the resulting indices 11 will tell you as to the validity of the statistics, so 12 output. Appraising is a comparative analysis, and, you 13 know, there's no magic number. I use more. Mr. Grewell 14 uses less, you know. It's -- it's judgmental.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. Now, looking at the top of page 28 of 16 your report, the third paragraph indicates, "I have 17 researched all of the properties along the ACP's route 18 in Augusta, Buckingham, and Nelson Counties, and at 19 present, there is a paucity of arm's length sales 20 involving ACP-eased properties." Is that right?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Did you find any sales?</p> <p>23 A No, because the ACP project, by and large, 24 doesn't exist. So I don't consider an easement without 25 the pipeline as being synonymous with what I'm</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

146 to 149

<p>1 appraising here in the after scenario.</p> <p>2 Q And is there a reason why you were looking 3 particularly for, as you call them, ACP-eased -- sales 4 of ACP-eased properties?</p> <p>5 A Well, that's the project at issue. I think 6 that would be most relative to folks to say, "This is 7 like kind. It's the same project." Then you have to 8 find a substitute as an arbiter and underground 9 transmission lines by other operators and developers who 10 would serve that purpose.</p> <p>11 Q And why was it that you located only the 12 properties in Augusta, Buckingham and Nelson Counties?</p> <p>13 A At the time, those were the counties I was 14 active in.</p> <p>15 Q Okay.</p> <p>16 A I will proffer to you I've looked at the 17 entirety, every property, along the North Carolina and 18 Virginia boundary of the ACP.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. So after you've looked at all of those 20 properties -- and I appreciate that -- and you found a 21 paucity of sales, basically no sales or no sales that 22 you thought were appropriate to apply --</p> <p>23 A Right. I found some sales, but then the 24 second part of a paired sale analysis is you have to 25 find a comparable sale, and that's where the limitation</p>	<p>146</p> <p>1 got severe. Of the two or three sales that I found 2 along the route, I couldn't find anything that was a 3 like kind property to compare it to. So, therefore, you 4 have to look at alternatives.</p> <p>5 Q All right. So then -- and that's when you 6 decided to sort of expand your geographic region? You 7 looked at what you call case studies involving UGTLs in 8 other communities with seasoned transaction histories; 9 is that right?</p> <p>10 A That's correct.</p> <p>11 Q All right. Let's turn to Exhibit 7 of your 12 report.</p> <p>13 A Before you start your next question, what is 14 your timing you anticipate? Because I would like to get 15 something to eat soon if we're going to be here into the 16 afternoon. Best estimate?</p> <p>17 Q I think an hour. I'd like to try to push 18 through.</p> <p>19 A You only have an hour remaining? If that's 20 the case, sure.</p> <p>21 Q That's my hope.</p> <p>22 A But it's two or three hours --</p> <p>23 Q No, I --</p> <p>24 A I'm going to hold you to that. Okay.</p> <p>25 Exhibit 7. Let's go.</p>
<p>148</p> <p>1 Q Okay. Thank you, Mr. Harvey. Just answer 2 really quickly. All right. So looking at Exhibit 7 -- 3 and just so we're clear, this is a chart reflecting the 4 paired sales analyses that you considered or relied upon 5 with regard to this appraisal; is that correct?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q And the first segment of the chart includes, 8 looks like, 12 pairs which are from Baltimore and 9 Hartford Counties in Maryland related to Columbia Gas 10 transmissions, line MA and MB; is that right?</p> <p>11 A Correct.</p> <p>12 Q And of that, the first several pairs are -- 13 you have a -- well, let me ask. You have case sales, 14 which are the -- the sales of properties which have an 15 underground pipeline on them, correct?</p> <p>16 A One or two pipelines, yes.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. And then you have a controlled sale 18 which does not; is that right?</p> <p>19 A Correct.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. So you have four pairs which include a 21 case sale at 2308 Kings Arm Drive, correct?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q And then four sales that include a case sale 24 at 7 Joel Court, correct?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p>149</p> <p>1 Q Three that included a case sale at 23 2 Dellwood Court?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q And then one with a case sale at 3207 Hunting 5 Tweed Drive; is that right?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q And just for -- for the record, where in 8 Maryland, geographically, are Baltimore and Hartford 9 Counties or these properties located?</p> <p>10 A North and east of the city.</p> <p>11 Q North and east of Baltimore?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q All right. And included in your report is a 14 write-up-- are write-ups about these pairs as 15 Exhibit 10; is that correct?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q Now, we looked earlier at your first 18 appraisal, which I believe was Harvey Exhibit 3, and 19 that did not include all of these write-ups on these 20 pairs; is that right?</p> <p>21 A Correct.</p> <p>22 Q Is there a reason that you didn't include it 23 in that first appraisal and that you have included in 24 your most recent report?</p> <p>25 A Well, the reason I included in my most</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

150 to 153

<p>1 recent one was my understanding of Judge Dillon's action 2 in the MVP case, which -- where her order was vacated. 3 I think she was critical of Mr. Noble for lack of 4 information that he had relied upon. So I -- I believed 5 in accordance with the way the courts are looking at 6 these types of reports -- in abundance of caution, I 7 included them.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. Did somebody ask you to do that? 9 A No, I do that on my own. Just typical 10 research.</p> <p>11 Q Did somebody bring that case to your 12 attention?</p> <p>13 A No, I brought it to my own attention through 14 PACER.</p> <p>15 QOkay. Now, looking -- and it probably is 16 helpful -- would be helpful for us to look mostly at 17 Exhibit 10 --</p> <p>18 A Okay.</p> <p>19 Q -- because that has a lot of the meat of the 20 information. So Exhibit 10 to Harvey Deposition 21 Exhibit 1. Do you -- so thinking about the case sale at 22 2308 Kings Arms Drive, do you know the listing history 23 of that property before it was sold?</p> <p>24 A Hold on a second. I'm trying to get to the 25 beginning. Okay. Could you please repeat your</p>	<p>150</p> <p>1 question? 2 Q Sure. We talked about the first, I think, 3 four pairs included a case sale at 2308 Kings Arms Drive 4 in Fallston, Maryland, correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Do you know the listing history of that 7 property before the sale that you're referencing?</p> <p>8 A It's something that I considered. I did 9 these appraisals in real time. In other words, these -- 10 all of these properties, I appraised.</p> <p>11 Q All right. You were appraising these on 12 behalf of Columbia Gas?</p> <p>13 A Correct. And to answer your question, yes, 14 as part of my appraisal, I did the due diligence, which 15 would have investigated the history.</p> <p>16 Q And with regard to that 2308 Kings Arms 17 Drive, do you know what the just compensation that was 18 ultimately paid for that Columbia Gas easement was?</p> <p>19 MR. MINSON: Objection.</p> <p>20 A No, that wouldn't factor into my analysis.</p> <p>21 Q Why not?</p> <p>22 A Because it's not part of the analysis. I'm 23 looking at transactional data, comparing what the 24 property sold for on a resale basis. What somebody 25 received in the form of a court award or settlement is</p>
<p>1 outside of that transactional data.</p> <p>2 Q But it -- wouldn't that be important for you 3 to sort of -- be a check on your conclusions?</p> <p>4 MR. MINSON: Objection. I'm going to 5 object to that for relevance. I don't think 6 that has anything to do with this.</p> <p>7 BY MR. CLARKE:</p> <p>8 Q Now, you first compared 2308 Kings Arms Drive 9 to 2304 Kings Arms Drive, which is just down the road; 10 is that correct?</p> <p>11 A That's correct.</p> <p>12 Q They're, really, kind of both off the same 13 cul de sac; isn't that right?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q To get to 2304 Kings Arms Drive, your control 16 sale, you have to actually drive right over the pipeline 17 and right past it, don't you?</p> <p>18 A I believe so.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. And the -- the sale at 2308 Kings Arms 20 Drive, that's a 2.49-acre parcel in a subdivision with a 21 32 -- 3,257 square-foot house on it; is that right?</p> <p>22 A Correct.</p> <p>23 Q Now, we can save some time. The -- the 24 write-ups that you've included for each of these pairs, 25 to your -- to your knowledge, the description of the</p>	<p>152</p> <p>1 size of each of the properties and the size of the homes 2 on each of those properties, those are as you've set 3 forth in this report; is that correct?</p> <p>4 A Correct.</p> <p>5 Q And I believe for all of these 12 pairs from 6 this Maryland study, it's -- they're all single-family, 7 residential properties; is that right?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q If you'll look at the second pair, where you 10 compared 2308 Kings Arms Drive to 3150 Charles Street 11 also in Fallston --</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q -- your conclusion after that comparison is 14 that the -- the property with the gas pipelines or 15 pipelines on it was actually enhanced in value; is that 16 correct?</p> <p>17 A I wouldn't call it an enhancement. It 18 showed no negative. It was positive.</p> <p>19 Q Okay.</p> <p>20 A But I wouldn't classify it as enhancement.</p> <p>21 Q Well, but it is a positive difference there 22 that you've concluded after making your adjustments 23 between the two sales; is that right?</p> <p>24 A Yeah, it's a positive value difference.</p> <p>25 Q Right. Indicating that after -- after you</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

154 to 157

<p>1 account for all of the different variables and 2 differences between the two properties, the buyers would 3 basically value the property with the pipeline on it at 4 a 1.04 percent premium?</p> <p>5 A If that's the way you want to portray it, 6 sure.</p> <p>7 Q Sir, is that your conclusion?</p> <p>8 A My conclusion is you're taking one data out 9 of the context out of all of the data. My purposes of 10 doing it is twofold. That, in the broad array, I 11 believe the underground transmission line on the Ralston 12 property as evidenced by this data is a benign 13 condition.</p> <p>14 The reason I believe it's a benign condition is if 15 you look at the summation, the accumulation, using 16 statistical inference, mean, median, there's no market 17 reaction. That's observable and measurable, but the 18 market is imperfect, real estate markets.</p> <p>19 As I testified, I was a stockbroker. I could go 20 to, you know, Bloomberg and gets thousand of bid-asks at 21 any minute. The real estate market, the data is much 22 more scarce and it's imperfect, but even with that 23 imperfection, you can draw certain conclusions. My 24 conclusion is this pipeline is a benign condition.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And I appreciate that.</p>	<p>154</p> <p>1 A Yeah. 2 Q But, ultimately, you draw those conclusions 3 based on the data itself, correct? 4 A All of the data. 5 Q Right. 6 A Not just -- 7 Q And I'm not trying to single out something -- 8 A Okay. 9 Q We can go through all of these but -- 10 A No. 11 Q -- with regard to the 12 pairs that you have 12 from this Maryland study, six of them show an 13 enhancement and six of them show a diminution relative 14 to the pipeline; is that correct? 15 A Yeah, and it's typical. 16 Q Okay. And a lot of that, really, is the 17 function of the adjustments that you're making when 18 you're comparing the two -- two properties; is that 19 right? 20 A When you say "all of that," I'm not -- what 21 do you mean? 22 Q I said a lot of that is the function of the 23 adjustments that you're making when you're comparing -- 24 A I don't understand -- 25 Q -- the case sale --</p> <p>156</p> <p>1 A -- what's -- a lot of that is -- 2 Q A lot of the -- the variability between, 3 "Well, this is a 1.04 percent enhancement, or this is a 4 5.28 percent enhancement" -- 5 A Okay. I understand. It's a perceived -- 6 Q -- that's a function of -- 7 A -- it's a perceived market reaction as 8 measured through the adjustment. 9 Q Right. Right. And you determined what those 10 adjustments are correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q All right. And that's -- is that based on 13 your experience, your expertise in the field, having 14 done this over the course of your career? 15 A Those three in combination with the 16 collaborated effort that I did with my residential 17 associates when I did this project. 18 Q So you're talking about when you were 19 appraising these properties for Columbia Gas? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Okay. 22 A When I did the equivalent expert report in 23 that matter. 24 Q I gotcha. So this study, this 12-pair study, 25 was something you had actually prepared for a different</p>	<p>155</p> <p>157</p>
---	--	-----------------------

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

158 to 161

	158	
1 Q Okay. Now, looking at your ninth pair, I		1 those particular instances -- that the way the language
2 think it is the one that's on 23 Dellwood Court.		2 read in the complaint -- is it was -- seemed to be as
3 A Yes.		3 synonymous with an easement, but it was a license to do
4 Q 9, 10, and 11, I believe, include that?		4 activity but not necessarily occupy the space.
5 A Uhm-hmm.		5 Q So you agree for that -- for that property at
6 Q Again, is there an actual pipeline on that		6 7 Joel Court, there was a permanent pipeline easement
7 property?		7 and then there was a temporary construction license but
8 A There's a pipeline easement on the property.		8 not a temporary construction easement?
9 Whether the pipe is within the easement, I couldn't -- I		9 A That's correct.
10 don't think so.		10 Q Okay. Now, looking at your final pair for
11 Q Okay.		11 this Baltimore study -- or Maryland study.
12 A The disclosure when these properties are		12 A 23?
13 sold is that there's an underground transmission line		13 Q Yes, sir. That 3207 Hunting Tweed property
14 easement.		14 in Owings Mills was your case sale, and you compared it
15 Q Now, actually, looking back at -- the plats		15 to a control sale at 12 Huntersworth Court, also in
16 that you've included for that 7 Joel Court property --		16 Owings Mills; is that right?
17 A Yes.		17 A Yes.
18 Q -- there's a reference there to the size of a		18 Q And the 12 Huntersworth Court actually has a
19 proposed easement and then a temporary construction		19 high voltage transmission line on it; does it not?
20 easement at 0 acres, and then a temporary construction		20 A Correct.
21 license?		21 Q So you were comparing one property with a
22 A Yes.		22 national gas transmission line on it to another property
23 Q What is the difference between a -- well,		23 that has a high voltage transmission line on it; is that
24 what is a temporary construction license?		24 right?
25 A I consider them to be synonymous and in		25 A Correct.
	160	
1 Q And your conclusion, again, or the result of		161
2 that pair was that the property with the pipeline on it		1 subjectively. I look at the market's reaction, and it's
3 had an enhancement of .57 percent over the property with		2 implied in the transactional data as to whether there is
4 a power line on it; is that right?		3 or is not an impact and, if there is an impact, what's
5 A Had a higher value, yes.		4 the differential in value?
6 Q Is there a reason that you compared the case		5 And what you'd find is most of these analyses show
7 sale with a pipeline on it with a property that had a --		6 a rather nominal difference in value, whether it's plus
8 a transmission line of a different type on it?		7 or minus. That's more inherent in the imperfection of
9 A The -- was the only available sale that		8 real estate markets.
10 matched up comparability with regard to physical		9 Q Now, have you used or have you considered
11 characteristics.		10 paired sales in which the case sale was a high voltage
12 Q And did you make any adjustments to the		11 transmission line versus a property that sold without a
13 control sale at 12 Huntersworth Court with regard to the		12 transmission line easement? Have you looked at these
14 presence of that high voltage transmission line?		13 types of pairs to inform your conclusions about the
15 A No.		14 impact of underground natural gas pipeline easement?
16 Q Why not?		15 A No.
17 A Same reason. I found it -- I've done other		16 Q Is there a reason that you haven't looked at
18 high voltage transmission line cases, and I found those		17 HVTLs pairs?
19 to be largely benign, as well, but I will say that --		18 A It's a dissimilar easement. It's above
20 that's it.		19 grade versus below grade. It's a different type of a
21 Q Do you consider the impact of a gas pipeline		20 transmission of electricity versus gas. So I believe
22 transmission line to be different or similar to the		21 that they would not be -- if I couldn't find anything
23 impact to property from an overhead high voltage		22 else in the marketplace, I may consider them. But I
24 transmission line?		23 generally will go to the ends of the earth to find
25 A I don't determine the impact, per se,		24 better data that's like kind in nature than opposed to
		25 something that is unlike kind.

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

162 to 165

<p>1 Q And when you say "like kind," you're talking 2 about the type of utility line; is that right? 3 A Correct, the corridor at issue. 4 Q Not necessarily the type of property, 5 correct? 6 A Well, I think they're both important. A 7 paired sale is facilitated by the degree of 8 comparability between the two properties being compared. 9 Q Okay. What is the -- well, let me back up. 10 For -- for all of these 12 pairs in your first grouping 11 from the counties north and east of Baltimore, they were 12 involving properties that -- you were comparing 13 properties that sold with the Columbia Gas transmission 14 pipeline or pipelines on them; is that right? 15 A Correct. 16 Q What is the width of that Columbia Gas 17 permanent pipeline easement? 18 A I don't recall. 19 Q Okay. What is the width of the temporary 20 easement? 21 A The -- that would be variable. 22 Q And what is the depth of that pipeline? 23 A I just don't recall. It's underground and, 24 again, it would be variable depending upon contours 25 and so forth.</p>	<p>162</p> <p>1 Q And what's the diameter of that pipe? 2 A I don't recall. 3 Q Okay. Now, does that pipeline that's in -- 4 north of Baltimore, north and east of Baltimore, connect 5 to a pipeline that's owned by same entity, which I think 6 is now TransCanada, that actually runs through Augusta 7 County, Virginia? 8 A Well, I think all pipelines ultimately 9 connect. 10 Q Uhm-hmm. 11 A Including, you know, the main pipeline, 12 Transco, coming from Houston and New York. So I think 13 there is interconnectivity by PGM's requirements. 14 Q Right. My question is do they connect to the 15 -- a pipeline that's owned by the same entity? 16 A When you say same entity, there may be a 17 parent and a subsidiary, but, you know, NiSource is 18 different than NiSource of Virginia, which is different 19 from Columbia Gas. So, you know, whether they have 20 consortium and they have some side agreement, I don't 21 know. 22 Q Okay. Did you attempt to find paired sales 23 data from Augusta County with regard to any existing gas 24 pipelines in the county there? 25 A Yes.</p>
<p>164</p> <p>1 Q And were you able to find any? 2 A No. 3 Q Now, you mentioned earlier the -- that you 4 looked at some appraisals that were done for the 5 Mountain Valley Pipeline project, remember? 6 A I didn't say I looked at appraisals. I said 7 I looked at PACER for activity in connection with the 8 Mountain Valley Pipeline. 9 Q Okay. I thought you were testifying -- you 10 had testified about Mr. Long and Mr. Woods. Do you 11 remember that? 12 A Yeah. 13 Q And did you look at any of their appraisals? 14 I think you were talking about peer -- peer analysis or 15 -- or peer work done with regard to similar assignments 16 when we were talking about the value of the easement 17 rights as a function of the fee value. 18 A I did, and the only thing I've seen is that 19 which is publicly available on PACER through the filings 20 that your firm and other firms have done back and forth 21 in connection with those cases where they will reference 22 particular data in the filing as an attachment. 23 Q Okay. 24 A For instance, an appraisal and so forth. 25 The most recent one I looked at was Mr. Noble's where</p>	<p>165</p> <p>1 the judge I think, ultimately -- before her order was 2 vacated, she excluded Mr. Noble, and there was a 3 discussion in the filings as to what the data he relied 4 upon -- what the data that wasn't in his report that he 5 said he relied upon, and what -- the court determined it 6 was inappropriate, but, like I said, it was vacated. 7 Q Okay. 8 A That's how I became aware of that 9 information. 10 Q But did you look at appraisals prepared by 11 Mr. Long or Mr. Woods with regard to the Mountain Valley 12 Pipeline project? 13 A No. 14 Q Okay. 15 A I haven't seen those appraisals other than 16 those which are attached to filings, and I think there 17 have been some valuations, at least sections of 18 valuations, that have been included in filings. 19 Q So -- so of the ones that have been attached, 20 you may have or you did look at those? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Okay. Now, and have you -- are you familiar 23 with the paired sales study that some of the appraisers 24 appraising on behalf Mountain Valley Pipeline have 25 relied upon?</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

166 to 169

<p>1 A Generally, yes.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. Have you seen that study?</p> <p>3 A I've seen excerpts of the study. I've not 4 seen the whole study. I believe it's trademarked, 5 copywritten, or trademarked. I don't know.</p> <p>6 Q You're asking the wrong type of attorney 7 about that.</p> <p>8 A Yeah, as far as I know, I have -- I've 9 looked at what was available in PACER as attached to 10 various filings, but that didn't include the cover page 11 and any other things. So I consider it proprietary 12 work, but I nonetheless looked at it.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Was that a study that was prepared by 14 Mr. Woods who you referenced before?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Is that correct? All right. Now, looking 17 back at your report, the next section of pairs, Mr. 18 Harvey, is from a Columbia Gas line called WBX in 19 Fairfax County, Virginia; is that correct?</p> <p>20 A Correct.</p> <p>21 Q And just so we're all on the same page, 22 Exhibit 7 summarizes and you have 11 pairs relating to 23 that study; is that right?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q And for all of those, the case sales were</p>	<p>166</p> <p>1 along both a natural gas transmission line and a high voltage electric transmission line; is that correct?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q Now, does your report isolate what the impact 5 is from the pipeline versus the high voltage power line?</p> <p>6 A No, it considers the property and, you know, 7 the case study as equipped on the date of sale.</p> <p>8 Q Is there a reason why you didn't try to 9 isolate the specific impact from the natural gas 10 pipeline versus any impact from the power lines?</p> <p>11 A Well, they're collated -- co-located. You 12 can't -- I mean, the property limits are the 13 transactions that appear. All of those transactions are 14 co-located.</p> <p>15 Q Okay.</p> <p>16 A So there's no ability to do that.</p> <p>17 Q Well, but did the pipeline go in before the 18 power lines, or vice versa?</p> <p>19 A After.</p> <p>20 Q So, then, you could have found data from the 21 sale of those properties with just the power line on it 22 and then the subsequent sale with the pipeline and a 23 power line, correct?</p> <p>24 A I guess you could have researched that data, 25 but that doesn't inform one as to the impact of the</p>
<p>1 power line -- of a gas transmission line, only potential 2 impact of the power line. Like I said, I've done other 3 studies of power lines. I already -- I already have 4 that knowledge and experience.</p> <p>5 Q I mean, I'm just trying to understand -- it 6 seems to me like it's an important thing when you're 7 trying to isolate the impact of a pipeline, which you're 8 attempting to do in this appraisal, to -- to be able to 9 weed out any other impacts that might be related, not to 10 the pipeline, but instead to a power line?</p> <p>11 A If the data existed, that would be fine.</p> <p>12 Q Okay.</p> <p>13 A But the data didn't exist with regard to the 14 gas transmission line data.</p> <p>15 Q So we -- we don't really know whether the 16 difference in value that you've determined in your study 17 of this WBX line is attributable to the pipeline or to 18 the power line or to some combination thereof; is that 19 correct?</p> <p>20 A Well, in the second grouping, it would be a 21 combination, but under the theory that -- that the more 22 intensive use of two easements on a property, one being 23 an above-grade power line and the other being a 24 below-grade transmission line, if there was to be a 25 measurable impact, it would have contrasted to the two</p>	<p>168</p> <p>1 groupings of the case studies.</p> <p>2 Here, the results being issued are within 2 3 percent of another. So you can say, yeah, when you have 4 a high voltage transmission line, there's 2 percent.</p> <p>5 You could deduce that from the data. I don't think that 6 rises to the level of something that's measurable and 7 impactful.</p> <p>8 2 percent accuracy within appraisal is -- I mean, 9 it's amazing in this case that Mr. Grewell and I -- in 10 the before -- with the 2 percent. That's a rarity.</p> <p>11 Most of the time, you just don't find that concurrence.</p> <p>12 Q But let me back up. Because earlier, you had 13 -- you were saying, and in your report you indicate, 14 that there may be some markets which would actually view 15 a power -- excuse me -- a pipeline easement as a -- as a 16 positive because it provides more open space or 17 something like that, correct?</p> <p>18 A Sure.</p> <p>19 Q So I guess I'm wondering how did you 20 determine whether these -- these power lines that were 21 all present in your study of the Fairfax County sales 22 were a negative or a positive or neither when you were 23 trying to determine what the impact of the pipeline was?</p> <p>24 A Because there's no measurable impact on the 25 combination of the corridor.</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

170 to 173

	170		171
<p>1 Q But, I mean, couldn't -- couldn't it just as 2 well have been that the power lines enhanced the 3 property and the pipelines diminished it and the impact 4 is a wash?</p> <p>5 A I don't --</p> <p>6 MR. MINSON: Objection.</p> <p>7 Q You just -- you just don't know, correct?</p> <p>8 A Well, I do know, as I just explained to you. 9 If you look at two groups, they -- the 2 percent 10 differential in the combination of the statistics for 11 both groupings of paired sales don't show a measurable 12 difference.</p> <p>13 Q So the reason you know that there's -- 14 there's not a -- that the -- that the power lines 15 haven't skewed your data here is because you compared 16 your overall results from the Fairfax County study to 17 the overall results from the Maryland study?</p> <p>18 A That, in concert with the individual studies 19 of high voltage transmission lines which I've also done 20 in a very similar fashion.</p> <p>21 Q Are those referenced in your report?</p> <p>22 A What?</p> <p>23 Q Those individual studies of high voltage 24 transmission?</p> <p>25 A No, they're not relevant. As I previously</p>		<p>1 testified to, I would not use as an arbiter a study 2 solely of a high voltage transmission line and apply 3 that to an underground transmission line impact. I 4 don't think it's appropriate.</p> <p>5 Q Now, looking again at Exhibit 7 to your 6 report, you do have two pair that come from the ACP or 7 the proposed ACP line; is that right?</p> <p>8 A That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q And you have a write-up about these sales at 10 the end of Exhibit 10; is that right?</p> <p>11 A Correct.</p> <p>12 Q Now, you did consider, I take it, these as 13 informative of your opinion of the impact of the ACP 14 easements on the Ralston properties, correct?</p> <p>15 A Well, it's part of the data. I didn't 16 consider them good arbiters because the project hasn't 17 been completed. It doesn't fit the definition, but, 18 nonetheless, it was the only data along -- which had a 19 recorded ACP easement but without the pipeline on it.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. So if you didn't consider it to be 21 that informative, why did you choose to include it in 22 your report?</p> <p>23 A Because it's the only data that I found that 24 touched upon the actual ACP project itself, and I 25 thought, in an abundance of caution -- include it and</p>	
	172		173
<p>1 then explain it is what I typically do, and that's what 2 I did here.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Are you familiar with the sale of 4 property of 4296 Deerfield Valley Road in Deerfield, 5 Virginia?</p> <p>6 A Not off the top of my head, no.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Did you recall ever seeing information 8 on that sale in the course of developing your 9 appraisals?</p> <p>10 A Not off the top of my head.</p> <p>11 Q If you will, can we go back to page 28 of 12 your report?</p> <p>13 A Okay.</p> <p>14 Q And at the bottom of page 28, you reference 15 that study prepared by David Dominy that we've talked 16 about earlier, correct?</p> <p>17 A Correct.</p> <p>18 Q It continues -- your reference continues onto 19 the top of page 29 about that study, correct?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q And that is, we've talked about it, but it 22 was published by something called the INGAA Foundation, 23 Inc.; is that right?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q And that is a natural gas trade association;</p>		<p>1 is it not?</p> <p>2 A Correct, uhm-hmm.</p> <p>3 Q And on page 29, you indicate about midway 4 down -- after your numbered paragraph 8, you indicate, 5 "My independent investigation, verification of market 6 data, and conclusions regarding the impact of the UGTL 7 on property value parallel the conclusions expressed in 8 Mr. Dominy's treatise." Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And that independent investigation is the 11 paired sales analysis that we just talked about; is that 12 correct?</p> <p>13 A Correct.</p> <p>14 Q But you -- and I think you said this earlier, 15 but I just want to make sure you -- you did not 16 undertake an independent investigation of Mr. Dominy's 17 market data?</p> <p>18 A I did not.</p> <p>19 Q All right. If you'll turn with me to page 34 20 of your report, Mr. Harvey.</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q And this is your certification, and we talked 23 a little bit about whether there was a seal missing from 24 this and --</p> <p>25 A Well, if you look at Exhibit 3, you'll see</p>	

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

174 to 177

<p>1 the seal on that same certification page. That's what's 2 missing.</p> <p>3 Q Yeah.</p> <p>4 A And that may explain the typos.</p> <p>5 Q Well, that's fine.</p> <p>6 A Yeah.</p> <p>7 Q My question, though, is, this is -- this is 8 your certification page for your report here, which is 9 required under USPAP; is that right?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q In paragraph 14, you indicate that you have 12 an opinion of the fair market value of the fee simple 13 interest in the permanent easement taken on the subject 14 larger parcel; is that right?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Can you explain to me what a fee simple 17 interest in a permanent easement is?</p> <p>18 A It's an encumbered fee, meaning what's the 19 just -- it would be -- my job isn't to rule on just 20 compensation or opine on just compensation -- that's the 21 province of the court and the jury -- but to provide the 22 court and the jury any type of assistance in the form of 23 market data, I provide valuations. So my valuation 24 would be, presumably, something that they would look at 25 to award just compensation for the two takings here, one</p>	<p>174</p> <p>1 being permanent, the other one being temporary. And in 2 14, I'm saying that the encumbered fee impact of the 3 permanent easement, in my opinion, is worth \$13,000.</p> <p>4 Q Just so I'm clear, you're saying that \$13,000 5 is the -- is the value of the easement rights 6 themselves, not the value of the rights that the Ralston 7 trustees are retaining in that area; is that correct?</p> <p>8 A Neither. I'm saying the difference before 9 and after is \$13,000 attributed to the permanent 10 easement.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. All right.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: If you're going to move on 13 to another line, I would like to just take 14 another quick break.</p> <p>15 MR. CLARKE: Sure.</p> <p>16 (Whereupon there was a brief recess in 17 the proceedings.)</p> <p>18 MR. CLARKE: All right, Mr. Harvey. I 19 could have this marked.</p> <p>20 (Whereupon Harvey Exhibit No. 4 was 21 marked for identification.)</p> <p>22 BY MR. CLARKE:</p> <p>23 Q Mr. Harvey, I'm going to give you a document 24 that was marked for identification purposes as Harvey 25 Exhibit 4. I'll represent to you that this is a</p>
<p>176</p> <p>1 document I received from your client, McGuireWoods, on 2 the 5th of November 2018, and it includes a document 3 that purports to be an expert rebuttal report prepared 4 by you with regard to this case.</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Have you seen this before?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q All right. And this is the -- you talked 9 earlier about an appraisal review. This is the first of 10 the appraisal review reports that you've prepared with 11 regard to this case; is that correct?</p> <p>12 A That's correct.</p> <p>13 Q You've since prepared, I think, two other 14 supplements of this review; is that correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q And if you will, turn with me to page no. 1 17 of your report here.</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q And actually, the cover page is dated 20 November 2nd, 2018; is that correct?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q All right. And then page no. 1, there's a 23 section at the top of the page entitled "opinions." Do 24 you see that?</p> <p>25 A Part of our Rule 26 disclosures, yes.</p>	<p>177</p> <p>1 Q Yes, sir. And the last paragraph under 2 opinions indicates, "Based on my review and subject to 3 the definitions, certifications, assumptions, and 4 limiting conditions and scope of work set forth in this 5 report, my opinion, which I hold to a reasonable degree 6 of professional certainty, is that I disagree with the 7 opinions and conclusions contained in the work under 8 review due to errors of omission or commission that 9 significantly affected the assignment results." Do you 10 see that?</p> <p>11 A I do.</p> <p>12 Q And the work under review is an appraisal 13 report prepared by Dennis Grewell with regard to the 14 Ralston property; is that right?</p> <p>15 A For clarification, it's the Grewell report 16 dated October 11th, 2018.</p> <p>17 Q Okay.</p> <p>18 A But you will -- there's various Grewell 19 reports.</p> <p>20 Q As -- as with you, every time the plats 21 changed, Mr. Grewell changed his opinions or updated his 22 report, correct?</p> <p>23 A I think he issued three reports. I issued 24 four. I don't know why there aren't four. I just don't 25 know why.</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

178 to 181

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

182 to 185

<p>1 Q Okay. So, again, you're looking at your 2 certification signature page --</p> <p>3 A Page 21.</p> <p>4 Q -- and there's not a stamp on it, but other 5 than that, does this appear to be the rebuttal report 6 appraisal review that you prepared most recently, the 7 second supplement dated January 17th of this year?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And if you look with me at page 1 of this 10 document, Harvey Exhibit 5, again, under Rule 26 11 disclosures at the top of the page and the section 12 titled "opinions," the third paragraph states, "Based on 13 my review and subject to the definitions, 14 certifications, assumptions, and limiting conditions, 15 the scope of work set forth in this report, my opinion, 16 which I hold to a reasonable degree of professional 17 certainty is that I disagree with the opinions and 18 conclusions contained in the work under review due to 19 errors of omission or commission that significantly 20 affected the assignment results." Is that correct?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Now, you mentioned this earlier, but you've 23 updated this. This -- again, this is an appraisal 24 review, correct?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p>182</p> <p>1 Q And you've updated this or supplemented this 2 appraisal review now twice, I take it, in response to 3 the updated appraisal reports that Mr. Grewell had 4 prepared with regard to this property; is that right?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And this is your most recent appraisal 7 review, and you are reviewing an appraisal report 8 prepared by Mr. Grewell that was dated what day?</p> <p>9 A December 2nd, 2019.</p> <p>10 Q Okay.</p> <p>11 MR. MINSON: Just so you know, I was 12 looking for the stamp --</p> <p>13 MR. CLARKE: Okay.</p> <p>14 MR. MINSON: -- that's he's talking 15 about that's missing from his report. I 16 don't see --</p> <p>17 MR. CLARKE: Yeah, I don't -- I don't 18 know what happened. I'm sure -- we'll get it 19 straightened out, and I'm not worried about 20 it.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>22 MR. CLARKE: And I understand your 23 concern. So --</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Okay. It's on the record.</p> <p>25 MR. CLARKE: I appreciate that.</p>
<p>184</p> <p>1 BY MR. CLARKE:</p> <p>2 Q So, Mr. Harvey, looking at this document that 3 we have, Harvey Exhibit 5 in front of you, your 4 criticisms of Mr. Grewell's December 2nd, I think you 5 said 2019 appraisal report, again, revolve around your 6 -- his use or his, you believe, improper use or improper 7 adjustment of that Milum Lane sale in Suffolk; is that 8 correct?</p> <p>9 A Not exclusively.</p> <p>10 Q All right. What else are you including in 11 this appraisal review?</p> <p>12 A Well, the way you frame your question, 13 you're talking about the Milum Lane sale, but the 14 comparators that he used to analyze the Milum Lane sale 15 also are -- I'm discussing, for instance, the Diggs 16 Prospect Road transaction, that there was deficiencies, 17 I believe, in the way Mr. Grewell reported the data and 18 analyzed the data.</p> <p>19 Q Okay.</p> <p>20 A And then, also, Mr. Grewell's reliance on 21 the published studies along the lines of what we 22 previously discussed, that if you're going to rely upon 23 them, you got to look at the data and analyze the 24 underlying data.</p> <p>25 I think that he used high voltage transmission</p>	<p>185</p> <p>1 lines and an underground transmission study in Texas, 2 for instance, and, yeah, he says, "Well, it's 3 supporting." I don't think he's met the criteria. And 4 then, lastly, I believe that the application of the 5 before and after methodology is erroneous.</p> <p>6 Q So let me ask you specifically about the use 7 of studies or published studies or treatises because 8 you've -- we talked about it. We talked about it with 9 regard to your most recent appraisal. You did look at 10 treatises and you relied upon treatises, and you 11 determined, in particular talking about this Dominy 12 study, that your independent analysis sort of came up to 13 similar conclusion as Mr. Dominy's study; is that 14 correct?</p> <p>15 A Well, I said parallels.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. Parallel. Is there a difference 17 between that and similar conclusions, in your mind?</p> <p>18 A I think parallels is less explicit as to the 19 terminology you used.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. But you're -- one of your criticisms 21 of Mr. Grewell is that he has looked at other studies, 22 other published information in appraisal literature, and 23 indicated that that parallels his conclusions with 24 regard to the impact of the pipeline.</p> <p>25 A He doesn't say parallels.</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

186 to 189

<p>1 Q Okay. So that -- that's your critique? He 2 doesn't use that word "parallels"?</p> <p>3 A My critique is the specific language. Do 4 you have the Grewell report?</p> <p>5 Q I do not.</p> <p>6 A Okay. I'll summarize it, that I think he 7 uses much more explicit language as to the reliance he 8 placed on the studies that appear in his addendum.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. Do you recall specifically what that 10 language is that is, in your opinion --</p> <p>11 A He said he relied upon those studies in 12 formulating his 40 percent impaired percentage.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. So if we could boil it down -- and I'm 14 not trying to be overly reductive, but you -- you use 15 the terminology that your information paralyzes -- 16 parallels the studies, and that your critique is that 17 Mr. Grewell relies upon the studies in reaching his 18 opinions?</p> <p>19 A I think that's a fair summation, but I think 20 putting it in context, the pairings I have are the 21 primary data that I -- that I relied upon. I'm not 22 aware of any errors that have been alleged in my data.</p> <p>23 The data that Mr. Grewell relies upon, he, 24 himself, has admitted under oath that there are errors 25 in that data. So I think the justification for the</p>	<p>186</p> <p>1 attachment of the reports that Mr. Grewell uses is much 2 more robust in support of his opinion than mine.</p> <p>3 Q Now, is there a reason that you didn't 4 include that element of your critique in any -- in your 5 first appraisal review that we looked at as Harvey 6 Exhibit 4?</p> <p>7 A Because he didn't use the same language in 8 his first report. His narratives have changed 9 throughout the development of the three reports. So 10 each time there is a change, I focus on that change and 11 supplement my work accordingly.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And your -- your narrative has changed 13 with regard to the four appraisal reports you've 14 prepared for this case; isn't that correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Now, you also talked about the most recent 17 appraisal review that we have. Harvey Exhibit 5, 18 includes -- I think you talked about a critique or a 19 discussion about the sale on Diggs Prospect Road in 20 Suffolk; is that correct?</p> <p>21 A That's correct.</p> <p>22 Q And was that -- was that critique included in 23 your first appraisal review?</p> <p>24 A No.</p> <p>25 Q Harvey 4? Why not?</p>
<p>188</p> <p>1 A Again, the information that Mr. Grewell 2 portrayed in the second and third reports is what 3 brought my attention to the Diggs Prospect analysis that 4 he utilized.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Now, your most recent -- your second 6 supplemental review, appraisal review, dated 7 January 17th of this year, includes some references to 8 the deposition that Mr. Grewell gave in this case back 9 in May of last year. Do you recall that?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q And you've read that transcript, I take it; 12 is that correct?</p> <p>13 A I have.</p> <p>14 Q And do you know, at the time of that 15 deposition, which report Mr. Grewell was giving his 16 testimony about?</p> <p>17 A It would be referenced in and identified in 18 the transcript. I don't recall.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. I mean, I think it's just 20 fundamentally impossible that he wasn't testifying in 21 May of 2019 about the substance of his December 2019 22 report, correct?</p> <p>23 A I would agree with you, yeah.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. And your most recent rebuttal -- 25 appraisal review, rather, the January 17th report that</p>	<p>189</p> <p>1 we have as Harvey Exhibit 5, also includes a sheet which 2 appears to be notes that, I guess, you prepared after a 3 conversation with the buyer of that Milum Lane property 4 in Suffolk; is that correct?</p> <p>5 A Correct.</p> <p>6 Q And why was is that you talked to that 7 individual?</p> <p>8 A Based upon the representations that Mr. 9 Grewell made in his two supplemental reports and recent 10 supplemental reports.</p> <p>11 Q And you talked to the individual in June of 12 2019; is that correct?</p> <p>13 A Correct.</p> <p>14 Q At that time, had Mr. Grewell prepared more 15 than one appraisal report?</p> <p>16 A I believe so. I think it was at the time of 17 his second supplement that -- that caused me to focus 18 more on the verification of this Milum Lane sale.</p> <p>19 Q And what was -- or what was the purpose of 20 talking with that buyer? What did -- what were you 21 trying to understand or learn from him?</p> <p>22 A Because Mr. Grewell had said -- he had made 23 representations that the buyer of the land -- I can't -- 24 without looking at the report -- I didn't author Mr. 25 Grewell's reports, but, basically, Mr. Grewell reported</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

190 to 193

1 about his interview of parties associated with the Milum 2 Lane either land or approved sale. So, you know, that 3 was new data, new information. I took it upon myself to 4 do the due diligence and investigate it. 5 Q Okay. And in particular, one -- one thing 6 that Mr. Grewell had investigated, and you confirmed it, 7 was that the buyer was unaware of the ACP pipeline when 8 he purchased that Milum Lane property; is that correct? 9 A I think you misspoke. There's no ACP 10 pipeline. 11 Q The ACP easement. Excuse me. 12 A There's no ACP easement. That's not an ACP 13 eased property -- 14 Q Okay. 15 A -- or Milum Lane. It's Carolina Light or 16 Colonial. 17 Q Excuse me. Forgive me. Okay. 18 A Yeah. 19 Q The underground pipeline or above -- what -- 20 I think there was a junction or some sort of above 21 ground -- 22 A I'll identify it for you in a -- 23 Q -- that wound up getting in the -- I don't 24 want to be misleading. I'm sorry. 25 A Yeah, I just -- I'll identify it for you.	190 1 It's held by Commonwealth. 2 Q Okay. But you confirmed that the buyer was 3 not aware of that when he purchased the property; is 4 that correct? 5 A He was not aware of that. He wasn't aware 6 of the extent of wetlands. 7 Q Right. Okay. Now, as a result of your 8 conclusions in your appraisal review and appraisal 9 reviews of Mr. Grewell's reports, have you reported him 10 to the Appraisal Institute? 11 A No. As I said previously, I only reported 12 one person in my history, and that history is true 13 today, and that was in my capacity of director of 14 education and experience credits here, and that was 15 mandated. Aside from that, it's not my intent to -- 16 it's not my style. 17 Q All right. 18 MR. CLARKE: I think that's all the 19 questions I have. If you would just give me 20 one minute, I just want to look over 21 everything. 22 THE WITNESS: Time is running. 23 MR. CLARKE: All right. I can't give it 24 up. I have a few more questions. 25 BY MR. CLARKE:
192 1 Q Mr. Harvey, one of the things that you 2 include in your most recent review appraisal dated 3 January 17th of this year is the criticism of -- 4 MR. MINSON: I'm sorry. Which exhibit 5 are we on right now? 6 MR. CLARKE: Exhibit 5. 7 BY MR. CLARKE: 8 Q It's -- it's a criticism, I believe, of Mr. 9 Grewell using paired sales data that was developed or 10 obtained by a different appraiser, Matt Ray; is that 11 right? 12 A Well, it links to that. 13 Q Okay. 14 A That wasn't my specific criticism. 15 Q Well, if you look at page 11 of Exhibit 5, 16 item no. 3 at the top of the page, you've indicated that 17 the signed certification in the Grewell report fails to 18 acknowledge the significant appraisal assistance 19 provided by Mr. Ray; is that correct? 20 A That's the specific criticism, yup. 21 Q Okay. And that -- and we talked a little bit 22 about this earlier, about what is required under USPAP 23 and reporting requirements as far as crediting another 24 appraiser or other individuals for assisting. So if I 25 just -- if I want -- I want to just kind of understand	193 1 it a little bit better. 2 Your critique or your criticism of this is 3 that, in your opinion, Mr. Ray provided Mr. Grewell 4 significant appraisal assistance but Mr. Grewell did not 5 appropriately credit him in his certification? 6 A That's one aspect, yes. 7 Q Okay. And we talked a little bit earlier -- 8 I think you kind of indicate stylistically you -- you 9 would give more -- you would err on the side of giving 10 more credit, even when it may not rise to the level of 11 significant appraisal assistance in your reports; is 12 that correct? 13 A I said that previously, but here, we're 14 talking about the minimum requirements of USPAP Standard 15 Rule 2-3. 16 Q Right. And your -- and your opinion is that 17 Mr. Ray's assistance to Mr. Grewell rises to the level 18 of significant appraisal assistance such that USPAP 19 would require Mr. Grewell to credit him in his 20 certification; is that correct? 21 A There and in his scope of work, yes. 22 Q Okay. 23 A Those are dual requirements. 24 Q All right. Now, just so we're clear on the 25 terminology, the review -- appraisal review that we're

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

194 to 197

<p style="text-align: right;">194</p> <p>1 looking at, Harvey Exhibit 5, gives -- defines something 2 called "the Property" with a capital "P" on page 1, and 3 that's defined at the top of the page to include the six 4 PIN numbers that you've elsewhere called the subject 5 larger parcel; is that right?</p> <p>6 A I don't think that's correct.</p> <p>7 Q Okay.</p> <p>8 A And I will distinguish for you. In my 9 appraisal report, I used the term "property" and 10 "subject larger parcel." In Mr. Grewell's report, he 11 does not do a larger parcel analysis. He just refers to 12 it as the "subject property."</p> <p>13 When he refers to subject properties, I believe 14 he's referring to all six parcel identification numbers. 15 So I used his descriptions as the basis for the 16 identification. It comes from his report, not my 17 report.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. So at the top of page 1 is your 19 reference to those six PINs and -- and a parenthetical 20 defining them as the "property." That's intended to 21 incorporate Mr. Grewell's description of those in his 22 report?</p> <p>23 A Right. That -- that comes directly from his 24 -- his report, yes.</p> <p>25 Q All right. So your use of that term in your</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">195</p> <p>1 review appraisal shouldn't be taken to mean the same way 2 you use that term in your appraisal reports?</p> <p>3 A If -- if one were to use the terminology 4 consistently, when I refer to property in the Grewell 5 Exhibit 5, I'm referring to the subject larger parcel in 6 Harvey 1.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that.</p> <p>8 MR. CLARKE: That's all the questions I 9 have, Mr. Harvey. Thank you.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Any questions?</p> <p>11 MR. MINSON: I don't have any questions.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: I'd like to read and sign, 13 please.</p> <p>14 MR. CLARKE: Yes, just regular.</p> <p>15 MR. MINSON: Same.</p> <p>16 MR. CLARKE: Electronic is fine with me.</p> <p>17 MR. MINSON: I think electronic is fine.</p> <p>18 (Whereupon the proceedings concluded at 19 2:14 p.m.)</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">196</p> <p>1 STATE OF _____ 2 ss; 3 COUNTY OF _____</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS</p> <p>6</p> <p>7 I, WILLIAM C. HARVEY, II, hereby certify that I 8 have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition taken 9 January 29, 2020, in Tysons, Virginia, pursuant to the 10 applicable Rules of Civil Procedure, and that the 11 foregoing 195 pages of transcript are in conformity with 12 my testimony given at that time (with the exception of 13 any corrections made by me, in ink, and initialed by me 14 on the attached errata sheet).</p> <p>15</p> <p>16 _____ WILLIAM C. HARVEY, II</p> <p>17</p> <p>18 STATE OF _____ COUNTY OF _____</p> <p>19 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me, the undersigned 20 authority on this the _____ day of _____, 20_____. 21</p> <p>22 _____ Notary Public</p> <p>23 County of _____, State of _____</p> <p>24 My Commission Expires _____</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">197</p> <p>1 ERRATA SHEET</p> <p>2 Please make any corrections or changes on this sheet. Please do not write in the deposition transcript.</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 PAGE NO./LINE NO. EXPLANATION</p> <p>5</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19 WILLIAM C. HARVEY, II</p> <p>20 STATE OF _____ COUNTY OF _____</p> <p>21</p> <p>22 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me, the undersigned 23 authority on this the _____ day of _____, 20_____. 24</p> <p>25 Notary Public County of _____, State of _____ My Commission Expires _____</p>

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

198

1

REPORTER CERTIFICATE

2

3 I, JACQUELINE N. HAGEN, Court Reporter and Notary Public,
4 certify:
5 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at
6 the time and place herein set forth, at which time the
7 witness was put under oath for me;
8 That the testimony of the witness and all objections made
9 at the time of the examination were recorded
10 stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed;
11 That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my
12 shorthand notes so taken;
13 I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of
14 any attorney or of any of the parties not financially
15 interested in this action.

16

17

18

19

20

JACQUELINE N. HAGEN

21

22 Dated: February 6, 2020

23

24

25

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

199

\$			
\$100	114:18	153:5 155:11 159:15, 18 160:13 162:10 180:3,5	2-2A 29:11,21
\$100,000	125:21 126:4	12-pair 156:24	2-3 87:3
\$13,000	175:3,4,9	128 3:16	2.49-acre 152:20
\$411,575	124:13	13 89:22 91:16 92:4	20 20:23 96:18,19,20 97:2,4 98:7 99:11,18, 24,25 100:5,16 101:1,24 125:6
0		132 112:18	2000 17:13
0	158:20	13th 31:16 61:22	2009 31:16
040-11	53:22	14 92:5,14 94:4 174:11 175:2	2010 39:20 59:18 60:15,21 61:13,19
1		140 14:11	2012 22:20
1	3:14 24:9,10,14 26:7 29:3 30:12,25 31:6,18 38:14 40:5 50:19 51:21,24 52:5 53:18 54:20 55:6 58:1,5 72:25 73:1 84:20 92:25 93:3 107:25 129:1,19 150:21 176:16,22 182:9	150 14:11	2013 22:20
1,430,000	108:3	16 95:14 101:15 102:21 103:3,10 104:3 106:8,14	2015 90:2,14 113:10 114:9
1,813,000	127:7	17 85:25 106:9,14	2016 157:7
1-	29:11	175 3:17	2017 11:1,18 12:7
1.04	154:4 156:3	1786 113:24	2018 40:20 42:25 43:16 44:24 60:7 61:15,20 62:11 84:1, 5,10,15 90:2,5 92:8, 15 110:20 116:19 128:13 176:2,20 177:16 178:6,12
10	44:12 63:20 64:8 67:1 73:5 75:12 76:14,25 77:6 79:1 149:15 150:17,20 158:4 171:10	17th 128:12 182:7 188:7,25 192:3	179:12
100	93:16,19	18 87:21 97:5	2019 31:19 32:12 33:8 41:2 45:11 62:11 73:9,10 183:9 184:5 188:21 189:12
11	38:20,21 39:9,18 59:6 60:5 61:12 78:6 84:22 86:1 87:22 88:10 158:4 166:22 180:3,5 192:15	1800s 69:5	2020 103:25
1166	8:17	181 3:18	2021 18:4 140:7
11th	177:16 178:6,12	19 82:25 83:7 96:16, 17 97:24,25 98:3,5 99:11,18 106:22	21 14:15 32:12 98:7 99:11,19 107:9 108:12 182:3
12	38:22 39:9,18,19 52:18 59:20 61:12 79:4 89:5,22 148:8	1900s 68:21 69:5	215 140:19
		1976 7:10,15	21st 73:9
		1986 7:3	22 17:24 108:12 129:12
		2	23 111:16 149:1 158:2 159:12
			2304 152:9,15
			2308 148:21 150:22 151:3,16 152:8,19 153:10
			4
			4 3:8,17 29:2 63:19

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

200

75:22 111:22 112:3 117:7,15 118:7 175:20,25 187:6,25	605 8:19	120:20	acquired 36:1,5 85:15,21,23 102:22, 23 111:5
40 186:12	7	access 50:3 68:8 74:1 97:23 98:8 99:6 102:5	acquiring 95:11 96:23 98:20 138:20
40-11 32:11 54:14,17 56:23 57:20 58:2,12 71:20 88:17,24	7 37:23 38:13 40:5 73:5 74:14 75:11 147:11,25 148:2,24 157:14,15 158:16 159:6 166:22 171:5	accompanied 46:7 86:13	acquisition 79:18 81:12,22 97:13,17 98:12
40-13 58:12 71:20 88:17,24	72 7:16	accordance 29:7 30:23 119:25 150:5	acquisitions 63:9 130:19,23 131:14,23
4011 53:25	73 7:17	account 84:13 154:1	132:11
4013 58:2	75 7:17	accrued 122:4,15 125:11	acre 114:18
4296 172:4	77 7:16	accumulation 154:15	acreage 59:16
43 7:10	8	accuracy 74:11 140:12 141:16 142:9 169:8	acres 158:20
44 127:25	8 29:2 75:12,22 173:4	accurate 116:6 124:22	act 42:11
49 140:19	82 3:15	accurately 57:12	action 19:10 20:5 132:4 135:4 150:1
4th 39:20 40:20 42:25 43:15 60:15,20 61:13,19 84:1,5,10, 15 90:9,14 91:8,10 110:20	9	acknowledge 87:5, 9,10,15 91:7 192:18	actions 42:11 132:21 133:2 143:16
5	9 40:16,25 41:4 42:13 44:15 49:10 62:6 63:20 76:14 158:4	ACP 9:24 10:7 11:2, 13,15,20,23 22:12 47:23,25 54:10 71:8, 12,23 72:2 75:1,5 80:10,16 81:24 82:3 94:17 95:10,19 96:23 98:7,9,11,20 100:14 102:22,23 103:6,15, 20 104:10,17 105:8 109:22 129:23 131:17 132:18 133:8, 12 139:2,3,9,12,14, 20 140:3 142:17,22, 23 145:1,23 146:18 171:6,7,13,19,24 190:7,9,11,12	active 135:6 146:14
5 3:18 8:19 64:9 82:24 96:16 98:3 99:25 107:25 119:7, 17 122:20 123:5,20, 25 125:11,20 134:19 181:4,9 182:10 184:3 187:17 189:1 192:6, 15	9-11 42:7,8,9	actual 29:4 50:14 52:9 54:22 80:18 81:13 90:18 92:2 93:22 106:11 114:3 121:19 125:5 158:6 171:24 179:9	activity 10:5 41:12, 13 60:23 62:17 80:18,20 101:3 159:4 164:7
5.28 156:4	9-9 42:8	ad 22:25	
50 93:1 125:6	90 11:24	add 64:23 180:21	
50/50 10:25	95 11:24,25 12:6	added 114:14 117:25 124:1 126:25	
525 13:7	9:59 4:4	addendum 186:8	
57 160:3	A	adding 124:11	
5th 176:2	a.m. 4:5	additional 29:21 30:11 36:19 39:11,17 40:1 89:3 111:11 137:14 180:21	
6	ability 167:16	adjust 180:8	
6 19:25 67:2 69:10 70:8 71:1 77:5,9 119:24 120:1	above-grade 168:23	adjusted 43:10	
600-mile 94:18	absence 140:11	adjustment 156:8 184:7	
	abundance 150:6 171:25		
	accept 32:2		
	acceptable 66:8 135:24 136:5		
	accepted 62:8		

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

201

adjustments 153:22 155:17,23 156:10 157:4 160:12 179:20	amendment 35:24 109:6	apply 63:17 124:19 141:9 144:17 146:22 171:2	41:6 42:19 66:15 80:6 86:9,13 131:4,5 179:1 192:10,24
administrative 23:1,4 87:19,20	amenities 137:14	appraisal 6:6,8,10, 14 8:4,13 9:4,19 10:2,11 12:10,15 14:7 17:5,8 19:8,11, 17,23 20:3,11 21:8 27:13 28:10,21 29:4, 5,8,12,17,20,22 30:1, 3 31:2 32:2,21 33:19 36:13,16,19,21 37:4 39:3 40:21 41:5,8,11, 19,24 42:2,4,6,8 45:4,5 62:9,10 63:5,8 64:11 65:3,23 66:6,9, 17,23 74:23 75:22 76:24 79:5,22 83:17 87:16 89:25 100:12 108:18,25 109:19 111:21,23 112:5 116:14 117:15,18 118:7,13,25 119:14 120:19 122:6 128:20 129:13 130:11,14 132:20,24 133:2,15 135:24 139:5 140:10, 15 141:3 148:5 149:18,23 151:14 164:24 168:8 169:8 176:9,10 177:12 178:7,18,22,24 179:4,7,8,11 180:11, 12 182:6,23 183:2,3, 6,7 184:5,11 185:9, 22 187:5,13,17,23 188:6,25 189:15 191:8,10 192:2,18	appraiser's 41:19 178:23
admitted 186:24	analysis 65:1 75:24 76:10 77:12 78:20 80:5 87:13 92:14 106:10,13,20 113:7 118:8 144:12,15 145:3,6,10,12 146:24 151:20,22 157:3 164:14 173:11 180:9 185:12 188:3	analytical 76:12	appraisers 43:8 66:10 134:14,17 135:13,25 165:23
advisory 41:17	analyze 184:14,23	analyzing 86:4 129:22	appraising 8:13 31:12 35:20,21 38:23 52:6,22 63:3 117:3 135:25 141:9 145:12 146:1 151:11 156:19 165:24
Aerial 73:3	analyzed 76:15 77:1 78:7,13 184:18	anticipate 147:14	Appreciated 46:25
affect 74:24	anonymous 19:9,20	anymore 113:14 178:3	approach 85:2,7,8, 16,19 88:7 107:18 108:1 120:14,16,22 122:23 144:11,16,18
affected 177:9 178:14 182:20	answers 5:7	apparently 123:12	approaches 85:10 144:8
affiliations 7:6	anticipate 147:14	appeals 23:1	appropriately 180:8
affixed 95:2	anymore 113:14 178:3	appeared 61:25 62:2	approved 190:2
afternoon 147:16	apparently 123:12	appearing 181:25	approximate 55:8,9 58:9
age 124:24,25 125:4, 5	appeared 61:25 62:2	appears 25:23 54:13 59:9 100:2 118:22 180:18 189:2	approximately 14:1 94:18
agency 18:7 20:10 21:10	appliance 47:13	appraisals 11:22 22:8,11 36:14,24 40:8 64:10 151:9 164:4,6,13 165:10,15 172:9	appurtenances 94:24 95:1,3,12
agent 78:4	applicable 38:10 109:5 124:8 131:20 132:16	appraise 98:20 110:5	appurtenant 136:10,14
agree 56:11 97:3 99:12,17 101:7 159:5 178:5 179:2 188:23	application 123:22 144:7 185:4	appraised 21:23 22:5,16 36:9 39:1 49:2 60:6 88:11 97:12,17 98:12,19 103:5 151:10	April 11:1,18 12:6 40:20 42:25 43:15 60:7 61:15,20 84:1,5, 10,15 90:1,2,5,6,9, 14,16,17 91:8,10 110:20
agreed 103:15	applied 108:13,23 119:13 122:16 125:6, 16 134:10 144:10	appraiser 6:3,13 7:20 16:21 17:10 18:23 20:10 21:8	AQB 17:7
agreement 31:15 117:11 163:20			arbiter 44:6 146:8 171:1
ahead 24:17 103:23			arbiters 171:16
aids 131:5			Arcgis 71:8 72:5,6,8
align 55:3			architecture 55:2,3, 4
aligned 54:24			area 20:14 21:5 58:9
alleged 186:22			
altered 25:10			
alternate 118:17,20 119:14			
alternatives 147:4			
amazing 169:9			
amend 116:7			
amended 3:15 32:12 33:1 40:14 82:13 94:14 96:1,15 97:2 99:1,13,19 101:17, 18,20,25 102:1,6 103:4			

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

202

67:5 69:11,19 75:16 76:2 85:16 102:24 118:2 133:6 138:2 175:7	assistants 87:19 assisted 86:3 87:15 assisting 192:24 associate 20:15,20 48:18 associates 6:20 8:6, 12 9:2,3 18:13,14,17 86:2 156:17 association 69:11 172:25 assume 12:25 26:25 79:19 assumed 38:14 assumes 79:6 assuming 110:23 assumption 38:6,7, 8,9 40:4 79:5,11,12, 15 80:1 81:3 83:18 assumptions 35:13 38:2,12 40:9 177:3 182:14 ate 47:9 Atlantic 4:11 5:17 10:8 12:10,13 14:22 22:11 36:1,10 83:13 94:8 106:1 Atlantic's 83:10 attached 28:10,11, 13,21 30:3,8,17,19 31:5 111:22 118:18, 24 119:8 136:10,14 165:16,19 166:9 181:12 attachment 119:2 164:22 187:1 attempt 106:5 163:22 attempting 168:8 attendance 48:20 attending 47:8 attention 25:12 32:5 55:21 71:5 150:12,13 188:3	attorney 18:12,15, 16 166:6 attorney's 28:2 attorneys 32:19 35:8,12 36:8 45:22 attributable 129:22 131:16 168:17 attributed 108:22 175:9 auction 8:23,25 auctioneering 8:21 Auctions 8:23 August 157:7 Augusta 21:19,21, 23 22:6,9,17 23:14 45:19 49:19 50:3 51:12,22 67:23 68:7 69:21 70:15,17 71:9 72:14,22 89:11 93:10 145:18 146:12 163:6, 23 aunt 62:3 author 189:24 authored 26:25 authoritative 63:25 authorities 10:21 authority 11:3 authorized 98:5 automatic 23:8 award 81:13,17 151:25 174:25 awards 82:3 103:24 aware 16:13,16 18:16 41:19 43:6,21, 22 73:17 74:3 80:8,9 85:22 89:15 91:14 114:13 115:20 117:12 135:6 139:8, 12 140:6 165:8 186:22 191:3,5 awful 72:18	B back 22:20 24:20 30:14 31:18 36:19 40:4 43:10 49:10 53:17 55:13 56:16 57:3,4 59:6 62:6 63:15 68:17,18,19, 20,22 69:2,3,5 70:16 79:1 80:9 84:19 90:8 99:22 108:11 116:19 119:7 123:24 129:8, 12 157:7 158:15 162:9 164:20 166:17 169:12 172:11 178:18 180:12 188:8 background 7:12 backing 78:2 Baltimore 7:13,15 130:21,25 148:8 149:8,11 159:11 162:11 163:4 barns 125:8 base 23:11 79:4 143:1 based 32:14 83:21 92:14 125:18 139:17 155:3 156:12 177:2 182:12 189:8 basically 146:21 154:3 189:25 basis 5:1 20:21 33:10,23 44:21 76:24 78:17 81:16 140:9 151:24 began 4:4 7:19 begin 5:3,4 84:5,9 beginning 7:12 26:4 79:21 150:25 begins 71:6 80:4 107:13 behalf 10:21,22 36:10 151:12 165:24 belief 117:24 believed 150:4
--	--	---	---

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

203

below-grade 168:24	8,22 58:2,12	calculation 84:12	92:11 94:14 103:5
beneficial 33:5	boundary 54:17,22 55:11,24 56:2,4,6,12, 22 146:18	calculus 111:13	107:25 118:1 128:21
benefit 114:13 142:21,25 143:1	bounds 58:18,24 59:1,15	calibrate 55:4	136:9 138:5 141:3,23
benefits 136:21 137:1 142:17	Breach 10:16	call 4:13,23 32:17 33:2 39:13 51:10 53:24 54:9 56:1,2 104:4 144:11 146:3 147:7 153:17	147:7,20 148:13,21, 23 149:1,4 150:2,11, 21 151:3 155:25
benign 137:13 138:12,13,17 154:12, 14,24 160:19	break 5:11 53:11 175:14	called 4:2 8:6 12:16 20:15 31:21 58:18 63:9 102:10 106:9 117:15 121:6 123:12 127:19 130:4 166:18 172:22	159:14 160:6 161:10 166:25 167:7 169:1,9 176:4,11 179:8 187:14 188:8
BG 131:11 132:7	briefly 27:9	Calvert 7:13	cases 10:13,16,20, 21 14:11 23:18,24
bid-asks 154:20	bring 23:9 71:4 114:16 150:11	candidate 20:15,20 21:1,14,15	33:2 160:18 164:21
big 91:23	bringing 25:11	candidates 21:4	cash 119:19 120:4, 10
bill 13:18,21	broad 67:20 70:19 154:10	cap 13:10,11	category 67:20
billings 13:14	broader 66:16 68:5 132:18 144:23	capacities 6:4,15 27:7,20	caused 189:17
bit 37:1 79:10 98:18 111:15 112:10 120:11 173:23 192:21	broke 10:25	capacity 5:25 20:11, 24 22:22 23:13,19 25:2,20 37:11 65:24 87:15 191:13	caution 150:6 171:25
blah 105:24	broken 109:15	capital 88:12,23 89:2	CCIM 3:2,7 17:7 18:5
Blake 8:1	broker 9:10,11 113:15 114:6,8 116:2	capitalization 78:8	center 48:13 71:14
block 26:13 58:21	brokerage 8:21,24 9:1,5 17:6 113:14	car 49:5,7 115:16	certainty 27:14,18, 22 177:6 182:17
Bloomberg 154:20	brokerage's 9:17	cards 86:10	certificate 56:17
board 18:23 21:20 22:6,18,21 23:5,11, 14,19 65:22	brought 32:5 80:16 150:13 188:3	care 143:12 178:3	certification 63:18 87:2 173:22 174:1,8 182:2 192:17
board's 23:8	Buckingham 145:18 146:12	career 156:14	certifications 17:2 177:3 182:14
bodies 143:12	budgetary 68:3	Carolina 12:5 16:22 117:10 146:17 190:15	certified 16:20 17:7, 10 18:3 86:12
body 118:8,22,25	building 44:20 121:22	carry 48:7,10 49:25 124:9	certify 87:11
boil 186:13	buildings 46:16 47:14,15,18 125:9	Carson 31:14	certifying 56:8
bolded 119:8	bunch 76:5,6	case 5:21 6:1,16 11:7,8 13:13 16:1,5, 10 18:18 21:18,24,25 22:10 29:1,18 30:6	chain 52:24 57:3
Bonnie 4:9 31:14,15 52:16	business 26:14	31:9 34:10 43:6,7 45:6 65:2 76:10 78:25 85:10 88:6	challenge 23:3
book 63:9,12,14,17 140:19,22	buyer 42:16 119:19 189:3,20,23 190:7 191:2		change 36:23,24 40:13 44:8 74:2,4 101:25 104:18 116:6 187:10
bottom 27:11 28:7 30:15,22,24 39:8,17 52:18 63:20 89:21 92:4 94:4 108:11 118:15 123:7 129:21 144:5 172:14	buyers 154:2		changed 36:22 73:18 177:21 187:8, 12
bought 18:14			characteristics 45:2 49:14 58:15 63:14 132:2 160:11
boundaries 52:5,7,			
	C		
	calculated 93:18		

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

204

charge 19:25	clients 4:14 12:19	commercial 123:8, 20,22 126:1	competing 139:6
charged 143:19,20	climate 72:7	commission 177:8 178:13 182:19	complained 18:15
Charles 4:18 153:10	closed 18:19 19:10 20:4 43:22	Commissioner 89:12	complaint 3:15 18:6 19:4,9,16,20 20:9 21:7,10 35:11,22 36:7 38:19 39:2,8 40:24 59:7 79:13,14, 16 81:10,24 82:14 83:3 94:13,14 96:2, 15 97:2,10 99:2,13, 19 101:18,25 102:1, 5,6 103:4 159:2
Charlottesville 69:11,19	closely 94:2	committee 20:22	complaints 40:14, 15 101:19,20 102:2
chart 89:6 92:5,14 95:17 112:5 123:5 125:10 133:24 148:3, 7	closer 11:25	common 25:15 37:10	complete 33:9
check 152:3	co-located 167:11, 14	Commonwealth 191:1	completed 19:24 75:14 81:6 111:1,9 171:17
checkmark 118:16	Coast 4:12 5:17 10:8 12:10,13 14:22 22:11 36:1,11 94:8	communicating 32:13	completion 140:2
Chesapeake 8:23	COD 94:3	communications 131:9	complicated 41:6 42:5
chiefly 7:22	Code 63:4	communities 147:8	comply 62:19,22,25 63:2,7,11
Chocolate 24:1,5	coefficient 93:16, 17,21	community 68:3	component 88:4 107:24 108:2,3,8 110:8 111:23 120:18 121:4 123:21 126:3
choose 120:16 143:8 171:21	Cold 115:8	comp 70:21	components 74:25 88:5 121:20 123:25 125:7 126:3
Circuit 50:3 68:8	collaborate 86:16	companies 130:20, 24	compound 15:21 23:17
circumstances 180:14	collaborated 156:16	company 8:25	comprehensive 14:14
city 89:16,19 149:10 179:15	collaborative 115:1	comparability 160:10 162:8	compressor 95:8
clarification 51:19 122:18 123:19 177:15	collated 167:11	comparable 77:1,4, 18,23 111:17 112:7, 12,17 121:2 146:25	comprise 39:13 54:7 120:6
clarified 13:3	colleagues 65:19	comparative 145:12	comprised 39:12
clarify 5:11 13:1 85:24 98:17 106:5 122:12	collect 76:25 77:10, 15,17 114:3	comparators 184:14	comps 70:15
Clarke 3:8 4:6,8 24:8 53:9,12,15 82:5,9 128:3,7 152:7 175:15,18,22 181:2,6 183:13,17,22,25 184:1 191:18,23,25 192:6,7	collected 78:6,13	compare 96:20 147:3	con 142:16
classic 42:7	collecting 77:3,14 86:3,15	compared 152:8 153:10 159:14 160:6 162:8 170:15	concept 106:15
classify 153:20	collection 87:13 113:6	compares 112:7	concern 16:7,8 25:14 183:23
clear 25:4 29:23 38:24 45:3 76:3 101:4 102:8 119:18 148:3 175:4	collects 86:9,10	comparing 78:2 151:23 155:18,23 159:21 162:12	concert 170:18
client 18:16 22:23 25:15 90:21 176:1	college 7:14,15,18 47:8	comparison 85:2 144:11 153:13	
	Colonial 130:20,24 131:11 132:6 190:16	compensation 13:6 109:17 111:11 151:17 174:20,25	
	Columbia 148:9 151:12,18 156:19 162:13,16 163:19 166:18		
	combination 22:14 59:11 73:25 131:12 156:15 168:18,21 169:25 170:10		

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

205

conclude 136:25	connection 94:8 95:19 164:7,21	118:25 126:17 141:17 177:7 178:12 179:24 180:5 182:18	conveyances 53:3
concluded 88:2 111:8 153:22 157:7	cons 143:7	contamination 140:24 141:4	conveyed 61:3
conclusion 106:23 138:15 153:13 154:7, 8,24 160:1 185:13	consensual 132:2	contents 28:23	cooking 47:3
conclusions 140:13 141:16 142:9 152:3 154:23 155:2 161:13 173:6,7 177:7 178:12 182:18 185:17,23 191:8	consent 104:10,17 106:1	contest 178:1	coordinator 20:14
concurrence 169:11	conservatory 120:21	context 41:24 66:16, 22 92:22 93:8 101:11,12,14 105:21 108:2 133:8 154:9 186:20	copy 14:16 25:21 39:24 60:10
condemnation 3:15 82:14 144:19	consideration 131:6	continual 17:23	copywritten 166:5
condemned 83:9 103:12	considerations 38:16	continue 24:4	cordial 46:25
condemning 10:21 11:3	considered 34:15, 19 35:10 42:14,16 44:10 92:7 129:21 130:15,19 135:2,4 136:1 137:3,13 138:7,11 143:15 148:4 151:8 161:9	continued 17:19 180:20	Corelogic 78:15 121:7 122:1 123:2,13
condition 38:6,10 40:4 111:8 137:23 141:6 143:17 154:13, 14,24	considers 167:6	continues 39:8 172:18	corners 34:3
conditioned 105:25	consist 49:18 75:23	continuing 17:18 18:2 28:6 89:22 92:4 144:14	correct 10:9 11:16, 17 12:7,8 21:19 24:20 27:25 28:19 30:4 31:9,22 32:1 36:17 37:4 38:23 39:14,15,21 40:2,21 41:3 42:23 44:22 45:7,12 47:18 50:24 52:19 54:15,16 55:25 56:13 58:19 66:3 67:23 68:15,16 71:9, 10,25 72:1 73:10,11, 15,19 74:19 75:17 76:17 77:1 78:10 83:11,12,19,20,23,24 84:2 85:17 86:5,6,18, 22 88:25 89:9,10,14 90:15 92:9 93:24 94:9,10,20 95:21,23, 24 96:12,13,24 98:24 99:15,21 100:6 101:21 103:13,14,18 104:14 106:10 107:4, 7,8 108:10 109:18 110:22 112:1,9 113:23 117:16,17,20 119:12,22 120:5,14 121:4,10,12,13 122:25 123:1,5,6 124:3,4,14,16,17 125:14 128:1 129:2, 16 130:9,21,22 133:23 134:4 136:23, 24 137:8,9,16 139:11 140:13,19,25 141:1, 11 142:6,18,19 144:9,25 145:4 147:10 148:5,11,15, 19,21,24 149:15,21 151:4,13 152:10,11,
conditions 36:22 38:3,13 40:9 125:8 141:12 177:4 182:14	consistent 27:13 53:1	contours 162:24	
confidentiality 131:7	consistently 122:14	contra 143:5	
confirm 31:8 44:3 72:20 74:10 78:1 112:11 141:22	consists 88:17 94:6 136:9	contract 10:16 43:24	
confirmation 42:15 44:1 112:24	consortium 163:20	Contrast 141:22	
confirmed 190:6 191:2	constitute 30:1 55:18	contrasted 138:22 168:25	
confused 33:13 98:18 104:23 105:2	constitutes 120:18	contribute 20:18	
confusing 51:16	construct 95:11 139:14	contributory 107:23	
confusion 13:2 122:12	constructed 95:5 139:2	control 34:1 152:15 159:15 160:13	
Congress 14:20	construction 79:17 80:10,13,18,23 81:1, 4,6 94:17 121:22 140:2 158:19,20,24 159:7,8	controlled 148:17	
connect 163:4,9,14	consulting 17:6	controlling 119:9	
	contact 26:14 27:10 113:11	controls 43:12 62:16	
	contained 34:7,10, 20 37:21 113:20	convenience 117:23	
		conversation 15:9, 12 46:24 189:3	
		conveyance 52:24 58:21 60:21 113:1	

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

206

22 153:3,4,16 155:3, 14 156:10 157:2 159:9,20,25 162:3,5, 15 166:16,19,20 167:2,23 168:19 169:17 170:7 171:8, 11,14 172:16,17,19 173:2,12,13 175:7 176:11,12,14,20 177:22 178:8,9,15,16 179:9,10,16,21 180:10 182:20,24 184:8 185:14 187:14, 20,21 188:12,22 189:4,5,12,13 190:8 191:4 192:19	148:24 149:2 151:25 157:13,14,15 158:2, 16 159:6,15,18 160:13 165:5 174:21, 22	current 18:3 41:22 114:16 custodian 19:3 cutoff 42:20,24 43:4, 16	dates 31:25 32:9 David 64:19 130:6 142:5 172:15 day 12:24 42:8 110:25 183:8 DC 16:21 20:14 de 152:13 deal 91:23 death 62:3 December 183:9 184:4 188:21 decided 147:6 157:5 decides 23:3 decreasing 76:1 deduce 169:5 deed 39:20,24,25 40:1 50:12 52:15,17 53:1,5 55:10 56:24 57:13 58:14,17,25 59:4 60:7,10,15,21 61:13,15,20 68:12 78:2 113:1
correction 90:19 91:13	courts 14:13 139:25 150:5	D-O-M-I-N-Y 64:19	deeds 50:4,5 52:9, 12,21,23 60:12,24 61:4,9 68:12,14 77:16
corridor 162:3 169:25	COV 94:2	damages 16:7 109:4,10,16 136:19	Deerfield 112:19 113:24 172:4
cost 78:7,17,20 85:1, 7,8,10,16,19 107:18 108:1 120:13,16,22 121:11,15 122:5,9, 10,23 124:1 125:20 127:1,2	cover 30:14 36:15 82:13 104:18 166:10 176:19	data 28:9 30:7,10,11, 16,18,24 31:1 34:15, 18 35:9 42:13,21 43:9,13,16,23 44:3 50:1,2,6 66:14,15 67:3,9,17,25 68:3,4,8 69:14,16 70:16 71:7, 12,14,22 72:2,5,17 77:10 78:2,7,12,19, 20 86:4,9 93:7 113:1, 17 114:16 140:12 141:16,21,24 142:1, 2,9,11 144:18 151:23 152:1 154:8,9,12,21 155:3,4 157:8 161:2, 24 163:23 164:22 165:3,4 167:20,24 168:11,13,14 169:5 170:15 171:15,18,23 173:6,17 174:23 179:14 184:17,18,23, 24 186:21,22,23,25 190:3 192:9	default 43:5 defend 23:6 deficiencies 70:6 184:16
costs 78:24 121:18 122:15,16 123:3 124:2,12	credible 15:20 136:5	database 52:8 72:2	defined 74:15 76:2 88:2,15 89:1 95:14 119:18
coterminalous 17:22	credited 89:16	date 32:7 36:24	definition 110:14 118:16,17,20,22 119:8,10,15 120:7 171:17
cottage 46:15 47:2, 7,24	crediting 192:23	cul 152:13	definitions 177:3 182:13
counties 93:15 145:18 146:12,13 148:9 149:9 162:11	credits 20:20 191:14	culmination 97:8	degree 25:25 27:14, 18,21 34:2 52:25 78:22 91:12 162:7
county 21:19,20,21, 24 22:6,9,17 23:14 45:19 49:19,20 50:3 51:1,22 68:4,7 69:21 70:15,16,19 71:9 72:14,22 89:12 93:10,12,19 115:6 143:4 163:7,23,24 166:19 169:21 170:16	critic 150:3	culvert 78:23	
County's 67:23	criticism 180:7 192:3,8,14,20	cumulative 105:16 106:25	
couple 14:5 46:20 60:6 104:3	criticize 179:12	cumulatively 139:22	
court 14:6,11 15:19 43:8 50:4 82:3	cup 53:7	dated 31:15 33:8 42:8 56:7 57:2 60:7 73:9 128:12 176:19 177:16 179:12 182:7 183:8 188:6 192:2	

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

207

177:5 182:16	58:18 59:1 60:4 89:7	deviation 93:17	27:24 128:11 158:12
deletion 73:25	95:18 97:21 101:23	devices 48:10	181:11
129:14	105:7 106:15 152:25	diameter 163:1	disclosures 176:25
Dellwood 149:2	descriptions 39:6	differ 134:18	182:11
158:2	50:1	differed 125:7	discretion 23:8
demand 75:15	designation 17:5,7	difference 38:5	discussed 133:17
demarcation	20:16	88:21 101:8 109:17	184:22
180:24	designations 17:1	110:1,6 121:17 122:4	discussing 184:15
demonstrative	18:1	153:21,24 158:23	discussion 114:5,7
35:3,7	desirable 137:13	161:6 168:16 170:12	165:3 187:19
denial 20:21	138:7,16	175:8 185:16	dismissed 19:6
denied 20:20	desire 138:8 142:24	differences 154:2	disregard 43:16,18
Denison 43:7	143:6	differential 85:12	143:8
Dennis 177:13	desk 72:19	108:21 161:4 170:10	disregarded 109:22
Department 18:25	detail 80:25	differentiate 88:18	dissemination
55:17 93:18	determination 39:3	132:1	161:18
departments 25:18	74:24 88:7,18 94:12	differently 134:9	distances 73:4
depending 162:24	125:3 135:1	differs 26:1	distant 42:20
depends 23:8 62:23	determine 40:23	difficult 48:3 115:17	distinction 157:23
66:11 145:8	42:20 43:3 79:11	difficulty 144:17	distinguish 29:14
depict 54:14	80:3 85:9 97:9	Diggs 184:15 187:19	56:3,5 105:10
depiction 76:13	124:18 132:15 137:3,	188:3	distinguishes
depictions 72:25	17 138:4,10 160:25	109:2	109:2
Depo 29:3	169:20,23	digital 25:5	distinguishing
deposition 3:2 4:11,	determined 39:4,12	60:16 63:14	60:16
20,24 10:1 14:1,21	42:24 43:15 51:10	divergence 142:15	63:14
15:6 31:6 99:19	75:7 83:17 89:8	diversity 143:10	
150:20 188:8,15	93:25 124:15,24	divided 10:24	
depositions 14:3	136:1 138:22 156:9	document 24:13	
depreciated 125:20	165:5 168:16 185:11	26:7,18 28:1,16	
127:2	determining 140:23	30:15 37:21 50:14	
depreciation 78:7,	141:3	54:19,21 56:16 58:11	
18 122:4,15 124:16,	detimental 138:16	69:4 82:10,16,21,24	
19,21 125:11,12,17	141:12	83:4 116:5 128:8	
127:1	detiments 142:17	175:23 176:1,2 181:7	
depth 104:18 162:22	develop 121:11	182:10 184:2	
derelict 21:12	122:15	documentation	
describe 94:16	developed 85:1	15:15	
describing 39:23	107:18 139:5 192:9	documents 25:19	
description 3:13	developers 146:9	28:9 30:6,16,18,24	
38:15,18,22 39:11,	developing 31:2	51:20,23 52:4 53:17,	
16,25 40:12 53:2	42:14 172:8	21 54:6,12 57:25	
	development 47:5	70:2 92:2 112:15	
	62:15 64:2 75:15		
	187:9		
177:5 182:16	58:18 59:1 60:4 89:7	deviation 93:17	27:24 128:11 158:12
deletion 73:25	95:18 97:21 101:23	devices 48:10	181:11
129:14	105:7 106:15 152:25	diameter 163:1	disclosures 176:25
Dellwood 149:2	descriptions 39:6	differ 134:18	182:11
158:2	50:1	differed 125:7	discretion 23:8
demand 75:15	designation 17:5,7	difference 38:5	discussed 133:17
demarcation	20:16	88:21 101:8 109:17	184:22
180:24	designations 17:1	110:1,6 121:17 122:4	discussing 184:15
demonstrative	18:1	153:21,24 158:23	discussion 114:5,7
35:3,7	desirable 137:13	161:6 168:16 170:12	165:3 187:19
denial 20:21	138:7,16	175:8 185:16	dismissed 19:6
denied 20:20	desire 138:8 142:24	differences 154:2	disregard 43:16,18
Denison 43:7	143:6	differential 85:12	143:8
Dennis 177:13	desk 72:19	108:21 161:4 170:10	disregarded 109:22
Department 18:25	detail 80:25	differentiate 88:18	dissemination
55:17 93:18	determination 39:3	132:1	161:18
departments 25:18	74:24 88:7,18 94:12	differently 134:9	distances 73:4
depending 162:24	125:3 135:1	differs 26:1	distant 42:20
depends 23:8 62:23	determine 40:23	difficult 48:3 115:17	distinction 157:23
66:11 145:8	42:20 43:3 79:11	difficulty 144:17	distinguish 29:14
depict 54:14	80:3 85:9 97:9	Diggs 184:15 187:19	56:3,5 105:10
depiction 76:13	124:18 132:15 137:3,	188:3	distinguishes
depictions 72:25	17 138:4,10 160:25	109:2	109:2
Depo 29:3	169:20,23	digital 25:5	distinguishing
deposition 3:2 4:11,	determined 39:4,12	60:16 63:14	60:16
20,24 10:1 14:1,21	42:24 43:15 51:10	divergence 142:15	63:14
15:6 31:6 99:19	75:7 83:17 89:8	diversity 143:10	
150:20 188:8,15	93:25 124:15,24	divided 10:24	
depositions 14:3	136:1 138:22 156:9	document 24:13	
depreciated 125:20	165:5 168:16 185:11	26:7,18 28:1,16	
127:2	determining 140:23	30:15 37:21 50:14	
depreciation 78:7,	141:3	54:19,21 56:16 58:11	
18 122:4,15 124:16,	detimental 138:16	69:4 82:10,16,21,24	
19,21 125:11,12,17	141:12	83:4 116:5 128:8	
127:1	detiments 142:17	175:23 176:1,2 181:7	
depth 104:18 162:22	develop 121:11	182:10 184:2	
derelict 21:12	122:15	documentation	
describe 94:16	developed 85:1	15:15	
describing 39:23	107:18 139:5 192:9	documents 25:19	
description 3:13	developers 146:9	28:9 30:6,16,18,24	
38:15,18,22 39:11,	developing 31:2	51:20,23 52:4 53:17,	
16,25 40:12 53:2	42:14 172:8	21 54:6,12 57:25	
	development 47:5	70:2 92:2 112:15	
	62:15 64:2 75:15		
	187:9		

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

208

114:1 181:21	144:22 149:17 164:3 169:12 172:16 173:14 176:9 182:22 192:22	18:2 191:14 educational 7:12 effect 17:12,13 124:25	end 17:24 26:18 70:25 99:25 133:20 136:7 140:7 171:10 ends 161:23 engaged 11:2 engagement 11:12 engineering 74:6 enhanced 153:15 170:2
doke 106:7 107:11	early 68:21	effective 40:20 41:7, 11 42:4,13,21 43:6,9, 10,11,12,25 44:6,8 46:2 73:4 79:21 80:3, 6 83:9 84:5,9,16 89:25 103:11 122:6 124:25 125:4	enhancement 153:17,20 155:13 156:3,4 160:3
dollar 10:3,14	eased 190:13	effects 140:23 141:4	entire 72:13 109:20 110:18 120:12
domain 10:13,20 109:6 131:25 132:3, 8,11 143:16	easement 64:15 65:6 66:1 79:17,20 81:12,14,22,25 82:3 83:19,23,25 84:4,9, 14 85:7,11,12,13,16, 21,23 94:7 95:19 97:5,6,15 98:15,25	efficient 98:8	entirety 46:11 95:7 146:17
dominant 108:8	99:6,8 102:10,11,16, 17,20,22,24 103:2,11 104:18 105:19 111:7 130:5,19,23 131:13, 22 132:12 133:18,21 134:11 136:10,14 141:20 145:24 151:18 157:22,24,25 158:8,9,14,19,20 159:3,6,8 161:12,14, 18 162:17,20 164:16 169:15 171:19 174:13,17 175:3,5,10 190:11,12	effort 115:1 156:16	entities 131:19 132:6
Dominy 64:19 130:6 134:3,8 142:4,5,13 172:15 185:11	easements 79:6 81:21 83:9,11,14 85:3 96:9,12,24 97:14,18 98:13,22 100:1,4,6,10,13 101:2 103:6,13,16,21 111:4 131:6 132:2,19 133:1,6 144:24 168:22 171:14	egress 96:8,23 97:5, 13,18 98:13,21 99:14,21 100:4,6,13 101:8 102:9	entitled 66:1 130:7 176:23
Dominy's 173:8,16 185:13	electric 130:21,25 167:2	electricity 161:20	entity 136:11 163:5, 15,16
Donald 65:6 130:4	electronic 25:2 48:10	electronically 24:24 49:22 57:8 67:17	entrepreneurial 124:2
double 104:20 106:2	element 47:13 81:17 87:18 187:4	element 47:13 81:17 87:18 187:4	entry 98:5
doubt 113:10	elevate 25:21	elevated 25:14	environmental 65:1 140:24 141:4,6
DPOR 18:25	eminent 10:13,20 109:6 131:25 132:3,8 143:16	eminent 10:13,20 109:6 131:25 132:3,8 143:16	equal 6:25
draw 154:23 155:2	empirical 144:6	employed 6:18,19 8:8 29:19	equally 10:24
drive 148:21 149:5 150:22 151:3,17 152:8,9,15,16,20 153:10	employ 7:4	employees 7:6	equipment 96:10 100:9,23 101:10
driven 45:16	east 115:8 149:10,11 162:11 163:4	employment 8:11	equipped 48:11 143:23 167:7
driveway 78:22 126:14,23	easy 144:16	encounter 142:20	equivalency 120:10
driveways 126:6	eat 147:15	encountered 81:5	equivalent 120:4 156:22
drove 49:3,4	economic 142:25 143:1	encumbered 132:19,25 133:6,9,12 136:16 174:18 175:2	erroneous 185:5
due 57:1,18 107:13, 16 151:14 177:8 178:13 182:18 190:4	edition 18:4 62:11, 16 109:2 127:20 129:13 140:16		errors 177:8 178:13 182:19 186:22,24
duly 4:2 9:3	editorial 65:22		esoteric 69:4
duplicative 130:16	education 17:18		essentially 109:8
duration 79:6,16,20 83:18,23			estate 5:24 6:3,8,10,
duress 143:22			
<hr/>			
E			
e-mail 32:17 181:13, 16,20			
earlier 73:12 79:10 96:2 100:18 101:18, 19 102:5 103:10 121:1,25 142:4			

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

209

13,14 7:9,19 8:13,21, 24 9:4,9,15 10:15 14:7 16:8 17:10 18:23 39:1 56:9 60:6 62:1 88:11 109:1 110:3,5,7,8 117:4 127:19 129:13 138:1 140:16 154:18,21 161:8	112:2 121:3 131:4 132:24 169:15 190:11,17 exercise 72:14 131:24,25	4:12 5:18,21 6:1,5,16 14:6,10,19 15:18,24 27:5 28:10,11,13,16, 21 29:5,7,12,19 30:1, 8,17,20 31:5 32:3 33:6,7 34:8,23 36:15, 18,20 37:18,24 40:17 62:18 111:22 117:15 118:9 119:1 128:11, 15 134:18,20 156:22 176:3 181:12	factors 75:15 78:24 facts 28:9 30:7,16, 18,24 34:15,18 35:9 failed 179:19 fails 192:17 failure 180:7 fair 10:2 92:16,20 93:13,16 114:14 119:10,18 120:7 126:4 174:12 186:19
estimate 121:11 125:25 126:4 147:16	exhibit 24:10,14 26:7,12 28:2,3,15 29:3 30:12,25 31:5, 18 35:3 50:19 51:21, 24 52:5 53:18 54:3,7, 18,20 55:6,14 58:1,5, 6,8 72:25 73:1,13 82:7,12 84:20 96:3, 14 98:1 99:20 101:17 107:25 111:22 112:3 117:7,15,25 118:7 122:20 123:5,20,25 125:11,20 128:5,10 129:1,3,19 133:25 147:11,25 148:2 149:15,18 150:17,20, 21 166:22 171:5,10 173:25 175:20,25 181:4,9 182:10 184:3 187:6,17 189:1 192:4,6,15	expertise 156:13 expire 8:25 explain 50:25 54:25 85:6 108:19 136:13 172:1 174:4,16	Fairfax 166:19 169:21 170:16
estimated 124:1,2, 15	explained 170:8 explicit 109:12 185:18 186:7	fairly 25:14 Falls 8:18 Fallston 151:4 153:11	fairly 25:14 Falls 8:18 Fallston 151:4 153:11
estimating 121:14	express 33:10,23 34:10 100:15	false 80:1 familiar 4:22 19:25 21:17,21 37:2 45:1 102:13 165:22 172:3	false 80:1 familiar 4:22 19:25 21:17,21 37:2 45:1 102:13 165:22 172:3
estimator 78:15 121:8 122:1 123:3,9, 13 124:20 126:2	expressed 120:2 173:7	familiarity 33:4 familiarize 45:1 family 15:1 62:4 fashion 170:20	familiarity 33:4 familiarize 45:1 family 15:1 62:4 fashion 170:20
ethical 20:22	extends 179:3	feasible 76:22 federal 16:5 35:23 63:8 108:18 109:3,4, 6	feasible 76:22 federal 16:5 35:23 63:8 108:18 109:3,4, 6
ethics 19:24 63:4	extension 179:1	fee 129:22 131:16 132:16 134:10 136:9 164:17 174:12,16,18 175:2	fee 129:22 131:16 132:16 134:10 136:9 164:17 174:12,16,18 175:2
evaluation 17:6 86:16 111:15	extent 44:10 68:5 191:6	feed 126:9,13 fence 78:22	feed 126:9,13 fence 78:22
event 42:9	exterior 44:19	fencing 126:2,6,7, 10,23	fencing 126:2,6,7, 10,23
evidence 79:24 81:5 138:14	extra 115:18	Fenton 15:1	Fenton 15:1
evidenced 141:13 154:12	extraneous 31:1	field 6:6,7,9 7:19 8:12 14:7 66:21 156:13	field 6:6,7,9 7:19 8:12 14:7 66:21 156:13
evident 59:3 78:5	extraordinary 38:6, 8 79:5,11,12,15,25 81:2 83:18	file 19:10 20:13 25:6, 16 50:9,13 56:21 57:7 60:25 113:17	file 19:10 20:13 25:6, 16 50:9,13 56:21 57:7 60:25 113:17
exact 10:14 11:25 32:7	<hr/>	face 56:12	face 56:12
Examination 3:8 4:6	expect 5:20,25 6:2, 5,15	facilitated 162:7	facilitated 162:7
examples 47:10	expected 27:12 140:2	facilities 94:19,22 95:4	facilities 94:19,22 95:4
exceed 83:10 103:12	expects 4:13	fact 5:20 18:13 41:6 44:12 54:23 81:2 84:13 105:25	fact 5:20 18:13 41:6 44:12 54:23 81:2 84:13 105:25
excerpts 166:3	experience 20:14, 20 35:3 78:23 124:7 156:13 168:4 191:14	factor 151:20	factor 151:20
exchanged 110:10	expert 3:16,17,18		
excluded 15:19,24 135:17 165:2			
exclusive 100:25			
exclusively 11:5 12:1 100:7 184:9			
excuse 5:12 54:13 67:4 75:13 96:22			

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

210

115:25	follow 106:12,18	156:6 164:17	give 4:15 5:7 10:14 175:23 179:13 191:19,23
filed 18:6 20:9 21:7, 10	Foods 23:25	fundamentally 188:20	giving 42:10 82:10 188:15
files 19:3 71:14 72:2	footnote 39:18 41:17 50:11 52:18 127:24,25 140:18	furnishings 117:5	good 4:7 9:22 44:6 53:10 101:6 171:16
filings 164:19 165:3, 16,18 166:10	foremost 77:25	future 81:21 84:17 136:23 137:2	Google 71:9 73:2 126:11,15,19
final 87:23 159:10	Forgive 81:19 190:17	G	gotcha 15:11 18:20 19:5 25:17,22 156:24 181:19
financial 120:4	form 16:4 35:16 37:12 48:8 50:12 75:21 77:12 100:24 111:11 142:25 143:2, 3 151:25 174:22	gas 130:21,25 141:10 148:9 151:12, 18 153:14 156:19 159:22 160:21 161:14,20 162:13,16 163:19,23 166:18 167:1,9 168:1,14 172:25	Governor 17:13
financially 76:21	formally 78:16 121:8	gather 67:3	grade 95:9 161:19
find 33:5 57:2,4,15 64:16 133:5,11 137:20 138:8 139:3 143:5 145:22 146:8, 25 147:2 161:5,21,23 163:22 164:1 169:11	format 25:2	gathered 67:10	granted 16:12 95:18
finding 115:16 144:17	formed 61:22	gave 18:17 21:16 47:9 188:8	gravel 78:22 126:6, 14
fine 24:15 53:12 83:5 91:21 168:11 174:5 181:15	forming 34:16,19 35:10,14 92:11	general 16:20 17:10 38:2,5,9,12 40:4,9 137:21	Great 8:17 64:25
finger 54:2	forms 10:15 55:2	generally 21:4 40:8 62:8 117:1 161:23 166:1	greater 77:21
finish 5:3,13	formulating 186:12	generation 137:22	greatest 76:22
finished 5:5 64:23	forward 88:6	generic 95:7	Grewell 134:18 135:12,20 145:13 169:9 177:13,15,18, 21 178:13 179:19,24 180:20 183:3,8 184:17 185:21 186:4, 17,23 187:1 188:1,8, 15 189:9,14,22,25 190:6 192:9,17
firm 6:21,24 7:2,4 8:9,15,21,22,23 9:4 10:2 12:9,14 19:4 32:20 35:9,13 114:25 164:20	found 15:19 31:1 39:24 114:12 115:17 132:25 137:21 144:24 146:20,23 147:1 160:17,18 167:20 171:23	geographic 71:7 147:6	Grewell's 134:25 135:4 178:6 179:13 180:7 184:4,20 189:25 191:9
firms 164:20	Foundation 17:8 64:17,19 130:7 172:22	geographically 149:8	grids 54:23
fit 171:17	founded 8:5	GI 113:4	ground 4:23 95:1,12 110:24 190:21
five-year 81:3,7 83:23 84:3,8	Fourteenth 140:16	gift 39:20 50:12 52:15,17 55:11 56:24 57:13 58:14,17,25 59:4 60:7,10,12,15 61:13,16,23 68:12,13	Group 8:24
fixed 110:9,10	fourth 36:12,13 48:19 112:6 129:13 136:17	gifted 52:16	grouping 157:9 162:10 168:20
Flint 16:1	frame 184:12	GIS 48:12 49:19 50:18 51:1 52:8	groupings 169:1 170:11
flood 47:22	frequency 49:3	53:17 54:19,21 55:2, 4 56:10 57:25 68:3 71:7,12,22 72:2,5,22 77:7,12 89:12	groups 139:10 144:2 170:9
flow 16:7	Friends 143:4		guess 9:19 10:17 17:21 23:17 93:21
focus 187:10 189:17	front 184:3		
focused 180:6	frustration 91:5		
folded 9:1	full 91:25 101:11		
folks 146:6	function 74:6 93:22 94:13 155:17,22		

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

211

112:6 125:15 135:17 167:24 169:19 189:2 guidance 124:22	helping 35:5 Hershey 24:1,5 hey 32:22 42:10 high 7:12,14 159:19, 23 160:14,18,23 161:10 167:1,5 169:4 170:19,23 171:2 184:25 higher 160:5 highest 76:16,18 106:9,13,15,20 107:5 Highly 44:13 hill 46:12 hire 12:14 23:8 134:21 hired 11:6,15,19 18:17 histories 147:8 history 63:15 80:23 150:22 151:6,15 191:12 hold 16:20 17:7 18:1 27:21 29:16 54:2 59:18 60:14 72:9 80:12 110:9 147:24 150:24 177:5 182:16 Holdings 15:1 Holt 15:14 26:24 32:16 45:21 homes 153:1 hope 147:21 hour 13:7 147:17,19 hourly 13:8,9 hours 13:12 46:20 147:22 house 112:19 152:21 Houston 163:12 hundred 14:5 Huntersworth 159:15,18 160:13 Hunting 149:4 159:13	HVTLS 161:17 hydrology 72:8 hypothetical 111:8	143:17 impossible 188:20 improper 184:6 improved 77:18 88:7 106:25 107:7,19 108:3 120:13 improvement 85:22 121:12 124:13 125:4 improvement's 122:5 improvements 44:20 78:8 85:9,15, 20 107:17,22 116:22 117:4,22 118:3 120:21 123:4,21,23 125:1,13,16,20 126:5,16,22 127:2,3 inappropriate 165:6 include 14:18 40:3,9 57:21 71:17 82:19 95:8 99:13,20 100:19 102:23 103:6 117:1, 6,22 148:20,23 149:19,22 157:5 158:4 166:10 171:21, 25 179:4,9 187:4 192:2 included 27:24 35:4 50:8 51:20 52:4 61:23 67:14 71:24 75:20 100:12 116:24 149:1,13,23,25 150:7 151:3 152:24 157:8 158:16 165:18 187:22 includes 72:14 83:7 89:2 98:21 100:22 120:1 128:10 148:7 176:2 187:18 188:7 189:1 including 38:15 77:7 98:6 105:24 114:5 118:8 130:12 163:11 184:10 incorporates 109:9 incorrect 97:21 129:5 increased 143:2
--	--	---	--

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

212

increasing 75:25	initial 30:14	interview 78:3 190:1	192:16
independent 140:11 141:15 142:8 173:5,10,16 185:12	input 69:17 131:8	introduce 4:8	items 98:19 104:3
indicating 25:5 101:18 153:25	inquiring 131:19 132:10	introduced 114:11	<hr/> J <hr/>
indication 83:2 92:5,20 95:6,17 124:23 125:10	inside 116:22	inverse 80:16	Jackson 65:2 134:7, 8
indications 87:23	inspecting 45:18	investigate 43:21 74:8 180:20 190:4	James 15:14 26:24 32:16 45:21
indices 145:10	inspection 44:18, 19,23 45:4 46:5,8,17, 19 48:17,20 86:14 116:19	investigated 151:15 180:18 190:6	January 13:18 182:7 188:7,25 192:3
indirect 124:2	instance 44:2 50:10 63:13 70:14 72:24 117:10 164:24 184:15 185:2	investigation 140:1,11 141:5,15 142:8 173:5,10,16 180:13,16,19	job 142:25 174:19
individual 170:18, 23 189:7,11	instances 132:10 159:1	investment 78:9	Joel 148:24 157:13, 14,15 158:16 159:6
individuals 192:24	Institute 19:8,18 20:3,11 21:8 63:6 191:10	investments 78:9	John 11:13,14 12:16
industry 56:9 65:12, 20	instructor 17:8	involved 11:19 18:12 23:6,15 44:19	Joseph 86:1,8
inference 154:16	instrument 50:11	involvement 22:10	Journal 65:3,23 66:6
influence 109:22 138:3	instruments 68:10, 14	involves 9:21	judge 16:6 34:4 103:22,24 150:1 165:1
inform 131:15 161:13 167:25	Insurability 64:18 130:8	involving 22:10 89:19 94:17 145:20 147:7 162:12	judgment 81:13 117:13 124:7
information 26:14 27:11 49:12 50:6,18 67:9,13,18,25 68:9 69:14,22 70:11,23 71:7 72:11,22 76:9 77:7 78:4 86:15 95:25 112:11 113:20 116:5 124:6 126:17, 20 127:18 150:4,20 165:9 172:7 180:18, 21 185:22 186:15 188:1 190:3	intend 4:15 33:24,25 34:12 35:2 181:13	ipad 48:11	judgmental 145:14
informative 171:13, 21	intended 25:16 41:18,23 47:6 51:25 58:7 88:20	isolate 167:4,9 168:7	July 44:24 116:19
INGAA 64:17,18 65:11 130:6 142:5 172:22	intends 80:6 103:20	issuance 19:8	junction 190:20
ingress 96:8,23 97:4,13,17 98:12,21 99:14,20 100:4,6,13 101:8 102:9	intensive 168:22	issue 39:5 40:10 52:2 55:12 74:7 146:5 162:3	June 31:16 61:22 189:11
inherent 161:7	intent 191:15	issued 139:25 169:2 177:23	Junior 7:15
inheritance 62:2	interaction 46:25	issues 55:11 143:7 144:19	jurisdiction 18:8,20 19:14
	interconnectivity 163:13	italicized 119:8	jurisdictions 12:2,3 21:4 70:20
	interest 132:17 174:13,17	italics 24:25	jury 16:10 35:5 80:5 81:14 174:21,22
	interior 44:20 117:1	item 38:14 40:5 44:17 49:10 62:7 63:19 64:8 67:2,16, 22 68:7 69:11 70:8 72:4,21 73:5 74:14 75:12 76:14,25 78:6	justification 186:25
	interpretation 81:23 98:9	79:4 84:25 85:25 87:21 96:5,20,21,22 97:20 103:10 105:5, 17 125:19 130:4,18	justifying 143:20
	interpreted 74:22		<hr/> K <hr/>
			kind 4:23 24:19 67:8 105:24 138:23 146:7 147:3 152:12 161:24, 25 162:1 192:25

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

213

Kings 148:21 150:22 151:3,16 152:8,9,15, 19 153:10	79:7 85:4 86:5 87:24 88:2,19 89:2,9,24 92:8,16 94:6 95:20 104:5,16 106:12,20, 24 107:6,17,22 109:20 110:13,19 111:5,15,24 120:3,12 121:3 122:24 127:4 132:17 136:9,14,20, 22 137:1,19 138:5,25 174:14	12 licenses 16:17,19 17:22 licensing 17:12 18:7 20:10 life 124:25 lifestyle 117:4 light 82:22 190:15 limit 101:1 limitation 146:25 limited 99:3 101:2 130:12 limiting 38:2,6,10,13 40:4,9 177:4 182:14 limits 167:12 linear 126:9,13 lines 54:17,22 146:9 167:10,18 168:3 169:20 170:2,14,19 184:21 185:1 links 192:12 list 44:8 listed 35:22 36:15 43:24 68:7 69:11 70:9 123:4 listen 5:2 listing 44:1 69:12, 18,19,24 70:3,13 150:22 151:6 listings 69:16 lists 14:17 67:5 literature 66:18 108:18,25 120:19 130:11,14 132:20,24 133:2,16 185:22 litigation 9:21 10:4, 6,10,12,15,19 23:15, 18 80:3 109:1 127:19 live 27:20 lived 46:16 47:8 LLC 4:12 5:17 8:23, 24 15:2	loan 7:25 local 139:8 located 21:19 146:11 149:9 location 8:16 55:8 58:10 locations 8:4 137:12 138:9 lock 25:16 locked 25:6 lodged 19:21 logical 42:20 long 7:1,8 17:9 21:2 46:18 76:23 135:15 164:10 165:11 looked 10:24 57:13 73:12 82:21 88:10 124:21 126:3 133:7 138:18 146:16,19 147:7 149:17 161:12, 16 164:4,6,7,25 166:9,12 185:21 187:5 Lord 15:25 loss 80:22 lot 47:15 57:2 58:21 72:18 82:20 113:13 115:3 117:5,22 150:19 155:16,22 156:1,2 lots 25:18 30:10 lower 131:19
L			
lack 150:3	largest 23:11	linear 126:9,13	M
land 43:20 44:19 49:18 50:4 52:10 55:17 57:1,9 58:14 63:8 68:11,15 77:1,4, 23 85:9 88:4 92:23 108:2 111:17,23 112:5,12 116:14 117:8,16,18 118:2,7, 13,24 119:14 120:18 121:2,4 124:9 127:3 189:23 190:2	layer 72:7,15	MA 148:10	
land-to-building 77:21	laying 94:17	machinery 96:10 100:8,23 101:9	
landowner 74:6 111:12 114:14	layman's 55:1	made 19:17 38:9 41:14,19 44:18 45:3, 14 46:5,19 47:11 74:24 83:17 91:14 116:18 143:7 157:4 189:9,22	
landowners 10:22 142:24	learn 72:18 189:21	magic 145:13	
Lane 112:19 179:15, 21 180:6,14 184:7, 13,14 189:3,18 190:2,8,15	learned 49:23 72:20		
language 27:19 28:5 30:21,23 41:16,23 44:11 59:2,18 61:12, 19 81:23 83:8 97:1, 19 99:14 100:5 102:7 159:1 186:3,7,10 187:7	leasing 9:13		
large 145:23	legal 25:19 27:19 38:15,16,18,22 39:6, 10,16,25 40:12 49:13 53:1 58:15		
largely 160:19	legally 76:21		
larger 39:4,13 44:18 49:13 51:11 67:4 71:8,13,24 72:11,23 73:7 74:16,21,23,25 75:1,8 76:15 78:8	length 138:19 145:19		
licensed 9:3 17:10, 15 18:8 55:17 86:8,	lesser 107:24		

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

214

MAI 3:2,7 17:5 19:8	market's 161:1	137:22 174:18	189:3,18 190:1,8,15
main 118:8 163:11	marketability 76:1	meaningful 92:15, 19,22 93:5,7	mind 16:14 110:6 121:17 185:17
maintain 17:17 98:8, 15	marketing 69:18	measurable 154:17 168:25 169:6,24 170:11	minds 44:5,7 132:4
maintenance 98:25	markets 137:12 139:1,6 154:18 161:8 169:14	measure 59:15	mine 179:6 187:2
majority 10:4 107:24 120:18	markings 48:2	measured 156:8	MINSON 16:3 24:2 35:16 46:1 48:8 75:9 81:9 103:23 139:15, 23 140:4 151:19 152:4 170:6 183:11, 14 192:4
make 4:14,24 5:14 44:23 45:11,14 48:3 74:21 79:12 85:14 89:18 91:9,24 116:3 119:3 136:18 160:12 173:15 179:19	Marshall 78:16,17 121:7,8 122:14 123:8,9	median 154:16	minus 161:7
makes 137:25	Maryland 7:15,16,17 8:20 16:2,5,21 19:15 21:2 148:9 149:8 151:4 153:6 155:12 159:11 170:17	meet 15:8 20:16 29:8	minute 91:17 154:21 191:20
making 138:15 153:22 155:17,23	Mason 8:1	meet all 29:20	misleading 190:24
Mall 16:1	match 55:5	meeting 15:10 44:5, 7 132:4	missing 173:23 174:2 183:15
management 9:13	matched 160:10	mega 9:23	misspoke 190:9
mandated 191:15	materials 96:11 100:9,23 101:10 121:20 123:15	member 19:24 20:15 21:14,16	misunderstanding 29:25 104:14
manipulation 84:13	matrix 133:18,20,24 141:21	membership 20:16 21:16	misunderstood 81:19
manner 145:9	Matt 192:10	mentioned 10:7 30:15 46:4 50:18 65:5 68:13 164:3 182:22	MLS 69:20,25 70:18 77:16,25 113:1 115:16
map 59:22	matter 4:10,13 5:18 6:3 12:14,21,22 13:13 14:22 15:25 16:6 18:12 19:7 20:4 21:11 22:2,4 33:11, 24 40:7 75:16 81:15 89:16 156:23	met 4:7 185:3	model 41:16,22
mapped 72:13	matters 38:15	metes 58:18,24,25 59:14	modern 121:21
mapping 72:14 73:3	maximally 76:20 106:23	method 85:2 108:14, 16,24 110:18 144:12	monthly 13:18
maps 50:22 72:7	MB 148:10	methodology 66:9 83:17 135:24 185:5	morning 4:7
Marble 113:24	McGuire 25:9	Methods 140:22	mother 62:3
March 39:20 60:15, 20 61:13,19	McGuirewoods 11:14 13:23 32:19 35:9,13 36:7 45:22 176:1	Metro 20:14	motion 16:12
marked 3:13 24:8, 10,13 47:25 82:5,8, 11 128:4,6,9 175:19, 21,24 181:3,5,8	McKey 23:25	Michael's 8:19	Mountain 135:5,16 164:5,8 165:11,24
market 41:7,10,13 42:3,22 43:17 44:13 66:14 67:4 75:14,25 76:2 92:16,20 93:13, 16 110:13,14 119:10, 18 120:7 122:6 132:18 137:11,19,20, 22,24,25 138:2,4,6,9, 11,25 140:12 141:7, 16 142:9 143:7,11,21 144:18,23 154:16,18, 21 156:7 173:5,17 174:12,23	meaning 29:19	middle 78:14 89:6 121:24 136:3	move 175:12
		midway 28:16 173:3	moving 140:5
		million 92:25 93:3,4	multi-family 47:5
		Mills 159:14,16	multiple 21:4 23:21 32:18 45:16 58:6 60:24 61:25 69:12, 17,19 72:6 88:1
		Milum 179:15,21 180:6,14 184:7,13,14	MVP 150:2

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

215

N	noted 135:20 notes 116:3 117:11 189:2 notice 42:10 49:6 noting 75:14 November 31:19 33:8 41:2 45:11 176:2,20 179:12 nuances 63:16 number 11:25 50:11,22 55:8 65:14, 23 66:6 67:5 69:25 70:1,19 109:11,16 129:7,9 131:9 145:6, 13 numbered 95:22 97:9 104:3 173:4 numbers 35:22 51:7 126:25 numeric 52:1	obtained 39:6,24 50:5 68:6,15 69:23 71:8 72:10 105:8 121:2 192:10 occasion 92:16 occupation 5:23 55:18 occupy 159:4 occurred 33:4 41:7, 10 42:3,9 43:13 44:5, 7 47:12 60:18 80:13 occurrence 33:4 108:21,22 occurring 103:25 occurs 81:22 104:1 October 32:12 45:10 73:9 177:16 178:6,12 offering 70:1 office 8:3,18 Oftentimes 78:3 Okie 106:7 107:11 older 114:15 omission 177:8 178:13 182:19 one's 62:16 open 137:14 169:16 opened 8:2 operational 110:24 140:7 operators 146:9 opine 174:20 opined 131:20 opinion 15:18,24 41:18 45:24 66:8 92:19 97:11 116:8 124:8 131:15 135:23 137:25 140:10 143:3 171:13 174:12 175:3 177:5 178:10,11 179:3,4,9,19 180:8 182:15 186:10 187:2 opinions 4:15 5:1,2 27:21 28:8 30:5	32:24 33:9,22 34:9, 16,19 35:1,10,14 65:21 92:11 120:2 142:16,20 143:5,9 144:3 176:23 177:2, 7,21 178:11 179:13 182:12,17 186:18 opponents 143:11 144:2 opposed 61:15 118:7 161:24 opposing 12:19 opposite 143:5 opposition 139:8 oral 131:8 order 132:15 141:15 142:2 150:2 165:1 ordinarily 57:21 organizations 64:11 orient 41:23 55:7 58:7 59:4 72:17 118:1 orientation 52:1 original 40:24 57:17 outhouse 47:15 outlined 50:23 output 145:12 overhead 160:23 overly 186:14 overrule 34:5 owed 111:11 Owings 159:14,16 owned 8:20 163:5, 15 owner 6:24 23:3 104:5,9,16 105:5,6,9, 11,18,22 owners 6:25 10:22 14:25 31:12 137:22 138:19 143:16 ownership 136:10
N	O		

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

216

P PACER 150:14 164:7,19 166:9 pack 67:1 paddock 126:7 pages 61:12 64:3,5 95:14 101:15 102:21 103:3 116:12 paid 12:20 13:20,23 151:18 pair 138:18 153:9 157:11 158:1 159:10 160:2 171:6 paired 138:24 144:11,15 145:3,5 146:24 148:4 161:10 162:7 163:22 165:23 170:11 173:11 179:13 180:8 192:9 pairings 186:20 pairs 145:6 148:8,12, 20 149:14,20 151:3 152:24 153:5 155:11 161:13,17 162:10 166:17,22 par 69:9 paragraph 24:25 27:10 28:7 38:25 42:12 59:7,8 60:5 69:10 70:8 71:1 75:11 77:5,9 82:25 83:7 94:5,16 96:16, 17,19,20 97:2,4,5,25 98:3 99:4,5,9,25 100:5,16 101:1,24 105:3 107:3,13 121:25 122:3,11 127:17 130:10 131:18 132:15 133:9 136:8,17 137:5 139:7 140:8 142:14 144:4 145:16 173:4 174:11 177:1 182:12 paragraphs 26:19 27:2 60:14 95:22 96:1 97:10 99:13,18 101:15 116:16	parallel 94:2 173:7 185:16 parallels 141:5 185:15,18,23,25 186:2,16 paralyzes 186:15 paraphrasing 97:7, 8 parcel 39:4,13 44:18 51:11 58:2,12 67:4 71:8,13,20,24 72:12, 23 73:7 74:9,16,21, 23,25 75:1,8 79:7 85:4 86:5 87:24 88:3, 19 89:2,9,24 92:8 94:6 95:20 104:5,16 106:12,21,24 107:6 109:20 110:13,19 111:15,24 120:12 122:24 127:4 132:17 136:9,14 137:1,19 138:5,25 152:20 174:14 parcel's 76:15 78:8 92:16 107:17,22 111:5 120:3 121:4 136:20,22 parcels 40:1 50:22 51:9,13,14,17 52:2, 22 71:17,23 74:20,21 75:7 89:8 92:7 parcels' 49:13 parent 163:17 parentheses 90:1 118:17 part 11:12 15:22 36:3 39:7 51:10 53:18 54:19 55:14 70:3 71:24,25 75:7 79:5 89:8 92:7 102:5 110:17 111:12 115:15 116:14 127:15 136:6,23 146:24 151:14,22 171:15 176:25 181:13,17,20 partial 94:5 132:17 136:18 participants 143:22	parties 20:1 48:19 131:9 190:1 party 56:8 113:8 passage 41:12 42:2 past 9:20 10:12 12:19 33:2 73:18 89:24 152:17 paucity 132:25 144:24 145:19 146:21 pay 119:19 peer 64:9 65:9,24 66:6 132:21 133:2 164:14,15 peer-reviewed 65:15,17,18 66:4 130:2 132:20,24 133:1,15 peers 65:19 66:17 134:12,13 135:7,18, 22 136:6 pending 5:13 Pennsylvania 16:22 people 42:10 56:9 76:5,6 143:5 people's 117:4 perceived 137:14 141:7 142:16,21 156:5,7 percent 93:1,16,19 134:19 154:4 156:3,4 160:3 169:3,4,8,10 170:9 186:12 percentage 10:15 129:22 131:16 132:16 134:10 135:19 186:12 percentages 131:20 performed 85:10 performing 8:4 period 81:4,7 83:10 84:3,8 103:12 periodical 66:2 permanent 85:3,7,
---	---	---

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

217

PINS 39:2 51:2 88:17,19 89:3	portion 59:22 140:22	128:17,20 156:25 165:10 166:13 172:15 176:3,10,13 177:13 179:8 180:12 182:6 183:4,8 187:14 189:2,14	proceedings 4:4 53:14 175:17
pipe 48:14 95:1,2 96:10 100:8,22 101:9 157:21,24 158:9 163:1	portray 154:5	presence 160:14 179:20	process 17:23 81:1
pipeline 4:12 5:17 10:8 12:10,13 14:22 22:11 36:1,11 48:4 49:2 64:17 71:15,17 94:8,18,21 95:7,11 98:16 110:24 130:7, 20,24 132:7 135:5,7, 17 141:7 143:24 145:3,25 148:15 152:16 154:3,24 155:14 157:1,14,24 158:6,8 159:6 160:2, 7,21 161:14 162:14, 17,22 163:3,5,11,15 164:5,8 165:12,24 167:5,10,17,22 168:7,10,17 169:15, 23 171:19 185:24 190:7,10,19	portrayal 143:21	present 145:19 169:21	product 131:24
pipelines 131:10 138:20,23 141:10 148:16 153:14,15 162:14 163:8,24 170:3	portrayed 188:2	presented 74:13 141:21 142:10	productive 76:20
place 105:18,22 117:24	posed 56:19	president 19:4	profession 7:23 17:2
plain 47:22	position 141:2	press 139:10	professional 16:19 19:1 27:14,18,21 37:3 55:18 62:10 63:4 64:10 87:5 177:6 182:16
planning 62:1	positive 153:18,21, 24 169:16,22	pretty 68:19	professionally 7:9 18:8
plat 32:9,11,14 54:18,22 56:4 57:15, 16 70:4 157:19,20,21	possesses 139:13	previous 5:5 40:5 48:22 73:21	proffer 146:16
plats 32:4,23 33:1 35:11 36:23 45:23 50:4 54:10 55:4,7,11, 13,14,18,22 56:1,10, 12 58:1,8 59:10,11 70:7 74:24 158:15 177:20	possession 83:11, 14 103:13,16,20,24	previously 12:17 50:11 52:14 58:13 86:14 130:1 133:17 170:25 184:22 191:11	profit 124:3
point 7:23 8:20 42:20 46:6 55:10 57:10 79:24 81:15	possibility 25:20	price 44:8,9 92:23 120:3	prohibit 105:9
poles 59:16	possibly 101:12	Prices 140:24	project 9:23 10:7,8 11:2,13,15,20,23 22:1,13 32:19 80:10 94:9,17 98:7,9 100:14 109:22 111:1, 9 133:10,13 139:9,14 140:3 142:24 145:23 146:5,7 156:17 157:1,5 164:5 165:12 171:16,24
population 68:3 75:24	post-valuation 43:14,23	primarily 58:8 73:3 77:16 115:2 124:7 133:17 142:25	projects 136:1
	power 131:25 132:8 160:4 167:5,10,18, 21,23 168:1,2,3,10, 18,23 169:15,20 170:2,14	primary 66:15 78:21 102:17 130:17 134:9 142:11 186:21	prompted 74:3
	practice 7:19 9:9,19 10:19 37:4 62:11 63:5	principle 141:9	properly 98:10
	practices 62:9	printed 67:17	properties 14:24
	practitioner 5:24	prior 21:24 22:9 31:25 36:14,20 37:20 38:24 43:24 52:23,25 53:3 56:25 57:14	22:5,9 23:12 36:2,10 38:22 40:6 42:4 49:1 52:5 61:24 72:3 93:3
	pre- 43:13	preparation 42:5 87:16	133:9,12 138:23 139:3 143:21 144:24 145:17,20 146:4,12, 20 148:14 149:9 151:10 153:1,2,7 154:2 155:18 156:19 158:12 162:8,12,13 167:21 171:14 178:7
	predominant 69:20, 21	Preparatory 7:14	property 9:13 10:22 14:25 16:21 18:14 21:18,22,23 22:17,25 31:12 32:11 35:21 36:10 45:2,6,12,13, 15,16,24 46:11,18
	premium 154:4	prepare 12:11 15:5 31:17 32:3,21 45:9 106:19	
	preparation 42:5 87:16	prepared 11:22 12:9 19:12 22:9,12 31:18 40:6 45:6 66:9 86:17	
		problem 39:4 131:3, 5	
		procedures 62:9	

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

218

47:18 48:1,2,5,22,23, 24 49:3,5,6,9 50:1 51:12,17 52:3,15 53:21,25 54:14,18 55:6,8 57:11 58:10, 15 59:23,24 63:15 64:18 70:1,3,5,6 72:16,18,24 76:1,13, 23 77:20 80:10,14 83:14 85:9 88:5,12, 17,23 89:7 92:24 93:13,22 95:13 97:6 98:6 102:25 103:7 107:18 108:20 109:20,25 110:3,5,7, 9 113:2 116:19 120:18,20,23,24 126:8 128:12 129:23 130:7,8 131:17 132:18,25 133:5 136:16 138:19,20 142:18,22 143:16,17, 23 144:6 146:17 147:3 150:23 151:7, 24 153:14 154:3,12 157:15,21 158:7,8,16 159:5,13,21,22 160:2,3,7,23 161:11 162:4 167:6,12 168:22 170:3 172:4 173:7 177:14 179:14, 21 183:4 189:3 190:8,13 191:3	proximity 137:6,10, 17 138:6,11 public 49:12,16,24 50:7,15 70:14 131:3 publication 65:18 130:5 140:6 142:5 publications 65:15 publicly 164:19 published 64:10 65:2,19 124:10 130:6 134:4 139:18 140:9 141:17 142:13 172:22 184:21 185:7, 22 pull 86:10 pulled 39:25 61:19 72:10 purchased 190:8 191:3 purports 176:3 purpose 44:25 45:18 49:8 52:11 55:6 56:10 90:4 99:3 120:24 146:10 189:19 purposes 24:13 31:2 35:4,23 58:7 63:18 80:4 82:11,18 98:14,22,25 99:7 100:14,15,18 113:6 128:9 134:10 154:9 175:24 181:8	quantify 126:16 quantifying 144:19 quantitative 59:15 76:12 quarter 108:4 question 5:3,4,5,10, 12,14 9:7,22 15:21 16:4 23:17 30:9 33:14 34:2 38:25 45:17,20 48:9,22 53:8 55:16 56:19 61:17 67:12 75:2,3,4, 9 77:13 81:1 97:11 100:3 105:2 110:4 144:6 147:13 151:1, 13 163:14 174:7 184:12 questioning 24:3 141:20 questions 5:1 24:16 34:1,6 47:2,10,12 76:6 191:19,24 quick 53:11 175:14 quickly 67:8 148:2 quote 128:25 131:19 140:15,21 142:23 quoted 59:18 127:22 quoting 97:8	rates 78:9 ratio 77:21 92:24,25 93:9,21 ratios 93:4 Ray 192:10,19 reach 55:19 reaching 28:8 30:5 186:17 reaction 143:24 154:17 156:7 161:1 reactions 144:1 read 24:25 26:8 27:8 50:11 59:2 83:4 106:22 133:8 159:2 188:11 reader 55:7 118:1 reading 119:17 reads 97:4 real 5:24 6:3,8,10,13, 14 7:9,19 8:12,13,21, 24 9:3,4,9,14 10:15 14:7 16:8 17:10 18:23 39:1 47:9 48:12 56:9 60:5 88:11 109:1 110:3,4, 5,7,8,9 113:1 117:3 127:19 129:13 137:25 140:16 151:9 154:18,21 161:8 realtor 70:1 114:6,8, 22 realtors 69:12,17 113:11 reason 5:11 61:11, 18 86:23 108:23 116:24 117:21 118:6 123:19 136:20 146:2 149:22,25 154:14 160:6,17 161:16 167:8 170:13 187:3 reasonable 27:14, 17 80:5 81:16 177:5 182:16 reasons 33:10,23 120:17
	push 147:17 put 92:22 108:2 117:24 141:24 145:2 putting 186:20	R raised 114:18 Ralston 4:9 11:10, 11 12:22,25 13:13 22:1,3 31:14,15 46:7, 10,21 48:16,19 52:16 60:17,21 80:10 83:14 95:5,13 102:25 103:7 128:12 154:11 171:14 175:6 177:14 178:7 Ralston's 62:3 Ralstons 80:16 Raphine 115:8 rarity 169:10	realtors 69:12,17 113:11 reason 5:11 61:11, 18 86:23 108:23 116:24 117:21 118:6 123:19 136:20 146:2 149:22,25 154:14 160:6,17 161:16 167:8 170:13 187:3 reasonable 27:14, 17 80:5 81:16 177:5 182:16 reasons 33:10,23 120:17

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

219

rebuttal 3:17 33:20, 21 34:3,8,14,21,24 176:3 181:22 182:5 188:24	recordations 60:19	130:14 131:14,23 136:2 151:7	relevant 24:3 42:22 64:11 81:11 132:5 144:17 170:25
recall 14:13,24 15:25 18:11 26:1 32:16 57:4 61:24 62:4 69:8 78:24 102:4 113:11, 19 114:9,21 115:16, 18 116:1 126:12 162:18,23 163:2 172:7 180:25 186:9 188:9,18	recorded 39:20 48:25 49:18 60:15 68:10 171:19	referred 19:7 62:8 64:9 129:25	reliance 66:11 86:24 134:9 184:20 186:7
receive 70:11,22 71:22 75:5	recording 57:17	referring 22:1 29:2 49:17 62:13 67:20	relied 35:14 49:12, 24 50:7,16 51:23 66:19,23 86:1 132:23 133:1 134:9 148:4 150:4 165:3,5,25 185:10 186:11,21
received 19:4 20:3 25:8 32:18 68:9 75:10 90:21 151:25 176:1	records 49:12,16, 18,20,21,24,25 50:4, 7,15 52:10 57:2,9 68:11,15,19 69:17,24 70:14	refers 29:4 51:12	relies 186:17,23
receives 137:1	reductive 106:23,24 186:14	reflect 42:22	rely 30:10 52:2,9 55:9,10 66:9,15 70:22 77:19 92:10,20 133:16 134:6,25 142:1,2 179:1 184:22
recent 114:11 140:6 149:24 150:1 164:25 183:6 185:9 187:16 188:5,24 189:9 192:2	refer 64:3	reflects 120:3	relying 52:21 57:24 58:5,8,11 83:3,8 99:2 135:13 140:9
recently 180:24 182:6	reference 28:20 30:13 32:9 52:2,23 56:25 58:6 59:10 60:6,14 61:12 63:21 64:16,22 68:11,21	regard 11:20,23 12:13 14:22 19:11 21:1 30:6 31:9 41:17 45:4 50:1 57:20 58:1, 12 69:6,23 71:13,23	remaining 147:19
recertification 37:11	75:22 82:12,23 86:24 87:12 88:12,23 90:4 91:17 97:22 99:20 103:4 122:8 126:19 127:24 129:3,20 136:13,18 140:18 141:23,25 158:18 164:21 172:14,18	72:11 76:13 78:20 99:2 112:11 128:20 148:5 151:16 155:11 160:10,13 163:23 164:15 165:11 168:13 176:4,11 177:13 179:13,24 180:13 183:4 185:9, 24 187:13	remains 46:3
recess 53:13 175:16	referenced 28:17 30:11,25 31:24 33:1 38:20 39:1 50:14 51:2,14 52:10,14 53:4,5,6 57:14 58:13	region 19:25 147:6	remember 21:3 32:7 164:5,11
recognize 88:16 143:13	61:18 63:25 67:14 68:14 69:24 70:22 71:1,3 75:11 77:5 78:13 88:20 98:5	regional 19:24	remote 50:3 68:8
recognized 108:17 120:20 140:10 142:12 143:10	99:18 100:2 121:25 126:1 127:18 132:7	regression 145:10	renewal 17:23
recollection 61:21 101:23 115:14	141:18,19 157:7 166:14 170:21 188:17	reinstated 17:21	renewed 17:24 18:4
recommended 41:18	references 50:2 52:15 58:21 71:1 74:14 78:15 90:17 94:5 103:10 123:7	relate 75:5 80:5	renovation 47:12
reconcile 87:22	130:11,12 139:7 188:7	related 94:19,22 95:3 100:14 101:14 148:9 168:9	repeat 99:16 103:1 150:25
reconciliation 88:6	referencing 57:12 59:11 60:23 77:24 94:23 113:17 121:25	relates 37:6 81:2	repeats 107:3
reconfigured 60:1		relating 13:13 99:14 128:11 166:22 179:14	replacement 121:11,15,16,21 122:10,13,15,16 123:3 124:1,12 127:1
record 4:8,17 9:11 16:3 58:14 64:20 82:19 101:3 113:15 119:18 131:3 149:7 183:24		relations 62:5	replica 121:19
		relative 50:6 146:6 155:13	report 3:14,16,17,18 20:24 24:20 26:6 27:5,13 28:10,11,14, 17,21,22 29:5,7,12, 15,17,19,21 30:1,8, 17,20 31:5,9,13,17 32:3 33:6,7,13,18,21 34:3,8,13,14,20,21, 23,24 35:20 36:16, 20,21 37:12,18,19,24

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

220

38:13,20 40:17 41:1, 19 42:1 44:16 45:5, 10 50:8,14,16,20 51:21 53:4,6,18 54:4 57:22 62:7,18,19,22, 23,25 63:2,7,11 64:2, 6,17,19,21 65:11,12 67:2,14 69:7,23 71:2, 4 72:12 73:15 74:16 75:13,20 84:23 86:18,20 87:16 88:15,20 89:5,15 90:18,21 96:6,21,22 97:20 102:21 104:25 106:9 107:10 111:17, 21,22 112:6,18 113:21 116:14,25 117:7,14,15,16 118:7,9,12,13,23,25 119:1,14 121:24 122:21 124:24 126:18 127:10 128:11,15,19,20 129:20 141:18 145:16 147:12 149:13,24 153:3 156:22 157:5 165:4 166:17 167:4 169:13 170:21 171:6,22 172:12 173:20 174:8 176:3,17 177:5,13, 15,22 178:7,13,17 179:19,24 181:12,21, 22 182:5,15 183:7,15 184:5 186:4 187:8 188:15,22,25 189:15, 24 192:17	represent 4:9 21:20 22:6,21 23:11 25:8 56:24 128:10 175:25 representation 22:18 representations 189:8,23 representative 65:21 represented 23:10 representing 12:19 23:13,19 represents 44:13 reproduction 121:15,19 122:5,8 republished 65:14 66:5 request 74:6 requested 178:25 require 18:2 87:14 required 20:12,23 25:15 174:9 192:22 requirement 17:20 86:25 87:4 117:13 requirements 29:16 55:20 163:13 192:23 requires 144:7 resale 151:24 rescinded 139:25 research 61:7 142:15,21 150:10 researched 145:17 167:24 residential 78:15 86:12 121:7 122:1 123:2,8,13,21 124:20 153:7 156:16 residue 110:19 111:6 136:20,22,25 resigned 21:15 resources 39:7 respect 131:18	respects 59:14 77:11 response 48:21 65:7 183:2 responsibilities 21:12 responsibility 38:14 rest 12:24 restate 84:7 85:18 restoration 98:6,14, 23 99:3,7 100:18,19, 21,24,25 101:3 result 37:16 136:5 160:1 191:7 resulted 80:18 resulting 136:19 145:10 results 36:25 37:15 142:12,13 169:2 170:16,17 177:9 178:14 182:20 retained 104:5,16 105:5,8 retaining 175:7 retains 105:18 retrospective 41:5, 22 42:14 revealed 142:15 revenue 10:4 89:12 143:2 review 6:6,14 8:14 15:15 23:2,5 24:15 30:6,25 33:19 57:20 65:22 75:4 76:9 121:21 176:9,10,14 177:2,8,12 178:6,18, 19,25 179:1,4,7,11, 25 180:11,13 182:6, 13,18,24 183:2,7 184:11 187:5,17,23 188:6,25 191:8 192:2	132:19 reviewer 65:24 reviewing 179:2 183:7 reviews 66:6 179:8 191:9 revised 32:4,14 revisit 157:3 revolve 184:5 rid 91:2 right-of-way 73:6, 18,22 74:4,11,15,19 75:5,10 rights 35:25 36:4,6 82:3 94:12 95:14,18 97:6,9,17 98:10 102:20,22 103:2,5 104:4,15 105:4,7,8, 12,16 109:24 129:23 131:17 164:17 175:5, 6 rings 131:4 rise 87:8,20 rises 20:21 93:18 169:6 road 8:17 102:5 113:24 152:9 172:4 184:16 187:19 robust 18:2 46:17 187:2 Rockbridge 70:15 114:10 115:5,15 rods 59:16 roles 20:12 rounded 126:4 127:7 route 47:25 48:4 49:1,2 71:17 72:3,14 74:1 145:17 147:2 routine 33:3 rule 20:22 29:8,15 35:23 87:2,3 108:18 109:3,4,5,8 136:4 174:19 176:25
---	--	--	---

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

221

182:10	scarce 154:22	77:8 88:11 91:16	show 52:5,7 128:8
ruled 16:6	scenario 146:1	100:1 104:21 120:1	155:12,13 161:5
rules 4:23 27:5 33:5	scenarios 107:1	137:6 144:16	170:11 181:7
62:9 117:10	school 7:12,14	sentences 120:6	showed 153:18
running 191:22	scope 44:16 49:11	separate 8:21 22:12	shown 54:18,19
runs 163:6	62:7 67:2 87:2 113:5	118:6	shows 54:21,22
rural 120:17,20	114:2 136:4 177:4	separated 28:1	112:6
<hr/>			
S			
sac 152:13	screened 18:18	separation 28:15	side 115:8 163:20
safe 98:8	19:10	September 128:12	sidelines 7:24
sale 70:2 112:18	screening 19:23	septic 126:24	sides 47:21 143:14
113:16,23,25 114:11,	20:2	series 4:25 116:12	sign 12:11 86:20
15,19 115:4,17 116:3	seal 173:23 174:1	serve 146:10	signature 26:24
120:3 146:24,25	searched 132:18	served 47:14 65:22	118:13 182:2
148:17,21,23 149:1,4	144:23	service 69:12,19,23	signed 24:23 90:18
150:21 151:3,7	seasoned 147:8	78:16 121:9 123:10	192:17
152:16,19 155:25	secondary 102:10,	services 67:17	significance 91:4
159:14,15 160:7,9,13	17	serving 14:18	significant 87:17
161:10 162:7 167:7,	secretarial 87:19	set 32:22 36:6 38:19	192:18
21,22 172:3,8 179:14	section 38:1 44:16	62:9 89:8 97:19	significantly 177:9
180:6,14 184:7,13,14	88:9 89:7 104:4	100:15 102:20 153:2	178:14 182:19
187:19 189:18 190:2	105:3 106:9,14 114:2	177:4 182:15	signs 103:22
sales 9:12 69:17	118:15 127:14	settle 44:9	similar 27:6 66:17
77:1,4,15,18,22,24	141:13 166:17	settled 44:2,4	70:18 121:22 132:19,
85:1 92:23 93:22	176:23 182:11	settlement 151:25	21 133:1,2,6 135:5,8,
111:17 112:7,12	sections 106:12,17	severance 109:10	18,25 142:13 144:24
116:8,9 121:3	165:17	severe 147:1	160:22 164:15
132:19,25 133:5,12	seeking 116:5	shaking 5:8	170:20 185:13,17
138:18,24 144:11,12,	seeks 20:16	shape 55:9 71:14	simple 174:12,16
15,23,25 145:3,5,19,	segment 148:7	72:1	simplistic 180:5
22 146:3,21,23 147:1	segments 137:11,	shapefiles 48:13	simply 118:8 157:22
148:4,13,14,23	21	51:2 54:21	single 136:11 155:7
153:23 161:10	segregate 180:17	sheds 47:16,20	single-family 153:6
163:22 165:23	self-contained 33:6	125:8	sir 12:23 14:4 28:25
166:25 169:21	self-evident 47:20	sheet 189:1	59:21 90:7 98:4
170:11 171:9 173:11	sell 93:4	Sherwood 65:7,25	154:7 159:13 177:1
179:14 180:9 192:9	seller 42:16 119:20	130:4 133:21 134:11	site 123:21,23
salesman's 9:15	sells 92:24	short 78:9	125:20 126:5,16,22
16:18	sense 5:14 108:8	shorter 82:20	127:2
satellite 8:18	sensitivity 74:7	shortly 7:18 19:6	sits 58:10
satisfaction 57:23	sentence 27:12	32:10	sitting 57:5 102:3,4
satisfied 57:10 61:6	70:25 71:6 72:4,21		size 55:9 73:25 74:2,
save 25:1,19 152:23			3 153:1 158:18
savings 7:25			

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

222

skewed 170:15	56:10 59:17 64:3,21 67:3 69:22 71:16 78:4 80:25 87:7 88:23 99:4,14,20 100:3 115:20 124:23 135:13 140:21 167:9 178:19 186:3 192:14, 20	178:10 statement 33:9 41:5,15 92:13 95:7 107:13 statements 33:22 states 38:14 82:12 182:12 stations 95:8 statistical 145:9 154:16 statistics 145:11 170:10 specification 121:21 specifics 115:19 spent 13:12 spoke 79:10 Springs 115:8 square-foot 152:21 SRA 57:9 St 8:19 stable 75:25 staked 47:25 stamp 24:24 25:5,21 56:7 91:4 182:4 183:12 stamped 91:6,12,17 stamps 181:24 stand 29:20 standard 29:9 87:3 standards 30:2 37:3 62:9,10 63:3,5,8 standpoint 56:14 start 22:3 85:11 147:13 started 9:23 starts 28:1,14 81:20, 24 88:12 state 4:16 21:5,10 93:15 109:3 136:8 stated 120:17	170:16,17 171:1 172:15,19 185:1,12, 13 stuff 9:13 style 191:16 Stylistic 118:10 subdivision 152:20 subject 21:18,22 39:2,12,13 44:18 49:13 51:11 67:3,4 71:8,13,24 72:11,22 73:7 74:16,21,23,24, 25 75:7,14,15 76:15 77:20 78:7 79:7 85:3 86:5 87:24 88:2,19 89:2,9,24 92:7,16 94:6 95:20 104:4,15 106:20,24 107:6,17, 22 109:20 110:13,19 111:5,15,24 112:8 120:3,12 121:3 122:24 127:4 132:17 136:19,22 137:1,19 138:5,25 174:13 177:2 182:13 subjectively 161:1 submit 19:21 20:23 25:2,15 33:6 submitted 19:9 20:1,24 21:7 25:4 submitting 35:4 subparagraph 130:11 131:15,18,23 subparts 104:11 105:6 subscriber 68:25 subsequent 41:12 42:11,13,21 45:11,14 57:17 167:22 subsequently 21:15 44:3 Subset 6:12 subsidiary 163:17 substance 15:11 19:16 26:5 46:24 188:21
----------------------	--	--	--

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

223

substantive 179:23	120:19 185:3	116:2 137:24 144:1	82:2 88:2,15,16,22
substantively 90:23	Supreme 43:8	talked 16:17 34:14,	89:1 102:13 109:21
substitute 66:14,21	surprised 4:16	24 37:1,2 40:25	178:19
146:8	surrounding 180:14	68:12 94:11 98:7	terminologies
substituted 114:15	survey 55:24 56:2,4,	103:9 111:20 112:10	123:14
Suffolk 89:16,19	6,8,16 57:19 75:14,	113:5 115:5,21	terminology 81:8,
179:15 184:7 187:20	20,23 76:4,8,12	120:11 121:1 142:4	10 185:19 186:15
189:4	surveying 80:19	151:2 172:15,21	terms 54:23 55:1
suggest 25:10	surveyor 55:17	173:11,22 176:8	56:8 120:3,4,8,9
suit 80:16	74:12	185:8 187:16,18	testified 4:3 14:6,18,
sum 10:3	surveyor's 56:7,17	189:6,11 192:21	21 23:15,18 66:24
summarize 35:1	surveys 55:15,19	talking 5:6 52:13	81:3 86:14 112:16
109:7 186:6	56:10,12,14,22 57:3	74:2 76:4,8 77:8	154:19 164:10 171:1
summarized 75:21	59:12 70:7	101:7,16 114:19,21	testify 4:13 5:20 6:1
summarizes 166:22	suspended 17:14	115:4 130:1 134:14	27:13 33:24 80:25
summary 81:13	Swift 78:15,17 121:7	156:18 162:1 164:14,	testifying 122:9
108:1 122:23 126:21	122:1,14 123:2,8,13	16 183:14 184:13	164:9 188:20
summation 83:21	sworn 4:3	185:11 189:20	testimony 4:15
85:8 125:12 154:15	Sylvia 59:22	talks 83:8 87:19 98:7	14:11,19 27:20 35:6
186:19	synonymous 51:18	100:5 136:3	60:20 65:9 81:19
supervisors 21:21	54:23 145:25 158:25	tangible 110:8	84:6,10 98:11,21
22:7,19,22 23:5,14,	159:3 178:24	target 140:5	100:11,21 125:15
19	synonymously	taste 117:2	144:22 188:16
supplement 31:21	29:19	tax 22:25 23:4,11	Texas 141:24 185:1
32:3,6,14 33:8 34:8,	system 68:8 69:18	51:9,14,16 95:17	text 26:19,21 27:1
13,20,24 36:12 37:7,	71:7	143:2	127:17,22,25 141:13
9,11,18 41:1 45:23	<hr/> T <hr/>	Taxation 93:18	144:15
114:12 182:7 187:11	table 28:23	taxes 92:6 124:9,10	textbook 127:19
189:17	tables 124:20	Taylor 16:1	textbooks 63:21
supplemental	takes 10:15 77:11	teach 18:3	texts 63:24 64:3
45:10 62:18 63:5	taking 35:24 36:23	team 9:12	120:20
129:20 180:21	71:25 74:9,12 81:17	technique 140:10	theory 168:21
181:22 188:6 189:9,	94:5 105:21 107:6	techniques 65:21	thereabouts 125:6
10	109:21 110:14,19	140:23	thereof 168:18
supplemented	111:6,16,24 119:20	telephone 15:9	thing 47:1 123:9
28:10 30:7,17,19	120:13 121:4 122:25	32:17	164:18 168:6 190:5
183:1	127:5 136:19,20,23	temporary 79:6,17,	things 8:14 66:7
supplements	154:8	20 83:9,19,22,25	68:3 70:7 97:12
176:14	takings 174:25	84:4,9,14 94:7 99:6	102:8 105:9 143:15
supply 75:14	Talbot 8:19	102:22,24 103:6,11	166:11 192:1
support 35:1 187:2	talk 46:22 56:22 76:5	105:18,23 111:4,7	thinking 9:20 10:11,
supporters 143:12	83:22 105:6 111:14	158:19,20,24 159:7,8	18 37:17 41:14 42:1
144:2		162:19 175:1	102:19 103:2 150:21
supporting 66:18		ten 63:15	thirds 132:14
		term 19:24 65:17	
		74:15 76:4,23 78:9	

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

224

Thomas 65:2 134:6, 8	10,19 176:23 182:11 192:16	169:4 170:19,24 171:2,3 184:25 185:1	112:2 116:11 118:11 119:7 121:23 128:23
thought 99:23 112:24 114:13 126:4 132:5 146:22 164:9 171:25	topic 106:11 132:21 topics 64:11 topography 72:7 total 88:4 92:15 124:12 125:11 127:4	transmissions 148:10 transmittal 27:5,6 28:3 32:17 181:16 transmitted 32:10 36:7 67:17 transmitting 25:19 transport 96:10 100:8 transportation 100:22 transporting 101:9 transports 100:20 travel 102:23 103:7 travels 45:19 treatise 127:18 173:8 treatises 63:21,24 64:3,10,14 65:7,10 66:9,12,23 130:1,2, 13 185:7,10	132:13 147:11 157:10,18 173:19 176:16 turning 108:11 Tweed 149:5 159:13 two-thirds 107:12 twofold 154:10 type 33:3 42:9 47:1 59:15 66:11 70:6 72:17 74:7 76:6,24 87:12 135:25 160:8 161:19 162:2,4 166:6 174:22 178:19 types 150:6 161:13 typical 27:4 47:4 150:9 155:15 typically 57:18,21 74:5 114:2 172:1 typo 89:17 90:11,12 91:7 typos 174:4 Tysons 7:25 8:3
timeline 140:2			U
times 14:2,9 23:22 25:18 36:9 45:16 48:24,25 49:4 51:18 57:2 60:7 70:2 113:13 115:3 117:5	trademarked 166:4, 5 traditional 144:8 trainee 86:9 transaction 56:25 57:14 113:9 143:14 147:8 184:16	transactional 50:2, 6 69:16 70:5 78:2 151:23 152:1 161:2 transactions 43:20 61:25 138:19 167:13	tree 47:24 trees 80:23 trends 42:15 75:15, 25 trespass 80:17,18 trial 4:13 5:22 33:11, 12,24 104:1 trigger 87:12 triggered 62:3 true 18:1 157:8 191:12 trust 4:10 31:15 50:5 60:18 61:21,23,24 178:7 trustees 4:9 31:15 175:7 turn 28:23 31:4 37:23 40:16 50:19 53:16,20 54:3 78:14 82:23 84:22 107:9,25
timing 147:14			
title 31:19 38:16 52:24 57:20 110:10, 11	Transcanada 131:11 163:6 Transco 131:10 163:12 transcript 188:11,18 transfers 89:23 transit 131:10 transmission 137:7,18 138:6 141:10 146:9 154:11 158:13 159:19,22,23 160:8,14,18,22,24 161:11,12,20 162:13 167:1,2 168:1,14,24		
today 4:10 14:22 16:25 21:18 27:3 57:5 61:9 80:11 81:5 122:9 139:4 191:13			
today's 15:5			
told 32:22			
tool 69:18 73:4			
top 26:18 38:1,21 39:9,18 40:19 41:4 42:12 51:3 53:21 59:9,20 63:20 64:8 84:25 85:25 86:1 89:22 92:5 103:10 104:2 108:12 113:19 119:24 123:4 136:7,8 144:14 145:15 172:6,			

Uncannily 10:24	unreliable 15:19	valuations 165:17, 18 174:23	versus 16:1 44:9 60:17 63:16 88:8 93:22 108:3 125:8 161:11,19,20 167:5, 10 180:23
uncertain 84:16	update 32:23 37:10 45:23	valued 83:22,25 85:6 100:12 109:20, 24 110:18 126:14 134:15 140:10	vested 81:24 82:1
underground 94:18 95:12 135:7 137:7,18 138:6 141:6,9 146:8 148:15 154:11 158:13 161:14 162:23 171:3 185:1 190:19	updated 32:23 37:12 45:23 177:21 182:23 183:1,3	values 75:25 92:6,10 108:20 140:24 142:18,22	vice 167:18
underlying 5:1 32:4 70:4 184:24	upper 133:20	valuing 84:14 86:4 120:12 126:5 135:1	viewed 136:11
underneath 26:17 27:10 92:13 122:3	USPAP 17:8 18:4 29:9,13,17 30:2 37:2, 3,6,8 41:16 62:14,16, 20,22,25 63:16 87:14,17,18 119:25 174:9 178:20 192:22	valve 94:24	Virginia 8:18 12:1,5 15:3 16:21,22,23 17:11,24 18:22,24 21:1,24 22:17 24:6 43:7,8 55:20 56:20 86:9,13 112:19 115:8 139:16 146:18 163:7, 18 166:19 172:5 179:16
understand 4:14 5:10,16 21:13 28:13 30:9 31:11 35:5 37:20 45:20 48:4 55:16 56:3 57:24 65:16 67:9 75:3 77:13 80:8 81:15 88:21 90:22 91:5 94:21 95:15 101:5 103:4 104:13 105:1 122:12 123:25 124:11 135:3,10 141:14 143:18 155:24 156:5 168:5 181:18 183:22 189:21 192:25	USPAP- COMPLIANT 30:3	vamanet 70:16,18, 23 115:15	virtually 38:10
understanding 83:16 84:11 91:25 95:10 101:13 105:11 117:9 139:18 150:1	utility 121:22 130:20,24 162:2	vamanet.com 70:9, 13	virtue 126:11
understood 122:7 135:11	utilize 145:8	Vanfossen 11:8 12:22 13:13 22:1,4	vis-à-vis 36:23
undertake 141:14 173:16	utilized 188:4	variability 156:2	visible 52:1
undertook 60:24	utilizing 144:11 145:9	variable 10:2 93:11, 21 162:21,24	visit 45:11,15 48:1
Uniform 37:3 62:10 63:8	V	variables 154:1	voltage 159:19,23 160:14,18,23 161:10 167:2,5 169:4 170:19,23 171:2 184:25
unique 104:24	vacant 106:21,25	variation 93:17	W
Unit 8:19	vacated 150:2 165:2,6	vehicles 96:10 100:8,22 101:9	walk 7:11 46:11
universe 141:11	valid 31:2 65:21	venues 14:15,17	walk-through 44:21
University 7:16	validity 145:11	verbatim 97:8	walked 46:12,15,16 47:21
unlike 161:25	Valley 113:24 135:6, 16 164:5,8 165:11,24 172:4	verification 77:11 87:13 112:25 113:6 140:12 173:5 189:18	Walker 8:17
unlock 25:1	valorem 22:25	verified 76:25 112:13,14,25 113:8 114:20 115:12	wanted 85:24 89:18 114:16
	valuation 41:21 42:25 43:13 64:15 65:6 66:1 78:16	verify 77:11,23 112:19 113:25 114:3 115:17,21 116:5 141:15,22 142:2,9	warranted 77:22
	universe 141:11 109:1 117:18 121:8 122:14,24 123:10 127:14,19 130:5 133:18,21 136:15 138:3 141:20 174:23	verifying 86:4 116:2	wash 170:4
		versa 167:18	Washington 21:5
		version 18:4 91:6, 13,14,18	ways 74:23
		versions 73:21	WBX 157:9 166:18 168:17

William Harvey - January 29, 2020

226

website 49:20 51:22 67:23 68:2,6,24,25 70:19 123:15 142:23	136:4 164:15 166:12 177:4,7,12 178:24,25 181:17 182:15,18 187:11	zoning 68:4
websites 143:4	worked 7:25 12:17, 18	
weed 168:9	working 32:19	
week 32:13	works 20:8	
Wesley 7:15,17	world 47:9 72:7	
West 12:5 16:22	worried 183:19	
wetlands 179:20 191:6	worth 175:3	
White 16:1	wound 190:23	
Wholesalesman 16:23	write 26:21 104:24	
wide 142:15	write-up 171:9	
width 162:16,19	write-up-- 149:14	
wife 6:25 9:11	write-ups 149:14,19 152:24	
Wilburn 11:13,14 12:16	written 29:17 40:8 104:10,17 105:20 106:1	
Wilder 17:13	wrong 129:10,17 166:6	
William 3:2,7,14 4:18 6:19 8:5,6,11 9:1,2 48:18 86:2,3	wrote 26:23 178:1	
wind 52:6 69:1		
won 178:1	Y	
wondering 30:18 60:13 81:4 169:19	year 73:18 80:4 182:7 188:7,9 192:3	
Woods 25:9 135:15 164:10 165:11 166:14	years 7:10 8:1,2,25 9:20,23 10:5,13,23 17:14 20:23 40:10 44:12 63:15,16 65:23 79:7,17,20,21 80:2 81:11,20,24 83:10,19 89:24 103:12	
word 37:9 40:10 87:17 112:21 186:2	Yellow 63:9,12,14, 17	
words 43:19 92:24 95:1 105:11 114:15 151:9	York 163:12	
work 8:4 10:3,10,11 11:6,15 12:15,20 13:12 16:9 19:9 20:1, 17,19 21:5,16,24 22:14,15,24 25:23 32:6 43:20 44:17 49:11 50:9,13 56:21 57:7 62:7,16 66:21 67:2 87:2,20 113:5 114:2 115:24 119:9	yup 192:20	
		Z
	zoned 47:4	